Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: North Bonneville-Ross Transmission Line Corridor Coaxial Cable Installation

LURR No.: 20170394

Project Manager: Dawneen Dostert TERR-3

Location: Clark County, Washington

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.9 Multiple use of powerline rights-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to allow Comcast and its contractor Utiligi to attach about 300 feet of 0.625 coaxial cable at a height of 18'5" to existing Clark County PUD poles located across the BPA right-of-way near structure 34/3 of the North Bonneville-Ross No.1 and structure 34/3 of the North Bonneville-Ross No. 2 transmission lines in Clark County, WA. The attachment of the cable to the poles would occur using a bucket truck and spool trailer. Vehicles would be kept off grass and only on the gravel road, but there would be foot traffic within the grassy area of the right-of-way.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations,	BPA finds that the proposed a	action is categorically excluded from
further NEPA review.		

Date: <u>10/6/2017</u>

<u>/s/ Chris H. Furey</u>
Christopher H. Furey
Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u>
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: North Bonneville-Ross Transmission Line Corridor Coaxial Cable Installation

Project Site Description

The attachment of coaxial cable would be on existing Clark County PUD poles located on maintained BPA right-of-way and access roads. The surrounding topography consists of gently sloping riparian area with neighboring parcels comprised of forested greenway areas, roads, parking areas, and flat suburban homesites and associated development. A review of the National Wetland Inventory, soil information, topography, and aerial photos did not reveal any wetlands or waterbodies at the site but there are wetlands located across the street about 100 feet from the project location.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: BPA archaeologist reviewed propose area for the installation of the cable does not have Vehicles would be kept off grass and only on the g	e potential to cause eff	ects to historic or cultural resources.
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: There would be minimal soil disturbation work is occurring within the established BPA right-		the cable from use of a bucket truck. All
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	Explanation: The project would be occurring with growing vegetation. There are no listed or special		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: Installation of the cable is expected the listed or special-status species.	to occur during daytim	e hours with limited to no effect to any
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: The nearest water body is Burnt Brid project location and is designated critical habitat f would be on gravel roads and installation of the ca	or Chinook salmon and	steelhead. The vehicles for the project

	impact to the creek.						
6.	Wetlands	V					
	<u>Explanation</u> : No wetlands are present at the project location. A freshwater forested wetland is located across the street from the project location. A bucket truck would access the project area using existing access roads and will avoid Burnt Bridge Creek and any wetland areas adjacent to the creek and north of the project location.						
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V					
	Explanation: The project would not impact groundwater	or aquifers.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V					
	Explanation: The installation of the cable would not impact land use or specially designated areas.						
9.	Visual Quality	V					
	Explanation: There would be limited visual changes to the project area or surrounding environment daddition of the 18'5" tall coaxial cable.						
10.	Air Quality	V					
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur during installation.						
11.	Noise	V					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise would occur during daylight hours. No ongoing noise increase is expected.						
12.	Human Health and Safety	V					
	Explanation: Aerial installation of coaxial cable using a bucket truck on existing PUD poles in the BPA right-of-wa is not expected to impact human health and safety.						
	Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:							
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orde		ment, safety, and				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of wa facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or		treatment				
	Explanation, if necessary:						
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there explanation, if necessary:		_				

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination				
<u>Description</u> : Comcast and its contractor Utiligi are conducting the project in coordination with the City of				

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Chris H. Furey</u> Date: <u>10/5/2017</u>
Christopher H. Furey, ECT-4

Vancouver and the City of Vancouver is the adjacent landowner.