PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Charles Musgrave/University of Colorado Boulder

STATE: CO

PROJECT TITLE:

Computationally Accelerated Discovery and Experimental Demonstration of High-Performance Materials

for Advanced Solar Thermochemical Hydrogen Production

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number

Procurement Instrument Number

NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-FOA-0001647

DE-EE0008088

GFO-0008088-001

GO8088

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Colorado Boulder (CU) for the utilization of materials informatics and machine learning to predict perovskite polymorphs for solar thermochemical water splitting and experimentally demonstrate materials with computationally predicted thermodynamic and kinetic properties. Project work would occur within existing laboratories at CU and collaboration is expected to occur with the HydroGEN Energy Materials Network National Laboratory consortium. This is a three-year research project that includes three budget periods. Only Budget Period 1 (BP1) is being negotiated at this time so this NEPA review is for BP1 activities only. Additional NEPA review will be required if DOE proposes to continue funding the project into subsequent budget periods.

Project work includes materials and process characterization experiments to demonstrate the water splitting ability of candidate materials. Feedback from experiments would be used to validate models and inform the down-selection criteria for candidate active materials identified from screening. Project work would occur in existing laboratories designed for this type of work that would utilize standard laboratory equipment; therefore no modifications, new permits, additional licenses and/or authorizations would be necessary. No ground disturbing activities, no changes in operation of existing facilities, and no installation of equipment outdoors would occur at any of the laboratories involved in the project. Project work would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including metals and metal oxides. All such handling would occur in-lab utilizing proper hazardous material handling and disposal practices to ensure project activities would pose no risk to the public. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Existing health and safety policies/procedures include employee training, proper protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments to help ensure compliance with applicable regulations and minimize health and safety risks. Other non-hazardous wastes would be disposed of in accordance with established guidelines. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the tasks within BP1 of the proposal fit within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. Tasks and subtasks within BP1 of the proposal are categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination for this award, and funding for certain tasks under this award is contingent upon the final NEPA determination.

Insert the following language in the award:

You are restricted from taking any action using federal funds, which would have an adverse affect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to DOE/NNSA providing either a NEPA clearance or a final NEPA decision regarding the project.

Prohibited actions include:

Budget Periods 2 and 3

This restriction does not preclude you from:

All tasks and subtasks associated with Budget Period 1

If you move forward with activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of the final NEPA decision, you are doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Fuel Cell Technologies Office

This NEPA determination requires a tailored NEPA provision.

NE	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Casey Strickland	Date:	7/18/2017
	stal (taploge out.	NEPA Compliance Officer	6 alley as bluew	
FII	ELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN.	ATION		
	Field Office Manager review required			
NC	O REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE M	ANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLI	LOWING REASON:	
	Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.			
	Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.			
BA	SED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WI	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE	E NCO:	
Field Office Manager's Signature:				TEN 101 MINUS
		Field Office Manager		