

# Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration  
Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Alcoa Power Sales Contract Amendment

**Project Manager:** Mark Miller – PTL-5

**Location:** Portland, OR and Ferndale, WA

**Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):** B4.1 Contracts, policies, and marketing and allocation plans for electric power

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to amend its existing long-term firm power sales contract with Alcoa to reduce the amount of firm power served under the contract from 300 average megawatts (aMW) to 75 aMW for the contract term that expires on September 30, 2022.

**Findings:** Amending BPA's existing power sales contract with Alcoa would reduce the amount of power BPA currently provides to Alcoa's Intalco smelter, which is already in existence and currently operating. BPA expects to provide this power from existing generation resources that would continue to operate within normal operating limits. BPA would continue to supply this power over existing transmission lines that connect Intalco to the electrical transmission system, thus no physical changes to the transmission system would occur. In addition, reducing the amount of power provided under the contract would not result in a change in Intalco's existing operations in such a way that environmental impacts would significantly differ from the currently existing situation.

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow

Jeffrey J. Maslow

Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce

Katherine S. Pierce

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: April 23, 2015

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

## Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Alcoa Power Sales Contract Amendment

---

### Project Site Description

Alcoa Intalco Aluminum Smelter near Ferndale, Washington.

### Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1. <b>Historic and Cultural Resources</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on historic and cultural resources.		
2. <b>Geology and Soils</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on geology and soils.		
3. <b>Plants</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on plants.		
4. <b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special-status species and habitats)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on wildlife.		
5. <b>Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish</b> (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Explanation:</u> Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on water bodies, floodplains, and fish.		

6. **Wetlands**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on wetlands.

7. **Groundwater and Aquifers**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on groundwater and aquifers.

8. **Land Use and Specially Designated Areas**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on land use and specially designated areas.

9. **Visual Quality**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on visual quality.

10. **Air Quality**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on air quality.

11. **Noise**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on noise.

12. **Human Health and Safety**



Explanation:

Because the contract amendment would not require BPA to take an action with potential to affect the environment, there would be no effect on human health and safety.

### Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

- Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

- Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

- Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

- Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

---

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description:

---

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow  
Jeffrey J. Maslow – KEC-4

Date: April 23, 2015