## **NEPA SCREENING FORM**

**Document Number:** DOE/CX-00029

## I. Project Title:

Project L-691, 200 West Area Sanitary Sewage Lagoon

II. Project Description and Location (including time period over which proposed action will occur and project dimensions - e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth, etc.):

Project L-691 will construct a sanitary sewage lagoon system near the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site. This sanitary sewage lagoon system will include a septage dump station, a multi-cell sewage lagoon plant, a septage stabilization facility, and an intermittent filter system with an evaporative lagoon. Current plans are to locate the new facility directly north of the 200 West Area perimeter fence line; near the northeast corner. The sanitary sewage lagoon system will be partially above grade and will be double-lined with flexible membranes. The sanitary sewage lagoon system will be designed for a flow of approximately 50,000 gpd and will be constructed with a leak detection and monitoring system. The sanitary sewage lagoon system will be capable of receiving wastewater and septage from the service areas within the Hanford Site, treating the wastewater, disposing the biosolids, and discharging the effluent to the evaporative lagoon, while achieving zero-discharge to the soil column. The sanitary sewage lagoon system will disturb an area approximately 31 acres in size.

Ecological resources review #2010-200-054 was completed on September 3, 2010. The ecological resources review found no plant or animal species protected under the Endangered Species Act, candidates for such protection, or species listed by Washington State as threatened or endangered. The ecological resources review was conducted outside the migratory bird nesting season. The proposed construction site for the sanitary sewage lagoon system may support ground-nesting or shrub-nesting migratory bird species (i.e., horned lark or lark sparrow, respectively). If construction is conducted during the period from March 1, 2011 to April 15, 2011 (the expiration date for the ecological resource review); and if any nesting birds (if not a nest, then a pair of birds of the same species or a single bird that will not leave the construction area when disturbed) are encountered or bird defensive behaviors (flying at workers, refusal to leave the area, or strident vocalizations) are observed, then a biological resource specialist will be contacted for further consultation. The ecological resource review is valid until April 15, 2011.

Hanford cultural resources review HCRC#2010-200-054 was completed on January 4, 2011. Based on archaeological survey and subsurface testing performed in the Area of Potential Effect, no cultural resources are anticipated. However, during construction activities all workers will be directed to watch for pre-contact and historic cultural resources (e.g., bones, stone tools, rock features, hearths, historic footings, foundations, ceramics, bottles, cans). If any cultural materials are encountered, then work in the vicinity of the discovery will stop until a cultural resource specialist has been notified, the significance of the find accessed, Tribes notified, and if necessary, arrangements made for mitigation of the find.

the find accessed, Tribes notified, and if necessary, arrangements made for mitigation of the	ring.	
III. Reviews (if applicable):	Describeration of the second s	
Biological Review Report #: 2010-200-054		
Cultural Review Report #: HCRC-2010-200-054		
No Potential to Cause Effect (NPCE) Determination: [X] YES [ ] NO		
IV. Existing NEPA Documentation (Steps 3 and 4 of Contractor Screening Process)	YES NO	
Is the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or under CERCLA?	[ ] [X]	
If "NO," proceed to Section V. If "YES," List EA, EIS, or CERCLA Document(s) Title and	Number:	
And then complete Section VII and provide electronic copy of signed NRSF to DOE NCO f information (see Step 6 of Contractor Screening Process).	or	

RL-721, REV 0 Page 1 of 3

V. Sitewide Categorical Exclusion (see Step 5 of Contractor Screening Process)					
Does the proposed action fall within the scope of a Hanford Sitewide Categorical	YES NO				
Exclusion?					
If "YES," list Sitewide Categorical Exclusion to be applied and complete Sitewide Categorical Exclusion					
Criteria:					
Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria	VEC NO				
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the	YES NO				
significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	[][]				
Is the action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts [see 40 CFR	YES NO				
1508.25(a)(1)] or result in cumulatively significant impacts [see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2)]?					
Does the proposed action impact sensitive species or their habitats?	YES NO				
	[][]				
Does the action involve or disturb the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake					
Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte or other Traditional Cultural Properties or	YES NO				
properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance, or occur within one-					
fourth mile of the Columbia River?  If "NO" to all Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, complete Section V	I and mayida				
electronic copy of Initiator/ECO signed NRSF to DOE NCO for information (see Step 6 of 6)					
Screening Process).	Contractor				
bereening 1 toccss).					
If "YES" to any of the Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, attach appro	opriate				
explanatory information and provide NRSF to DOE NCO; DOE initiates DOE NEPA Review					
Process - Step 1 by completing Section VI and VIII, as appropriate.					
VI. Categorical Exclusion					
Does the proposed action fall within a class of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B	YES NO				
to Subpart D of 10 CFR Part 1021?	[X] [ ]				
List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Criteria (based on Eligibi	lity Criteria				
of the NEPA Determination Procedure):					
<b>,</b>					
B1.26 - Siting, construction (or expansion, modification, or replacement), operation, and					
decommissioning of small (total capacity less than approximately 250,000 gallons per day)	wastewater				
and surface water treatment facilities whose liquid discharges are externally regulated, and s	mall potable				
water and sewage treatment facilities.					
Categorical Exclusion Criteria					
Does the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit	YES NO				
requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive	[ ] [X]				
Orders?					
Does the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste	YES NO				
storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?	[ ] [X]				
Does the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or	YES NO				
CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment	[ ] [X]				
such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?					
Does the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?	YES NO				
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the	YES NO				
significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?					
Is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in	YES NO				
cumulatively significant impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	[ ] [X]				
If "NO" to all Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO completes Section					
provides electronic copy of signed NRSF to contractor, and otherwise complies with Step 4 of the DOE					

RL-721, REV 0 Page 2 of 3

NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1.

If "YES" to any of the Categorical Exclusion Criteria questions above, DOE NCO complies with Step 5 of the DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 1, and initiates DOE NEPA Review Screening Process - Step 2.

VII. Approvals			
Title	Name (Printed)	Signature	Date
Initiator	* 12 · 1.		
Environmental Compliance Officer or NEPA-SME	Jerry W. Cammann	J.W. Cammann	1/31/2011

## VIII. Approval/Determination

DOE NEPA Compliance Officer: Woody Russell

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of action:

NCO Determination: [X] CX [ ] EA [ ] EIS		
Signature: All. Russell	Date: _	1-31-11