PMC-ND

1.08.09.131

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: University of Central Florida

STATE: FL

PROJECT TITLE:

Multitude Characterization and Prediction of DOE Advanced Biofuels Properties

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0001461

DE-EE0007984

GFO-0007984-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Central Florida (UCF) to develop and provide a detailed data set of multiple combustion experiments relevant to engine combustion of Co-Optima fuels.

Activities associated with the proposed project would include the following nine separate experiments: spray, constant volume chamber, shock tube, high-temperature cell, flow reactor, carbon deposit, fractional facility, viscometer, and pressure seal cell. These studies would inform development of fuel matrices, characterization of each fuel, and better understanding of molecular relationships, These experiments, with the exception of the flow reactor experiment would be conducted on campus at UCF in their Center for Advanced Turbomachinery and Energy Research (CATER) in Orlando, FL. The flow reactor experiment would be completed at the Advanced Light Source facility at Lawrence Berkeley National Lab in Berkeley, CA. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. The facilities have all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

The proposed project would necessitate the use and handling of Co-optima fuels (appx. 10L each). Waste produced by these activities would include combustion exhaust (approx. 5000ppm CO2 and 5ppm NOx) and unused fuel (approx. 5L each). Additionally, some tubing, gaskets, and seals may be replaced. Existing university health and safety policies and procedures would be followed including researchers training, personal protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments and all gaseous wastes would be vented off through fume hoods. Facilities meet or exceed federal safety and hazardous materials standards and no siting, construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions/facilities would be required.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

NEPA PROVISION DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award
Insert the following language in the award:
If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.
Note to Specialist:
Bioenergy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Rebecca McCord, 06/27/2017.
SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: Casey Strickland Date: 6/28/2017
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION
☐ Field Office Manager review required
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:
 □ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention. □ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:
Field Office Manager's Signature: Date:
Field Office Manager