# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Sammamish-Maple Valley #1 230kV Urgent Work in Line Miles 13-28

PP&A No.: 4014

**Project Manager:** Thomas Murphy, TELP-TPP-3

Location: King County, WA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to perform urgent work on the Sammamish-Maple Valley #1 230kV transmission line between structure 13/1 and structure 28/2. The work would include replacing conductor hardware and insulators on structures 13/1, 13/3, 15/1, 15/4, 16/2, 16/3, 16/4, 16/5, 17/1, 17/2, 17/3, 17/4, 18/1, 18/2, 24/2, 26/5, 27/3, 27/4, and 28/2. The work would also include replacing the conductor between structures 16/2 and 16/3, 16/3 and 16/4, 16/4 and 16/5, 16/5 and 17/1, 17/1 and 17/2, 17/2 and 17/3, 17/3 and 17/4, 17/4 and 17/5, 17/5 and 18/1, 18/1 and 18/2, and 18/2 and 18/3. This work would also require rock to be added to existing access roads leading to structures 18/2 and 27/3.

Replacing conductor hardware and insulators would include the use of bucket trucks and light duty trucks. Work would include replacing existing conductor hardware and insulators with similar or in-kind parts. Replacing the conductor would be done using a combination of line trucks, bucket trucks, and light duty trucks. The conductor would be replaced span by span in a way that does not require pulling or tensioning sites. Rock would be added to existing access roads using dump trucks and a grader. No culverts or any other type of road feature that requires ground disturbance would be added.

All of the above activities would take place in the existing right-of-way or adjacent to the right-of-way, would be conducted above ground, and therefore would not require tree clearing or ground disturbance.

Findings: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations,	, BPA finds that the proposed action is categor	ically excluded from
further NEPA review.		

/s/ <u>Jonnel Deacon</u> Jonnel Deacon EPR-4 Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist Date: *July 23, 2018* 

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Sammamish-Maple Valley #1 230kV Urgent Work in Line Miles 13-28

### **Project Site Description**

The work area occurs on BPA fee-owned land in a residential and suburban area near the town of Issaquah, WA. The area is mostly level and is comprised of sub-developments, parks, and paved roads.

# **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	Explanation: BPA Archeologist Brian O'Donnchada reviewed the proposed work to be carried out between structures 13/1 and 28/2 on the Sammamish-Maple Valley line on 7/11/2018. The work consists of in-kind conductor hardware and insulator replacement with some re-conductoring between some spans. No ground disturbance would occur as part of this work; all access roads are extant and well maintained; and no lay-down or P/T sites are required. All work would occur in existing steel lattice structures.					
	As a result, this work has no potential to affect historic properties and no additional review under Section 106 of the NHPA is required.					
	If resources are discovered during construction acstaff (BPA and WA DAHP) would be contacted.	tivities, work would ce	ase and the appropriate archaeological			
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: No ground-disturbing activities are pr	oposed.				
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Work would occur in areas maintaine species are present.	ed as an open transmiss	sion line corridor. No special-status			
4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)					
	<u>Explanation</u> : Work would occur in areas maintaine status species or designated habitats are present.	ed as an open transmiss	sion line corridor. No mapped special-			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
	Explanation: Structure 27/3 is currently located 730 feet west of the Cedar River. The access road maintenance and conductor hardware and insulator replacement would not require any ground-disturbing activities and					

	would not remove any vegetation. There would be no in-water work required. A no effect determination addressing ESA-listed aquatic species and essential fish habitat was completed for the project.				
6.	Wetlands	<b>▽</b>			
	Explanation: No wetlands occur at, or within, the area of influence of the work sites.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>▽</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Project activities do not have the potential to impact groundwater or aquifers, including public and private water wells or springs. Any spills would be addressed immediately and follow BPA protocol for cleanup and regulatory notifications.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>▽</b>			
	Explanation: The project locations are within existing transmission line rights-of-way and would not change existing land uses. Project locations do not include any specially designated areas.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>▽</b>			
	Explanation: Although a small number of structures would have new conductor installed and have conductor hardware and insulators replaced, the overall visual change would be consistent with the existing transmission line use in the area.				
10.	Air Quality	<b>▽</b>			
	Explanation: The project has a short duration and involves normal construction equipment activities. A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions is expected due to construction.				
11.	Noise	▼			
	Explanation: Noise disturbance would be limited to general construction equipment activities, would be for a short duration, and would occur during daylight hours.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>~</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : No known hazardous conditions are expected. Completion of this project would increase system stability and reliability to the service area.				

## **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

### Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

### Explanation, if necessary:

#### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: BPA Realty Services has been in contact with landowners/managers along the project corridor to allow feedback concerning the proposed project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Jonnel Deacon Date: July 23, 2018

Jonnel Deacon - EPR-4

Physical Scientist (Environmental)