Date Received for Clearance Process (MM/DD/YYYY) 12/11/2017 JDA	INE		EADANCE FORM					
12/05/2017	INFORMATION CLEARANCE FORM							
A. Information Category	B. Document Number DOE/CX-00085, Rev. 4							
Abstract Journal Article	C. Title							
Summary Internet	NEPA Review Screening Form - CHPRC							
Visual Aid Software	Installation or Relocation of Machinery and Equipment, December							
Full Paper Report	2017 to December 2018							
Other NEPA CX	D. Proposed Internet							
E. Required Information (MANDATORY)	Address	7. Does Information (	Contain the Following:					
Is document potentially Classified?	lo Yes	a. New or Novel (Patentable) Subject Matter?   No Yes						
Robert R Nielson	del.	If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 3						
Manager Required (Prin	( and Sign)	If "Yes", Disclosure No.: N/A						
If Yes N/A		b. Commercial Proprietary Information Received in Confidence, Such as Proprietary and/or Inventions?						
ADC Required (Print and Sign)	No		Yes If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 4					
			•					
c. Corporate Privileged Information?   No Yes Exemption No.  If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 4								
3. Export Controlled Information   No Yes OUO Exemption No. 3  d. Government Privileged Information?  No Yes								
4. UCNI   No Yes   If "Yes", Exemption No. 5  e. Copyrights?  No Yes If "Yes", Attach Pe								
5. Applied Technology    No C	Yes OUO Exemption No. 5	f. Trademarks?	<ul><li>No Yes If "Yes", Attach Permission.</li><li>No Yes If "Yes", Identify in Document.</li></ul>					
6. Other (Specify) N/A		8. Is Information requi	iring submission to OSTI?   No Yes					
			Public					
	F. Complete for a	Journal Article						
1. Title of Journal $^{N/A}$								
	G. Complete for a	a Procentation						
	O. Complete for a	a Fresentation						
Title for Conference or Meeting N/A								
2. Group Sponsoring N/A								
3. Date of Conference N/A	4	. City/State N/A	10					
5. Will Information be Published in Proceeding	gs?   No Yes 6.	. Will Material be Hand	led Out?   No Yes					
H. Information Owner/Author/Requestor	,	Responsible Manager	///					
Noah S Cruz		Robert R Niel:	son /////					
(Print and Sign)	0	(Print and Sign)						
Approval by Direct Report to President (Speed	h/Articles Only) $\frac{N/A}{\text{(Print and S)}}$	Sian)						
I. Reviewers Yes Print		Signature	Public Y/N (If N, complete J)					
General Counsel R.T. S	Swenson/E.D. Trotta	- hi /2	Ø/N					
Office of External Affairs			Y / N					
DOE			Y / N					
Other <u>J.D. A</u>	ardal	Y - Public,	See below. Y / N					
Other			Y / N					
Other			Y / N					
J. Comments			Information Clearance Approval					
DOE requested Information C categorical exclusion (RL-7,	learance Form with N 21 Form).	EPA	APPROVED By Janis Aardal at 10:08 am, Dec 11, 2017					
			Approved for Public Release;					
			Further Dissemination Unlimited					

## NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions Included in CXs

Document ID #: DOE/CX-00085Rev4

## I. Project Title:

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company- Installation or Relocation of Machinery and Equipment, 2017 to 2018

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company (PRC) and its subcontractors perform installation or relocation and operation of machinery and equipment (including, but not limited to, laboratory equipment, electronic hardware, manufacturing machinery, maintenance equipment, and health and safety equipment), provided that uses of the installed or relocated items are consistent with the general missions of the receiving structure. Covered actions include modifications to an existing building, within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area, that are necessary for equipment installation and relocation. Such modifications would not appreciably increase the footprint or height of the existing building or have the potential to cause significant changes to the type and magnitude of environmental impacts.

Actions performed under this Annual CX include, but are not limited to, those actions listed in the PRC contract, DE-ACO6-08RL14788, Section J.2., "Hanford Site Services and Interface Requirements Matrix" and implementing protocols, policies, and procedures. The buildings, structures, infrastructures, and equipment subject to this annual CX include, but are not limited to, those listed in Sections J.13, "Hanford Site Structures List" and J.14, "Hanford Waste Site Assignment List" where PRC is the assigned contractor or provides other services to other Hanford contractors. The PRC contract includes the original contract and subsequent modification/amendments executed to adjust terms, conditions and other requirements contained therein.

Actions performed under this Annual CX shall not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This Annual CX shall only be applied to actions that meet the requirements (i.e., 10 CFR 1021.410) and conditions that are "integral elements" (i.e., 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B) for categorically excluding actions under the provisions of the NEPA regulations. There shall be no extraordinary circumstances where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting ecological resources, ecological resource reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify plant and animal species for protection under the Endangered Species Act, candidates for protection, or listing by federal or state agencies as threatened or endangered consistent with DOE/RL-96-32, "Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan" or other applicable guidance documents and agreements. Caution shall be exercised during the bird nesting season (mid-March to mid-July). If nesting birds, pair of birds of the same species, or bird defensive behaviors is observed, then work shall stop and a qualified Ecological Resources Specialist shall be contacted for guidance. Actions that potentially affect ecological resources shall require a resources review and clearance before proceeding. This includes, but may not be limited to, actions that require an excavation permit; disturb the ground; remove or modify dead or living vegetative cover; occur within a Bald Eagle exclusion zone; expand roadways/parking lots; require off-road travel; involve unusual noise, light, or chemicals that may affect wildlife; located on the Hanford Reach National Monument; located in a posted ecologically sensitive area; conducted on the outside of structures; conducted in abandoned structures; and have the potential to alter or affect the living environment. If an ecological resources review determines potentially adverse impacts, then appropriate mitigation actions shall be identified and implemented to avoid,

## NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions included in CXs (Continued)

Document ID #: DOE/CX-00085Rev4

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

minimize, eliminate, rectify, or compensate the impacts.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting cultural resources, cultural resource reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify resource protection consistent with the "Programmatic Agreement among the U.S. Department of Energy, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and Washington State Historic Preservation Office for Maintenance, Deactivation, Alteration, and Demolition of the Built Environment on the Hanford Site" (DOE/RL-96-77); the "Hanford Site Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District Treatment Plan" (DOE/RL-97-56); the "Cultural Resources Management Plan" (DOE/RL-98-10), and other applicable guidance documents and agreements (e.g., "Gable Mountain and Gable Butte Management Plan" [DOE/RL-2008-17]). Cultural sensitivity shall be determined using site location topographic maps, geographic information system databases, and/or pedestrian surveys to identify proximity to cultural resources (i.e., historic buildings, traditional cultural properties, artifacts, and previously recorded archaeological sites).

Actions located within the geographic boundary of a significant cultural resource or historic property, Traditional Cultural Property (including but not limited to Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte, Mooli Mooli, and other undocumented areas), cemetery or burial or within 400 meters of the Columbia River may be located in culturally sensitive areas.

DOE/RL-96-77 exempts from cultural resources review certain actions that take place indoors or do not affect certain facilities identified in Tables A.5 through A.7 of DOE/RL-97-56. These actions are listed in Stipulation III of DOE/RL-96-77 and include, but may not be limited to, routine maintenance; replacement in kind; refinishing in kind; energy conservation measures; security and personal safety systems; actions associated with post Cold war buildings and structures; asbestos abatement actions; and facility transition actions to deactivate, de-energize, or isolate systems. Exemptions are also provided for mobile trailers, modular buildings, subsurface structures, storage tanks, wells/boreholes, and towers. If the action affects a facility that appears in Tables A.5 or A.6 of DOE/RL-97-56, and the undertaking is not exempt based on Section III.B of DOE/RL-96-77, then a cultural resources review shall be performed.

