PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Colorado School of Mines

STATE: CO

PROJECT TITLE Revealing the Mechanism of Light-Induced Degradation and Regeneration of p-type Cz-Si

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001654

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0008171

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0008171-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Colorado School of Mines (CSM) to establish two spectroscopic techniques to understand the regeneration of boron-doped Chochralski crystalline Silicon (p-type bulk Cz c-Si) for its application to passivated emitter rear contact (PERC) photovoltaic cells.

Proposed project activities would include the characterization of boron-doped Chochralski crystalline Silicon (Si) after light-induced degradation and regeneration. Specifically, CSM would employ fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) would complete electron spin resonance (ESR) in order to characterize the defects found in the Si. The facilities in which analysis work would occur are purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed; therefore, no adverse impacts to sensitive resources are expected as a result of the proposed project. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. The facilities have all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

The proposed project would involve the use of pyrophoric gases, hazardous chemicals such as hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and other inorganic acids that would be used for wafer cleaning. Personnel would follow existing safety protocols in handling these materials and disposal would be carried out according to local, state and federal regulation. All non-hazardous solid waste would be disposed of with regular university/lab trash.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a DOE laboratory may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant DOE NEPA Compliance Officer for the specific DOE laboratory prior to initiating such work. Further, any work conducted at a DOE laboratory must meet the laboratory's health and safety requirements.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Insert the following language in the award:

You are required to:

Any work proposed to be conducted at a DOE laboratory may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant DOE NEPA Compliance Officer for the specific DOE laboratory prior to initiating such work. Further, any work conducted at a DOE laboratory must meet the laboratory's health and safety requirements.

Note to Specialist:

Solar Energy Technologies Office

This NEPA determination requires a tailored NEPA provision.

Review completed by Rebecca McCord 08/08/2017.

	SIGNATURE	OF THIS MEMOR.	ANDUM CONSTITUTES	A RECORD O	F THIS DECISION
--	-----------	----------------	-------------------	------------	-----------------

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Rectionically Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date: 8/10/2017			
	NEPA Compliance Officer				
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINAT	ION				
☐ Field Office Manager review required					
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MAN	NAGER REVIEW FOR THE FO	OLLOWING REASON:			
Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office					
Manager's attention. ☐ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.					
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH	THE DETERMINATION OF T	THE NCO:			
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Date:				
	Field Office Manager				