

PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY
NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: The Regents of the University of Michigan

STATE: MI

PROJECT TITLE : MISR: Miniature Ignition Screening Rapid Compression Machines for Kinetic Measurements of Novel Fuels

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number	Procurement Instrument Number	NEPA Control Number	CID Number
DE-FOA-0001461	DE-EE0007985	GFO-0007985-001	G07985

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects	Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to The Regents of the University of Michigan to develop and produce a device which can perform ignition screening of small volumes of biofuels and blends in a high throughput fashion.

Activities associated with the proposed project would include laboratory scale development, fabrication, and testing of a prototype miniature rapid compression machine, as well as data collection and analysis. Development and fabrication would occur in purpose-built facilities at the University of Michigan in Dearborn, MI. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. Testing activities would consist of ignition delay experiments performed with fuels selected by DOE. Less than 50 L in total of various fuels would be used by the proposed project. Testing would take place at the University of Michigan in either Dearborn or Ann Arbor, MI. The campus location has not yet been selected; however, experiments would take place indoors at a dedicated University research facility and would not involve physical modifications to existing structures excluding temporary equipment relocation. Data collection and analysis would be undertaken at Oakland University in Rochester, MI. All facilities in which project work would occur have applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

The proposed project would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials such as compressed gases, flammable and otherwise hazardous chemicals, and industrial solvents. All such handling would occur in-laboratory or in-shop by trained personnel following established University health and safety protocols. Waste would be properly stored and labeled prior to collection by University Environmental Safety and Health or qualified vendors. It is possible that equipment and supplies including hazardous materials would be transported between the Dearborn and Ann Arbor campuses during the proposed project. All such materials would be managed and shipped in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a DOE laboratory may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant DOE NEPA Compliance Officer for the specific DOE laboratory prior to initiating such work. Further, any work conducted at a DOE laboratory must meet the laboratory's health and safety requirements.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the

integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Insert the following language in the award:

You are required to:

Any work proposed to be conducted at a DOE laboratory may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant DOE NEPA Compliance Officer for the specific DOE laboratory prior to initiating such work. Further, any work conducted at a DOE laboratory must meet the laboratory's health and safety requirements.

Note to Specialist :

Bioenergy Technologies Office
This NEPA determination requires a tailored NEPA Provision.
NEPA review completed by Whitney Doss, 4/28/2017

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:



Casey Strickland

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date:

5/1/2017

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

☐ Field Office Manager review required

NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

- ☐ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.
- ☐ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: