PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Colorado School of Mines

PROJECT TITLE Generalizable Mechanistic Understanding of Module-level Light-, Heat- and Humidity- Induced Instabilities in CIGS Photovoltaics

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001195

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0007141

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007141-002

STATE: CO

GO7141

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering,

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale research and laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

B5.16 Solar photovoltaic systems

The installation, modification, operation, and removal of commercially available solar photovoltaic systems located on a building or other structure (such as rooftop, parking lot or facility, and mounted to signage, lighting, gates, or fences), or if located on land, generally comprising less than 10 acres within a previously disturbed or developed area. Covered actions would be in accordance with applicable requirements (such as local land use and zoning requirements) in the proposed project area and would incorporate appropriate control technologies and best management practices.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Colorado School of Mines to identify the root causes of light-, heat-, and humidity-induced instabilities in the operation and accelerated testing of commercial Cu(In,Ga)Se2 (CIGS) photovoltaic modules produced by major module manufacturers, correlate them with module degradation/failure modes, and produce accurate service lifetime prediction models.

This is a follow-on NEPA determination to include Colorado School of Mines location. The project received a previous NEPA determination (GFO-0007141-001, A9, B3.6, B5.16, September 1, 2016). Proposed project activities have not changed since the original NEPA determination and would include fabrication and characterization of materials and devices, modeling of instabilities and degradation processes, field testing of solar modules and reporting of findings. Materials analysis and synthesis would still take place at dedicated lab facilities on campus at Ohio State University (OSU) in Columbus, OH and at Old Dominion University (ODU) in Norfolk, VA. However, University of Illinois would no longer participate in these activities and work would instead be completed at the Colorado School of Mines in Golden, CO. The facilities in which synthesis and analysis work would occur are purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed; therefore, no adverse impacts to sensitive resources are expected as a result of the proposed project. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. The facilities have all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

ODU would also conduct field testing of solar modules which would require the installation of modules on existing outdoor test facilities at Old Dominion University. The facilities are specifically intended and designed for such installations; therefore, no adverse impacts to sensitive resources are expected as a result of the proposed project. No ground disturbing activities or change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort.

The project would involve the use of hazardous or toxic chemicals, waste, and other materials that would require disposal as hazardous materials. These materials are likely to consist of acids, solvents, Cadmium Sulfide, CIGS compounds and/or other chemicals needed to prepare samples, typically not considered toxic or hazardous, but which may become mixed with toxic or hazardous materials as part of their application. All such handling would occur in-lab and would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Existing university health and safety policies and procedures would be followed including employee training, proper protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. The modules would be disposed of as hazardous materials as would all prototype materials and devices produced as part of the project. No siting, construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions/facilities would be required.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Solar Energy Technologies Office

This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

Review completed by Rebecca McCord 07/06/2017.

SIC	NATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.
NE	PA Compliance Officer Signature: Date: 7/6/2017 PA Compliance Officer P
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION	
	Field Office Manager review required
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:	
	Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.
	Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:	
Fiel	d Office Manager's Signature: Date:
	Field Office Manager