DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solic	itatio	n/Award No. (if applicable):			
Orga	anizat	ion Name: Colorado Scho	ool of Mines (Golden, Colordo)		- San Janes
Prop	osed	Action Title: Grant for "	Driving Selectivity Among Rare Earth Elements through Phase Mod	lifiers"	
Tota	I DOI	E Funding/Total Funding:	\$1,375,275	20.000	en con
I.	Pro	ject Description: (Use exp	lanation pages if additional space is required)		
	A.	The proposed project is a improving the separation critical components of mamarket for rare earths par project studies the fundar potential to use organic se	if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portion bench-scale, laboratory-based, preliminary investigation of a novel of rare earth elements. This research is important because rare earny materials, but they are difficult to separate from ores. China domn't because it dominates the industrial scale separation of rare earth mental chemistry of rare earth separations by liquid-liquid extraction olvents, such as octanol, to tune the separations of the rare earth elemental less expensive.	approach th elemen inates the n element to elucida	nts are e world s. Our ate the
	В.	Would the project proceed If "yes," use explanation	d without Federal funding? n page.	Yes	No ✓

II. <u>Description of Affected Environment</u>: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

The work will take place indoors in the General Research Laboratory (GRL) building on the Colorado School of Mines campus. The work will occur in laboratory room GRL 342, a fully-equipped 2200 sq. ft. radiochemistry laboratory licensed by the state of Colorado (an NRC agreement state) for work with radioactive materials, including the materials and amounts proposed for this project. Approximately 16 researchers use this laboratory, but currently no more than 7 workers may be present at any time. Approximately 20 more researchers work on other labs located on the same floor of the building.

			DOE NEPA Tracking	Number	
III.	Pre	liminary	Questions:	Vaa	No
	A.	Is the	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No ☑
		If "Ye	s", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	on V.	
	B.	Is ther	re any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)		
		1. 2. 3.	Work to be performed outdoors? Major modification of a building interior? Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		V V
		٥.	environment, safety, and health?	_	
		4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?	Ц	✓
		5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the		\checkmark
		6. 7.	environment? The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the		✓
		8.	environment? The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		V
		9.	potentially create a significant impact? Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collections in the part is a second according to the control of the	tively□	V
		10.	significant impacts could result? Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regar resource usage?	ding 🗌	\checkmark
IV.	X Townson		Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)		
	Α.		onmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in chan bances to any of the following resources?	ges and/or	
	B.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) Cultural or Historic Resources Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards Class I Air Quality Control Region Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and/or Wetlands lated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following re	Yes	No N
		13.	Natural Pasauraa Damaga Assassmenta		
		13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms	Η	씱
		15.	Noxious Weeds	H	
		16.	Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement		V
		17.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)		\checkmark

			DOE NEPA Tra	icking Number	
111.	Pre	liminary	y Questions:	Voc	No
	A.	Is the	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No ✓
		If "Ye	es", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to S	ection V.	
	B.	Is the	re any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response	inse)	
		1.	Work to be performed outdoors?		V
		2. 3.	Major modification of a building interior? Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for	or 📙	VV
		4.	environment, safety, and health? Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposa	al 🗆	V
			facilities?		✓
		5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting the environment?	in 🗀	
		6. 7.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the		
			environment?		✓
		8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a significant impact?		
		9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectively significant impacts could result?		√
		10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding resource usage?		✓
		If "No	o" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.		
IV.	Pot	ential E	Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)		
	A.	Envir	onmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in	changes and/or	
		distur	bances to any of the following resources?	Yes	No
		1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats		_
		2. 3.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	H	
		4.	Cultural or Historic Resources	H	Ħ
		5.	Important Farmland		
		6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		\checkmark
		7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region		M
		8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	H	범
		9. 10.	Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones	H	씱
		11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)	H	벍
		12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands		
	B.		lated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following	ng	
		regula	ated Items or activities?		
		13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments		1
		14.	Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms		V
		15.	Noxious Weeds		NON
		16.	Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by	у 🗆	\checkmark
		17.	Local Requirement Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)	П	√
		11.	broage of the funder ofeath water Act, dection 404, greater than one acte		V

DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number
----------	----------	--------

	B.	B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities? (continued)				ms or	
		activitie	es: (conunaca)			Yes	No
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)			П	V
		19.	Asbestos Removal			百	
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)				$\overline{\checkmark}$
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances				$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use			✓	
		23.	Pesticide Use				
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions				\checkmark
		25.	Liquid Effluents				\checkmark
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection				\checkmark
		27.	Underground Injection				✓
		28.	Hazardous Waste			$\overline{\checkmark}$	
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks				\checkmark
		30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste				
		31.	Radiation Exposure			✓	
		32.	Nanoscale Materials				V
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic	c Biology			\checkmark
		34.	Ozone Depleting Substances				\checkmark
		35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability				\checkmark
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles				
		37.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory				\checkmark
		38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals				
		39.	Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet				✓
	C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve th	e followin	<u>g?</u>		
		40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low	Income F	onulations	Yes	No
		41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits	incomo i	opulationio	Ħ	
		42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/perm	nit funding	approval)	Ħ	
		43.	Action in a State with NEPA-type law	ne, ranani	,, app. 0 va.,	Ħ	Ä
		44.	Expansion of Public Utilities/Services			Ħ	7
		45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources			Ħ	7
		46.	Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Cor	trol Proce	ess	Ħ	7
		47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human H			Ī	✓
	V. B 1071000 1						
/ .	App	olicant c	ertification that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	on this form is accu		***
	Day	oo thio d	isclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other ex			Yes	No
			rould not be obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom o			5.50	\checkmark
			THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O			. A	
	A.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title):	nc birec		u 	
		Signat	ture: ML Brumer	Date:	9/8/2021		
		0.3	00EC5B6B92874B5				Standard Standard
		e-mail	jbremer@mines.edu	Phone:	303-273-3242		
	B.	Option	nal Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				00001144/4-0
		Signat	Mark P. Jensen Digitally signed by Mark P. Jensen Date: 2021.09.08 09:48:49 -06'00'	Б.	09/09/2021		
		Signat		_ Date:		\ _	··· 19
		e-mail	mjensen@mines.edu	Phone:	303-273-378	35	

