U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management National Environmental Policy Act Environmental Checklist

Project/Activity: Reclamation Project in Lease Tract C-G-27, Uranium Leasing Program

A. Brief Project/Activity Description

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) proposes to reclaim subsidence features associated with the New G-3 Mine Site and G-3 Shaft Mine. Independent contractors associated with Energy Fuels Resources, the leaseholder, would complete all work in an estimated day. The subsidence features are considered a public safety concern. This lease tract is on Outlaw Mesa, along the southern flank of the Uncompanyer Plateau in southwestern Mesa County. Workers would access the site on existing dirt track roads.

Portions of the New G-3 Mine entrance have crumbled and left access to the mine decline open. A trackhoe would be used to clean out small debris that has fallen into the decline, and then to place large boulders into the opening, creating a stable platform. Mine-waste rock in the surrounding area would be used for fill. After the opening has been filled, the surface would be finished with soil materials from the surrounding area, and then all newly disturbed areas would be seeded with an approved seed mixture. The current mine opening is approximately 4 feet square. After the completion of these activities, an area comprising 0.1 acre would be reclaimed. Past mining activity has highly disturbed all surrounding areas.

An associated subsidence at the G-3 Shaft Mine Site also needs to be addressed. A trackhoe would be used to place mine-waste rock materials into the subsidence. Available soil materials would then be placed over the mine-waste rock and seeded with an approved seed mixture.

B. Environmental Concerns

Evaluate the following elements and indicate by checking "yes" or "no" if any phase of the project/activity would result in a change or impact that is subject to regulatory permits, controls, or plans or that would require additional evaluation. If the "yes" column is checked, provide a brief explanation below, and attach sheets with additional detail as necessary or appropriate.

Element	Yes	No	Element	Yes	No
Air emissions/air quality	×		Exposure/impacts to public or workers	I	Ø
Noise	Ø		Need for public awareness/involvement	愩	Ø
Solid waste generation		Ø	Transportation/traffic control required	一	Ø
Mixed waste management		Ø	Access to/use of DOE property		×
Chemical storage on site		Ø	Visual resources impacted	t n	Ø
Pesticide/herbicide use		Ø	Cultural/archaeological resources present		Ħ
Toxic substances management		Ø	Wetland/floodplain impacted	計	Ø
Regulated quantities of petroleum used or stored on site		×	Protected species present: federal, state, or tribe listed		
Radioactive materials/soils		×	Migratory birds breeding or nesting	Ø	П
Surface (ground) disturbance	Ø		Wild/scenie rivers impacted	Ħ	×
Surface water use/contamination		×	Prime/unique farmlands present		\boxtimes
Surface water quality		\boxtimes	Groundwater use/contamination		Ø
Oroundwater quality affected		Ø	Other considerations		\boxtimes

C. Explanation and Qualification of All "Yes" Responses

Air emissions/air quality: Backfilling the subsided areas may result in minor and temporary fugitive dust.

<u>Noise:</u> The use of heavy equipment would temporarily elevate noise levels. There are no nearby residences in this remote area.

<u>Surface (ground) disturbance:</u> Surface disturbance covering approximately 0.1 acre would be associated with the proposed activities.

<u>Cultural/archaeological resources present</u>: The affected areas are within a previously reclaimed mine site, and in accordance with U.S. Bureau of Land Management guidance, cultural resource surveys are not required for such sites.

Protected species present: federal, state, or tribe listed: No listed species are known to be present in the affected area.

Migratory birds breeding or nesting: The proposed work would be conducted after migratory bird breeding and nesting season is over.

D. Eligibility/Conditions

The proposed action fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix A or B to Subpart D of Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1021 (10 CFR 1021). DOB has determined that these classes of actions do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment (see 10 CFR 1021.410). There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action, and the proposed action is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts. Finally, the action is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

E. Recommendation

The proposed reclamation of the subsided areas are allowable activities under Criterion B1.28 of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021, "Minor activities that are required to place a facility in an environmentally safe condition where there is no proposed use for the facility." The reclamation of the disturbed areas is an allowable activity under Criterion B1.3 (k), "Brosion control and soil stabilization measures."

acu	ivity under Criterion B1.3 (k), "Brosi	on control and soil stabilization me	asures."				
	Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria	Unsure				
The the	National Environmental Policy Act escope of actions proposed under Sec potential for environmental impacts ermined:	ction A of this Environmental Chec	klist, and the information relevant to nd the following has been				
\boxtimes	The proposed actions meet the criteria for categorical exclusion.						
	The proposed actions do not meet the criteria for categorical exclusion; therefore, I recommend that the LM NBPA Planning Board be convened based on my recommendation (see attached rationale) to complete:						
	an Interim Action.	an Environme	ntal Assessment.				
	an Environmental Impact State	ment. a Supplement	al Analysis.				

Concurrences

Project/Activity: Reclamation Project in Lease Tract C-G-27, Uranium Leasing Program

LM Site Name Energy Fuels LT C-G-27	LM Site Program Uranium Leasing Program				
Contractor NEPA Coordinator Sandy Beranich	Signature Sandy Berduich	Date 6-22-10			
Contractor Program Lead Ed Cotter	Signature	Date 6/22/2010			
LM Program Manager Laura Kilpatrick	Signature Square & Kilpar DOE LM - Roc 2010.06.22 18	rick ^{te} ky Flats :30:23 -06'00'			
LM NEPA Compliance Officer Richard Bush	Signature UMM	Date (/2//10			

Distribution upon signature:

- R. Bush, LM NEPA Compliance Officer
- L. Kilpatrick, LM Program Manager
- S. Beranich, Stoller NEPA Coordinator
- E. Cotter, Stoller Uranium Leasing Program Lead
- S. Osborn, Stoller Compliance Manager