Historic structures or locations that require cultural resources review and clearance include, but may not be limited to, the Hanford Site Manhattan Project National Historic Park, including the Bruggemann Agricultural Complex Warehouse, White Bluffs Bank, Hanford High School, B Reactor, and Hanford Irrigation District Pump House. Other historic structures and locations include the White Bluffs Log Cabin, Hanford Townsite, Hanford Substation, White Bluffs Townsite, AAA Military Camps, NIKE Missile Base, and selected historic buildings (303-A, 314, 305, 1116-N, 212-N, 181-B, 105-B, 116-B, 276-B, 222-T, 221-T, 291-T, 183-KW, 234-5Z, 291-Z, 232-Z, and 2736-Z).

Workers shall be directed to watch for cultural materials (i.e., bones, stone tools, mussel shells, cans, bottles, etc.). If encountered, then work near the discovery shall stop until a qualified Cultural Resource Specialist is contacted, the significance of the find determined, appropriate Tribes notified, and mitigation arranged and implemented, as needed.

CHPRC uses an Environmental Activity Screening Form (EASF) to review projects. It shall be incumbent upon the Environmental Compliance Officers, NEPA Subject Matter Experts, or other NEPA trained individuals to ensure that the requirements and conditions discussed

## **NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM**

Document ID #: DOE/CX-00085Rev4

for Actions Included in CXs (Continued)

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. if the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

herein are met prior to applying this Annual CX to actions. They shall also be responsible for ensuring that no extraordinary circumstances exist where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

This annual CX is approved pursuant to 10 CFR 1021.410(f) which states that "proposed recurring actions undertaken during a specified time period, such as routine maintenance for a year, may be addressed in a single categorical

and the addressed in a single categorical exclusion determinate	fion aft.	dane i
considering the potential aggregated impacts" to ensure no extraordinary exist. This annual CX will expire one year from the date authorized by the compliance of the constant		
Compliance Officer and will require reauthorization on an annual basis.	ie Hanio	rd NEPA
iil. Applicable Reviews (attach to NRSF):		
Biological Review Report #:		((4))
Cultural Review Report#:	,	
Additional Attachments:		
4		
IV: Existing Documentation:		
_		
Are the impacts of the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or CERCLA document?	Yes	⊠ No
If "VEC" upo Cito Earm & COCC DAD Anti-		
If "YES", use Site Form A-6006-948, Actions Adequately Evaluated in NEPA or CERCLA Doct	ment	
V. Categorical Exclusion:		
Does the proposed action fall within a category of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 10217 If extraordinary circumstances or integral elements would preclude the use of a CX, check "No".	⊠ Yes	☐ No
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the		
environmental effects of the proposal?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
s the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant mpacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	∐ Yes	⊠ No
ist CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements (where an action might fit within mul hat best fils the proposed action):	tiple CXs, us	se the CX
O CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B1.31, "Installation or Relocation of Machinery	& Equipa	nent"

for Actions Included In CXs (Continued)					Document II	DE/CX-00085Reve		
					DOE/CX-00			
	i Exclusion Integral i					•		
Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?						Yes	⊠ No	
Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?						Yes	⊠ No	
Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?						r 🗀 Yes	⊠ No	
Would the prop	osed action adversely	affect environm	entally sensitive res	ources?		☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?					☐ Yes	⊠ No		
If "NO" to all Int If "YES" to any	tegral Elements questi of the Categorical Exc	ons above, comp iusion integral E	plete Section VI, an lements questions	d provide NRSF to DO	E NCO for rev	iew. nal NEPA Revi	iew.	
	ie Contractor Signat							
Initiator:								
Noah S Cruz			The	2 C-		11/1/	2017	
Name	Name Print Signature					Date		
ognizant Env	ironmental Complian	ce Officer:						
Paul W Mart:	_		Paul	W. na	ويمري	who	2017	
Name	Print		Paul W. Mann				Date	
II. DOE Appro	oval/Determination		****					
OE NEPA Com	pliance Officer:	Diori L. Kr	eske, NEPA Com	oliance Officer (	NCO)			
ased on my revie as authorized und	rw of information conveye ler DOE Order 451.1B), t	d to me and in my	Dossession (or attack	ed) concerning the prese		IEPA Compliano	e Officer	
	CO Determination:	<b>⊠</b> cx	*NCO Recomme		EIS			
	Din The	ske		-	12/5	117		
	*NRS		vould be completed	by responsible contrac		ire		
			-					