				_
DOF	NEDA	Tracking	Number	

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DO	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:		
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist: Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly?	Yes	No □ X
		Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:	Ц	<u> </u>
		Name and Title: Daniella Duverne, Contract Specialist		
		Signature: Daniella Duverne Digitally signed by Daniella Duverne Date: 2021,09.08 12:05:19 -05'00' Date: 09/08/20	21	
	В.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):	Yes	No
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action:	7	
		Name and Title:		
		Signature: Date:		
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):		
		Name and Title:		W. C. W. C.
		Signature: Date:		**************************************
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:		
		preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regula 1.410.	tion, 10 CFR §	
	Ø	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.	d that the propose	ed
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparati Environmental Assessment.	on of an	
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	Recommend	
		Comments/limitations if any:		
		NEPA Compliance Officer:		
		Name:		
Signature: Peter Siebach Digitally signed by Peter Siebach Date: 2021 09 13 12:39:20 -05:00' Date:				

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections 3 and 4.

- 22. Chemical storage and use. The project employs organic solvents, such as dodecane and octanol, molar solutions of mineral acids (hydrochloric or nitric acid at 1 6 moles/liter), and rare earth nitrate or chloride salts. Each individual experiment requires approximately 0.5 milliliters each of organic and acid solution, so the organic solvents will be stored and used at a rate of approximately 2 gallons per year, while concentrated nitric or hydrochloric acids will be used at a rate of approximately 1 liter per year and diluted to make solutions. Rare earth salts will be used at a rate of approximately 100 grams per year. These chemicals form the basis of this project on chemical separations of rare earths and are necessary to the project. The primary chemical hazards are flammability of the organic solvents and reactivity of nitric acid and nitrate salts. Flammable chemicals are stored in flammable cabinets, and the total load of flammable chemicals in the lab is capped at 30 gallons by our occupancy permit. Acids are stored in acid cabinets. Compliance with all applicable laws and regulations is overseen by the University's Environmental, Health and Safety Office.
- 28. Hazardous Waste. The project will generate hazardous waste that is flammable, corrosive and/or reactive in the GRL 342 laboratory. Waste is segregated for compatibility and properly accumulated in the lab's Satellite Accumulation Area, which is inspected weekly. The rate of waste generation will be approximately 2 gallons per year of flammable organic solvents, and approximately 2 gallons per year of corrosive and/or reactive acid solutions containing rare earth salts. The waste is removed from the lab by the University's Environmental, Health and Safety Office and packaged for transportation and disposal. The waste is transported and disposed of by a permitted transporter contracted by the University. Given the volumes and generation rates involved, it is anticipated that the waste from this project would constitute a part of two separate shipments.
- 30. Radioactive Waste. The project will likely generate low-level radioactive waste and low-level mixed waste from the use of europium-152,154 in the laboratory experiments. We will use up to 1.5 microCuries of europium-152,154 per year which would be disposed of as radioactive or mixed waste as appropriate. Up to 10 gallons of low-level waste containing PPE, pipet tips, emptied vials, etc., and up to 100 mL of mixed low-level waste would be generated per year. The mixed waste would be from solvent extraction experiments and would be flammable, corrosive and/or reactive. The waste is segregated and safely accumulated in the laboratory at the point of generation considering both radiation safety and chemical safety. The University's Radiation Safety Office collects and packages the waste for disposal in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations as well as any additional requirements of the waste disposal company that the University contracts with. The waste is transported and disposed of by a permitted radioactive waste disposal contractor hired by the University.
- 31. Radioactive Materials. The project is likely to use radiotracer rare earth elements to simplify some of the experiments carried out in the GRL 342 laboratory. Each measurement would require approximately 15 nanoCuries of europium-152,154 radiotracer. Up to 100 measurements would be made in a year, giving a total annual use of 1.5 microCuries of europium in the experiments. The material is contained within the experimental apparatus and is protected from release into or from the laboratory by its physical form, engineering controls (i.e. HEPA Filter hoods), and local or exit monitoring. External whole body and extremity doses to the workers, other occupants of the lab, and people outside the lab are expected to be undetectable above the laboratory or natural background and no internal dose is expected. Colorado is an NRC Agreement State, so use of radioactive materials at the Colorado School of Mines is licensed and regulated to use europium-152,154 at these levels and in these experiments by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment and overseen by the University's Radiation Safety Office.