PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



**RECIPIENT:** Arizona State University

STATE: AZ

PROJECT TITLE Monolithic silicon module manufacturing at < 0.40 \$/W

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001387

**Procurement Instrument Number** DE-EE0007538

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007538-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

## CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering.

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training dissemination and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

## Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Arizona State University (ASU) to develop and demonstrate technology that enables a mass-manufactured module that costs less than \$0.40/W, is 22% efficient, has an annual degradation rate of less than 0.2%, and is warrantable for 50 years. This would be achieved through design, fabrication and interconnection of silicon solar cells, solar module lamination, measurement of module performance, and in-lab accelerated degradation of solar modules.

Proposed activities would be conducted on campus at ASU. Fabrication, interconnection, and lamination activities would be completed in the Macro Technology Works facility at the Tempe campus. Performance measurement and accelerated degradation would occur at the photovoltaic reliability lab at the Mesa campus. The facilities in which this lab work would occur are purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed; therefore, no new or modified permits, construction of new facilities or physical modifications to existing facilities would occur as a result of the proposed project.

The proposed project would not necessitate the use or handling of any hazardous materials and no hazardous wastes would be generated. All materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. ASU has established university-wide health and safety policies that all faculty, staff, and students must adhere to. Each person must participate in safety training courses as deemed appropriate by the University Environmental Health & Safety department.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Note to opecianst.		
Solar Energy Technology Office This NEPA determination requires a Review completed by Rebecca McCo		
SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM	CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DEC	CISION.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Kristin Kerwin  NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: 7/25/2016
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN	, 0	mering nottement d.A
☐ Field Office Manager review required		
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE N	MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOW	ING REASON:
Manager's attention.	exclusion but involves a high profile or controvo S category and therefore requires Field Office M	
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WI	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO	operations, configurate in the con-
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Tripon	Date: