(11/05) Previous editions are obsolete.

Solicitation/Award No. (if

SC NEPA Tracking Number

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF SCIENCE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "financial assistance award" organization receiving Federal funding. For assistance (including a point of contact), see "Instructions for Preparing SC F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form".

appl	icable	e):			
Orga	nizat	ion Name:	Lawrence Ber	rkeley N	National Laboratory
Title	of P	roposed Proj	ect/Research:		octing experiments in the Sanford Underground Research Facility, Lead, Dakota.
Tota	l DO	E Funding/T	otal Project Fu	nding:	LUX detector was funded with \$1.5M in capital and receives approximately \$1.6M per year in Research and Operations. MJD has a \$20M project funding and approximately \$4M per year in Research and Operations.
I.	Pro	ject Descript	ion (use addition	nal pag	(es as necessary):
	Α.				te Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)
	71.	<u> </u>	TojecuAction	demica	te receianty funded/Non-redefanty funded portions)
		research in programs a	Department of E the Sanford Un	ndergro posed:	DOE) proposes to complete installation of laboratories and to conduct und Research Facility (SURF) in Lead, South Dakota. Two research the MAJORANA DEMONSTRATOR (MJD) project and the Large Underground
		a former go disposal of and ventila identified h	old mine. The stream in the st	state of erial, de The DO liquid v	I by the state of South Dakota and is located within underground chambers of South Dakota has previously prepared the mine for research uses, including ewatering of accumulated underground water, and providing access, utilities E would occupy space within SURF to conduct the two experiments wastes generated by the project would be disposed of by SURF waste e no airborne emissions generated by project operation.
	1	MAJORANA	DEMONSTRATO	R (MJD)) Project
£-		require app acquisition would also water, elect bricks. Lov purposes. A expected to subsequent	roximately 360 system along verguire installa tricity, and lique we intensity seal Approximately ocommence in the system.	of sq. ft. with and tion and id nitroged radio 25 world 2012 and title t	e in a laboratory module approximately 4,850 feet underground. It would of laboratory space outfitted with a germanium detector array and its data sillary items such as desks, cabinets, and a small clean room. The project diprovision of typical laboratory utilities and systems, including cooling, gen supply. Shielding would be provided by removable lead and copper pactive sources would be used for detector calibration kers would be employed in the MJD laboratory at one time. The project is ad to last for approximately 6 years. To avoid cosmic ray activation and detector components would be fabricated in a SURF underground chamber try.

Page 2 of 6

LB-ER-12-01

(11/05) Previous editions are obsolete.

SC NEPA Tracking Number

		Large Underground Xenon Detector System		
		The LUX Detector System project would take place in the Davis cavern, located near the proplaboratory module at 4,850 feet underground. It would include an eight-meter-diameter tank water and titanium pressure vessels containing liquid Xenon and detector equipment. Auxilia would include Xenon storage and recovery, piping for liquid nitrogen, a data acquisition system and controls for all operating systems. Associated laboratory accommodations such as desks, clean room would also be installed. Approximately 25 workers would be employed in the LU one time. The project is expected to commence in 2012 and to last for approximately 4 years.	holding p ary system em, and m cabinets, JX labora	ourified ns nonitors , and a
		The tank and inner chambers would be designed and secured to withstand worst-case loads duactivity. Chemical use by this experiment would be minimal; purified water and Xenon would through closed loop cleaning and pumping systems. Other components may be washed with that can be discharged into the mine water system following permitted discharge rules. Solid generated by the project would be disposed of by SURF waste disposal personnel; there would emissions generated by project operation. At the conclusion of LUX operations, glass photon may be re-used in future experiments while most other components are readily recyclable.	d be reuse water and and liqui d be no a	ed l soap d wastes irborne
		Purpose and need:		
		The MJD project seeks to demonstrate technical feasibility – including the achievable low bac radiation level – for the construction of future research projects of tonne-scale neutrinoless do projects using the ⁷⁶ Ge isotope. Detection of Majorana neutrinos or similar particles would have impact on fundamental physics.	uble-beta	a-decay
		The purpose of the LUX Detector is to detect Weakly Interacting Massive Particles, which are theoretical dark matter candidate. Direct detection of Dark Matter would have a profound impophysics and cosmology. The LUX experiment would help develop and research technologies matter detectors.	oact on pa	ırticle
			Yes	No
	В.	Would the project proceed without Federal funding?		
		If "yes", describe the impact to the scope:		
II.	Des	cription of Affected Environment:		
		The proposed experiments would take place within the SURF portion of the former Homestak Lead, South Dakota. The surrounding area is mostly forested and rural. The town of Lead, p than 3,000, is adjacent to the Homestake site. Existing ongoing mine maintenance operations dewatering of the underground facility; maintenance of the surface and underground site facil necessary to provide safe access for both operation and science activities, including maintenance hoists, shaft conveyances, ventilation systems, dewatering pumping systems, water treatment power distribution, life safety systems, and communications systems; and maintenance of suffacilities that facilitate daily operation activities and all required utilities, materials, and supplements.	opulation include ity infras nce of the capability poorting s	tructure shafts,

III.	Pre	liminary Questions:		
			Yes	No
	A.	Is the DOE-funded work entirely a "paper study"?		
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.		
			140	

