PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:Regal Beloit Corporation

STATE: Mult

PROJECT

Highly Efficient Conical Air Gap Axial Motor Using Soft Magnetic Composites and Grain-Oriented

TITLE:

Electrical Steel

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001467

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0007875

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007875-001

GO7875

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Regal Beloit Corporation (RBC) to develop a unique highly efficient motor technology that would improve upon previous commercial designs.

The motor would be able to operate at 96% efficiency or greater. The design would employ soft magnetic composites, and non-rare-earth ceramic magnets in the rotor. The laboratory tasks would occur within existing facilities which include the RBC's locations in Tipp City, Ohio, and San Jose, California, and at the sub-recipient Texas A&M University's Electric Machines and Power Electronics Laboratory (EMPE) in College Station, Texas. No physical changes to facilities at the EMPE laboratory at Texas A&M University or Regal Beloit facility in Tipp City, Ohio are planned. Setup at the existing RBC's San Jose facility would include installation of testing equipment.

The testing activities would involve the use of 460 volt electric power. All facilities would follow National Electrical Code requirements for the use of 460 volt power. The EMPE laboratory would follow the health and safety policies of Texas A&M University. Both RBC facilities would follow RBC health and safety policies. The small scale motor assembly activities done at RBC's San Jose facility may involve the use of hazardous solvents and lubricants. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state and local environmental regulations. RBC health and safety policies would be followed, including employee training, proper protective equipment, engineering controls, and internal safety assessments as needed.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Advanced Manufacturing Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Chris Rowe, 3/3/2017

CICAL MILITAR AR MILITA	RATINGOD A RIDGINA CONFORTUNIO	TACTOR
SIGNATURE OF THIS	MEMORANDUM CONSTITUT	

NE.	PA Compliance Officer Signature:	Casey Strickland	Date:	3/3/2017		
		NEPA Compliance Officer				
FIE	ELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATI	ION				
	Field Office Manager review required					
NC	O REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MAN	AGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLI	LOWING REASON:			
	Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.					
	Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.					
BA	SED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH	THE DETERMINATION OF THI	E NCO:			
Field Office Manager's Signature:			Date:			
		Field Office Manager	B 0 B			