Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: June 19, 2014

REPLY TO

ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

TO: Molly Kovaka TEP-CSB-2

Proposed Action: Alcoa Digital Upgrade in Oregon and Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.7 Fiber optic cable

Location: Vancouver, WA

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to upgrade its analog communication system to a digital communication system at five existing facilities in Multnomah County, Oregon and Clark County, Washington. The following facilities would be affected:

- Alcoa Substation (Washington)
- Ross Substation (Washington)
- Dittmer Control Center (Washington)
- Clark Public Utilities River Road Generating Plant (Washington)
- St. Johns Substation (Oregon)

The proposed project would involve a number of activities, including replacing communications equipment, wiring, and batteries inside the facilities; replacing an asbestos-tile floor in the battery room at Ross Substation with a non-asbestos material; installing underground fiber optic cable in and around the Ross Substation yard in both existing and new conduit; and leasing existing Clark Public Utilities' overhead fiber between its River Road Generating Plant and BPA'Ross Substation. The only areas of ground disturbance would be at Ross Substation, where new conduit for fiber optic cable would be installed underground for a total length of 250 feet, connecting two pairs of existing underground utility vaults. The conduit would be bored to a minimum of 36 inches belowground. Ground surface disturbance would occur as a result of driving and parking the directional boring machine, and excavating temporary pits for boring equipment.

<u>Findings</u>: BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the

environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

Based on the provisions identified on the attachment, this proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above.

/s/ Kara Hempy-Mayer Kara Hempy-Mayer Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce

Katherine S. Pierce

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: June 19, 2014

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions Provisions

ATTACHMENT

PROVISIONS

This categorical exclusion will meet the following provisions:

• Lead paint and asbestos-mastic vinyl tile in the Ross Substation control house battery floor will be handled and disposed of according to all applicable regulations and notification and reporting requirements, including those administered under the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Occupational Safety and Health Administration Department of Labor (OSHA), Washington Industrial Safety and Health Act (WISHA), State of Washington Bureau of Labor and Industries, and Washington Southwest Clean Air Agency (SWCAA).

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project:	Alcoa Digital Upgr	ade in Oregon and Washing	gton
Work Order #: 342964			
This project does <u>not</u> have the environmentally sensitive redescriptions of the resources be included in the Categoric	sources. See 10 CFR 1 s. This checklist is to b	1021, Subpart D, Appendi oe used as a summary – fu	x B for complete
Environmental Re	sources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
Historic Properties and Cu	Itural Resources	X	\Box
No effect determination based or Historic Preservation (DAHP), C Confederated Tribes of Grand Ro Springs Reservation of Oregon, t Tribe. BPA received concurrence	Ore. State Historic Preserve onde, the Confederated Tr the Confederated Tribes an	ation Office (SHPO), and the tibes of Siletz, the Confederate and Bands of the Yakama Nation	following tribes: The od Tribes of the Warm on, and the Cowlitz Indian
2. T & E Species, or their hal No effect determination based or Multnomah and Clark counties.		nt for T & E species that have	the potential to occur in
3. Floodplains or wetlands Alcoa Substation and the River I River (other project sites do no o buildings, there would be no imp	occur within a floodplain).	Because project activities wo	ould occur inside existing
4. Areas of special designation	on	X	
There are no areas of special des			
5. Health & safety			X
Workers would follow BPA stan disposal of lead paint and the ask			
6. Prime or unique farmlands		X	
Because project activities occur impacts to prime or unique farml		developed substation property	y, there would be no
7. Special sources of water		X	
The St. Johns Substation is about Generating Plant are about 0.5 m are about 2.5 miles from the Coldeveloped substation property, the	nile from the Columbia Rivumbia River. Because pr	tte River, the Alcoa Substation ver, and the Ross Substation a oject activities occur in existing	nd Dittmer Control Center
8. Other (describe)		X	

Signed: /s/ Kara Hempy-Mayer Date: June 19, 2014