Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Correction of Western Oregon Line Impairments

PP&A No.: 3226

Project Manager: Thomas Murphy, TELP-TPP-3

Location: Curry and Coos Counties, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to remove two critical line impairments on two different transmission line spans in Western Oregon: Fairview-Rogue 40/5-41/1 (Curry County) and Reedsport-Fairview 21/4-21/6 (Coos County). An impairment is an area where the distance from the conductor to the ground surface is inadequate, per National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) standards, resulting in a threat to line reliability and posing a risk to public health and safety. The proposed work is necessary to ensure the line meets current National Electrical Safety Code (NESC) and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) standards.

The proposed action at each impairment site would include the removal of any stumps or large woody debris, followed by excavation to a maximum depth of approximately 10-feet of soil to ensure adequate transmission line conductor clearance from the ground below. The size of the work area in the right-of-way will vary based on terrain, but is typically near the middle of the span, where the conductor sags closest to the ground. All of the proposed work will occur in the existing, cleared right-of-way corridor on relatively flat terrain, using existing access roads. Some minor access road maintenance may be needed on the existing gravel roads to the sites. Both impairments are on privately-owned land for which BPA has an easement. Equipment is likely to include a combination of the following: a dump truck, a bulldozer, a backhoe, an excavator, and work trucks. The sites and any redistributed material will be contoured to match surrounding terrain, seeded, and mulched to prevent erosion and provide site stabilization.

The proposed work is necessary to ensure ongoing safe and reliable operation of the transmission line to minimize risk of outages and maintain power delivery in the region. Due to the urgent nature of the activity, BPA is proposing to perform the work in summer-fall 2015. All work will be in accordance with NESC and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);

- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *July 20, 2015*

/s/ <u>Caitlin SH Colson</u> Caitlin SH Colson Program Analyst

Concur:

/s/ <u>Katherine S. Pierce</u>
Katherine S. Pierce
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Correction of Western Oregon Line Impairments

Project Site Description

The Fairview-Rogue (Curry County) work site is on a steep sideslope of an unnamed hill in the Klamath Mountains with dense, impenetrable underbrush. The Reedsport-Fairview (Coos County) work site is on a sideslope of an unnamed ridge in the foothills of the Coast Range that is mostly disturbed by the presence of access roads.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
	Explanation: A survey and Section 106 consultation was completed for the Fairview-Rogue and Reedsport-Fairview line spans located in Curry and Coos Counties, respectively. No resources were identified in the vicinity of the work sites. SHPO concurrence on the Areas of Potential Affect was received on 12/19/2014; SHPO suggested subsurface probing in addition to a pedestrian survey. Shovel test probes were conducted as part of the archaeological survey by BPA contractor Archaeologist.		
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: The proposed work will create a dist excess material will be contoured to match the su		·
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No ESA- or special status species are	e known to exist in the v	icinity of the work sites.
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No ESA- or special status species are	e known to exist in the v	icinity of the work sites.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: An intermittent stream is located wi intermittent stream is expected to be dry in the si intermittent stream is located approximately 200 vegetation.	ummer months when w	ork is to be completed; additionally, the

6. Wetlands	~			
Explanation: There are no wet	Explanation: There are no wetlands in the vicinity of the work sites.			
7. Groundwater and Aquifers	~			
Explanation: The proposed wo	ork is in an upland areas.			
8. Land Use and Specially Design	nated Areas			
Explanation: Proposed action	in existing ROW corridors will not impact land us	se.		
9. Visual Quality	▼			
Explanation: Proposed action	Explanation: Proposed action in existing ROW corridors will not alter or effect visual quality.			
10. Air Quality	▽			
Explanation: Any fugitive dust and negligible.	Explanation: Any fugitive dust or vehicle emissions generated during project implementation will be temporary and negligible.			
11. Noise	V			
Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized.				
12. Human Health and Safety	~			
Explanation: Proposed action i	in existing ROW corridors will not impact human	health or safety.		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements				
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:				
Threaten a violation of applica health, or similar requirements	s for environment, safety, and			
Explanation, if necessary:				
Require siting and constructio facilities (including incinerator	recovery, or treatment			
Explanation, if necessary:				
products that preexist in the e	, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded p nvironment such that there would be uncontrolle			
Explanation, if necessary:				

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: The proposed work will occur within BPA transmission line right-of-way and access roads. The land owners will be notified.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Caitlin SH Colson</u> Date: <u>July 20, 2015</u>

Caitlin SH Colson, KEP-4