Page 3 of 6

LB-ER-12-01	

SC	NEPA	Tracking	Number	

B.	Would th	e work to be performed include work that would take place <i>outside</i> an existing		
		It would be located in a former underground gold mine.		
	And:			_
	Anu.			
	1.	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health?		×
	2.	Require the siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?		×
	3.	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment? Lead based paint and asbestos would be encountered during demolition		×
	4.	Adversely affect environmentally-sensitive resources identified in Section IV.A.?		Σ
	5.	Be connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a cumulatively significant impact?		Σ
	6.	Have an inherent <i>possibility</i> for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment (e.g., Biosafety Level 3-4 laboratories, activities involving high levels of radiation)?		
	If "No" t	o Question III.B. and ALL six subsequent questions, ensure the descriptions in Sec	ctions I a	nd II
	reflect th	is and go directly to Section V.		
'. <u>Po</u>	tential Envi	ronmental Effects:		-
At	ttach/insert	an explanation for each "Yes" response.		
Α.	Sensitive resources	Resources: Would the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to any or?	of the foll	owin
			Yes	1
	1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats		
	2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds)		
	3.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)		1 1

Page 4 of 6

LB-ER-12-01

(11/05) Previous editions are obsolete. SC NEPA Tracking Number

	Ì	19.	Asbestos Removal		
		20.	PCBs		
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances		
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use: Xenon would be stored and reused in a closed-loop	\boxtimes	
			system. Ordinary laboratory chemicals would be used in small quantities		
			under controlled conditions as per SURF oversight and operating rules.		
		23.	Pesticide Use		
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions		
		25.	Liquid Effluents: Small amounts of soapy water may be discharged under permit.	\boxtimes	
	\dashv	26.	Underground Injection		
_		27.	Hazardous Waste		
		28.	Underground Storage Tanks		
		29.	Radioactive Mixed Waste		
		30.	Radioactive Waste		
		31.	Radiation Exposure: Sealed sources with small quantities of very low-level radioactive materials would be used on both sites for calibration purposes.		
			These would be closely monitored and used in accordance with all applicable		
			regulations and procedures, including those identified in the University of		
			South Dakota's NRC license.		-
		32.	Surface Water Protection		
		33.	Pollution Prevention Act		
		34.	Ozone Depleting Substances		
		35.	Off-Road Vehicles		
		36.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory		
	C.	Other Releva	nt Information: Would the proposed action involve the following?		
				Yes	
		_	Potential Violation of Environment, Safety, or Health Regulations/Permits		
		37.	Siting/Construction/Major Modification of Waste Recovery, or Waste		
			Treatment, Storage, or Disposal Facilities	(-1	
		38.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	⊢뷰	
		39.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits	片片	
		40.	Public Controversy	- -	
		41	Environmental Justice		
		42.	Action/Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license, funding,		
		42	approval): See response to question 31, above. Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law: Operations at the		
		43.	SUL are supported and overseen by the State of South Dakota.		
		44.	Public Utilities/Services		
		45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	H	
		46.	Extraordinary Circumstances	H	\boxtimes
	-	47.	Connected Actions	⊢⊢	
		48.	Exclusively Bench-top Research	H	
		70.	Exclusively Belleti-top Research		
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
V.	Fina	ancial Assistan	ce Award Organization Concurrence:		
V.	<u>Fina</u>	ancial Assistan	ce Award Organization Concurrence:		

Title):

raye J UI (Page	5	of	6
-------------	------	---	----	---

LB-ER-12-01
SC NEPA Tracking Number

			Signature:	/s/			<u></u>
						Date:	11-30-11
			e-mail:	JGPhilliber@lbl.gov			
	B.	Ontional Consum	rence (Name and Title):				
	ъ.	Optional Concur	Signature:				
			Signature.			Date:	
	-	e-mail:			Phone:	Duto.	
			-				
	ainde	er to be completed	by SC				
VI.	SC	Concurrence/Reco	ommendation/Determinat	ion:			
	A.	SC Berkeley Site	Office:				
		Name and Title:		Barry Savnik, Federal	Project Director		
	\Box		Signature:	/s/			1 1
						Date:	11/30/11
	B.	SC NEPA Team	e-mail:	barry.savnik@bso.scie	nce.doe.gov		
i	Б.	SC NEPA Team	Keview.				
		Organization by		termination or a recommon officer (NCO) under Subprior CFR 1021.410 ?			
ļ			Yes 🖂	No 🗌			
	ľ	0 .0					
		Specific class(es)	of action from Appendi	ces A-D to Subpart D (10) CFR 1021):		
	İ	B2.1 V	Workplace enhancemen	nts			
		develope improve	ed area, to enhance wo	uous to an existing stru rkplace habitability (in- ation shielding, or heati action).	cluding, but not lin	mited to,	installation or
		small-sc preparat (general actions, previous readily a that are t	ale research and develor ion of chemical standard ly less than 2 years) from provided that constructed disturbed or developed cessible). Not include an undertaken at a scale to able for commercial designs.	•	ntional laboratory s); and small-scale verify a concept be ould be within or c utilities and currer demonstration action	operation e pilot pro efore dem contiguous atly used a ons, mean	ns (such as jects onstration s to a roads are ning actions
		Name and Title:	Kim Abbott, NEPA Pro	ogram Manager			
		Signature:	/s/			Date:	11/30/11
		e-mail:	kim.abbott@bso.scienc	e.aoe.gov			

SC-	F	560-	ACQ
-----	---	------	-----

Page 6 of 6

10	-	-12-	\sim
1 17-	-n	- 1 /-	471

(11/05) Previous editions are obsolete.

SC NEPA Tracking Number

C. SC ISC C	ounsel (if necessary):	
Name and	Title	
	lature:	
		Date:
(-mail:	
D. SC ISC F	eld Office NEPA Compliance Officer:	
1021.400.	pages are a record of documentation required under in may be categorically excluded from further NEPA meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion r	review. I have determined that the proposed
☐ Actio	on requires approval by Head of the Field Organization	
	on requires approval by Head of the Field Organization at an Environmental Impact Statement.	on or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend
Comments/Lir	nitations if necessary:	
Print Name	Gary S. Hartman	
Signature:		Date:
		/2/)/2