Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Installation of Bird Flight Diverters on Transmission Lines Crossing Port of Vancouver, WA Property

Project No.: N/A

Project Manager: Stefan Fraering TELC-TPP-3

Location: Clark County, Washington

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions and modifications to transmission facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration proposes to install bird flight diverters on portions of four lines crossing through the Port of Vancouver property, in Vancouver, Washington. Bird flight diverters would be placed on four spans of the Ross-Alcoa No. 2 and 3 230-kV lines, on two spans of the Ross-Carbordundum No. 1 115-kV line, on one span of the Ross-Rivergate No. 1 230-kV line, and on one span of the Ross-St. Johns No. 1 230-kV line. The installation would include three different types of bird flight diverters. Three hundred thirty-five extruded plastic spiral Swan Flight Diverters and 151 small (approximately 10-inches in diameter) Avisphere aluminum marker balls painted yellow and red would be used on the conductors. Three hundred and eighty Firefly High Wind clamp-on diverters would be used on the overhead ground wires.

The area under the transmission lines would first be mowed to allow vehicular travel. A boom truck would then be driven under the transmission lines and the bird flight diverters would be installed from the bucket of the truck. Construction is expected to take approximately a week and will take place during the late summer/early fall of 2017.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Douglas F Corkran	
Douglas F. Corkran	
Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Sarah T. Biegel	Date: August 22, 2017
Sarah T. Biegel	
NEPA Compliance Officer	
·	

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Installation of Bird Flight Diverters on Transmission Lines Crossing Port of Vancouver, WA Property

Project Site Description

The project location is in the BPA corridor under the Ross-Alcoa No. 2 and 3, the Ross-Carbordundum No. 1, the Ross-Rivergate No. 1 230-kV, and the Ross-St. Johns No. 1 lines on Port of Vancouver property. The site is approximately two miles west of downtown Vancouver, WA. The surrounding topography consists of Columbia River floodplain, with industrial areas to the east and south. The project area contains a number of seasonal wetlands and there are some open water areas nearby. A wetland mitigation bank exists near the project area.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<u></u>	
	<u>Explanation</u> : BPA archaeologist reviewed proposed area for the installation of the bird flight diverters or resources.		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: There would be no soil disturbance f would set up on the existing ground surface with rights-of-way.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	~	
	Explanation: The project would be occurring in BI existing reed canarygrass and small shrubs would allow vehicular traffic. There would be no effect to	be mowed prior to ins	tallation of the bird flight diverters to
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: The project is located in a floodplain disturbed by construction. The purpose of the prowaterfowl, which are susceptible to colliding with project. Mowing of the project area will take place	pject is to improve the of BPA's lines. No ESA-lis	conditions for birds, particularly ted species would be affected by the

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The project area is located within the Colum flows. Work will take place during the late summer/early take place. Bucket trucks and crew vehicles would drive work occurring.	fall when the ground is dry. No fill	or removal would
6.	Wetlands	V	
	Explanation: Some of the project area contains season Work will take place during the late summer/early fall place. Vehicles would drive on existing access roads constructed. Vegetation would be mowed and/or crush impacts to wetlands would occur.	when the ground is dry. No fill o or over the ground surface. No	r removal would take new roads would be
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V	
	Explanation: The project would not impact groundwater	or aquifers.	
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V	
	Explanation: The land use would not change, and there	would be no effect to access or curr	rent uses.
9.	Visual Quality	V	
	Explanation: The installation of the bird flight diverters vareas, especially River Road to the south and the industri (e.g., homes, recreation areas, etc.) are located nearby; to	ial park to the east. However, no se	ensitive viewing areas
10.	Air Quality	V	
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle emission	ns would occur during installation.	
11.	Noise	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary construction noise would occur result.	during daylight hours. No ongoing	noise increase would
12.	Human Health and Safety	V	
	Explanation: No changess.		

Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:				
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.				
Explanation, if necessary:				
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination				
Description: The project would be occurring on Port of Vancouver-owned property. BPA is in coordination with landowner.				
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.				
Signed: /s/ Douglas F Corkran Date: August 22, 2017 Douglas F. Corkran, ECT-4				