U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management National Environmental Policy Act Environmental Checklist

Project/Activity: Collect Soil Samples near the Chariot, AK, Site

A. Brief Project/Activity Description

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) proposes to conduct a limited soil investigation in the vicinity of five Project Chariot test holes (Able, Baker, Charlie, Dog, and X1). The purpose of the investigation is to determine if diesel-range organics are present in the soils as a result of geologic characterization activities conducted at the site between 1959 and 1962. The investigation would be conducted in response to concerns of regional inhabitants that residual contamination may remain in site soils.

The soil samples would be obtained by a contractor to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACE), who would be on site conducting work for ACE. The samples would be collected using a shovel or auger to remove a 1-foot (ft) column of soil from 19 predetermined locations surrounding each of the 5 test holes. The locations would be modified as necessary according to field conditions. Rubber-tired vehicles, such as all-terrain vehicles, would be used to access the sampling locations. There are no roads on the site. The ground surface is tundra, and permafrost is known to be present at various depths below 2.5 ft. The proposed soil investigation would take approximately 1 week.

Project Chariot was a part of the Plowshare Program that began in 1957 under the former U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC). AEC proposed to use a nuclear explosive to excavate a harbor at the mouth of Ogotoruk Creek in the Cape Thompson area, which lies approximately 110 miles north of the Arctic Circle on the western coast of Alaska. Various experiments and surveys were conducted before Project Chariot was discontinued in 1962. The area is unpopulated, but residents of villages northwest and southeast of the site use the Cape Thompson area for subsistence hunting and fishing.

B. Environmental Concerns

Evaluate the following elements and indicate by checking "yes" or "no" if any phase of the project/activity would result in a change or impact that is subject to regulatory permits, controls, or plans or that would require additional evaluation. If the "yes" column is checked, provide a brief explanation below and attach sheets with additional detail as necessary or appropriate.

Element	Yes	No	Element	Yes	No
Air emissions/air quality		\boxtimes	Exposure/impacts to public or workers		Ø
Noise		⊠	Need for public awareness/involvement		\boxtimes
Solid waste generation		\boxtimes	Transportation/traffic control required		Ø
Mixed waste management		\boxtimes	Access to/use of DOE property		
Chemical storage on site		⊠	Visual resources impacted		\boxtimes
Pesticide/herbicide use		\boxtimes	Cultural/archaeological resources present	\boxtimes	
Toxic substances management		\boxtimes	Wetland/floodplain impacted		\boxtimes
Regulated quantities of petroleum used or stored on site		×	Protected species present: federal, state, or tribe listed		
Radioactive materials/soils		×	Migratory birds breeding or nesting		
Surface (ground) disturbance	\boxtimes		Wild/scenic rivers impacted		\boxtimes
Surface water use/contamination		M	Prime/unique farmlands present		\boxtimes
Surface water quality		\boxtimes	Groundwater use/contamination		☒
Groundwater quality affected		\boxtimes	Other considerations		\boxtimes

C. Explanation and Qualification of All "Yes" Responses

<u>Surface (ground) disturbance:</u> The removal of the soil samples would result in unavoidable minor surface disturbance.

Access to/use of DOE property: ACE would obtain all necessary access permits. None of the work for LM would be conducted on private land. The site would be accessed by plane and all-terrain vehicle.

<u>Cultural/archaeological resources present:</u> Cultural resource surveys have been conducted and surface features have been removed. The State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) approved work by the ACE in adjacent areas and has been contacted to approve the proposed LM work. If additional contact of the SHPO is required, LM would complete the contact before beginning the proposed work.

<u>Protected species present: federal, state, or tribe listed:</u> ACE contacted the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for all proposed work (including the LM work). USFWS issued a Special Use Permit (dated May 24, 2010). The permit was issued in recognition of the need to collect data and the short-term duration and use of hand methods. There are no known listed species in the site area that would be affected by the proposed work.

Migratory birds breeding or nesting: There are known nesting colonies of birds along the nearby sea cliffs. Historical collection of the eggs from the nesting birds occurs seasonally. USFWS issued a Special Use Permit (described above) that allows the soil investigations during the nesting period.

D. Eligibility/Conditions

The proposed action fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix A or B to Subpart D of Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 1021 (10 CFR 1021). DOE has determined that these classes of actions do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment (see 10 CFR 1021.410). There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action, and the proposed action is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts. Finally, the action is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

The 1	ecommendation proposed soil sample collection i part D of 10 CFR 1021: "Samplii		•	`			
	Meets Criteria	Does Not Mee	t Criteria	Unsure			
The relev	EPA Determination scope of actions proposed under rant to the potential for environmoteen determined:		•				
\boxtimes	The proposed actions meet the	criteria for categori	cal exclusion.				
	The proposed actions do not meet the criteria for categorical exclusion; therefore, I recommend that the LM NEPA Planning Board be convened based on my recommendation (see attached rationale) to complete:						
	an Interim Action		an Environmental.	Assessment			
	an Environmental Impact S	tatement	a Supplemental An	alveie			

Concurrences

Project/Activity: Collect Soil Samples near the Chariot, AK, Site

LM Site Name	LM Site Program		
Chariot	Other Defense Activities – Nevada Off Sites Program		
G i			
Contractor NEPA Coordinator	Signature	Date	
Sandy Beranich	Sandy Berenich	6-29-2010	
Contractor Site Lead	Signature	Date	
Mark Plessinger	Myp. P	6-29-2010	
LM Site Manager	Signature	Date	
Mark Kautsky	Mark Kauly	6-29-2010	
LM NEPA Compliance Officer Tracy A. Ribeiro	Signature Macy A. Ribbur	Date 07/07/10	

Distribution upon signature:

- T. Ribeiro, LM NEPA Compliance Officer
- M. Kautsky, LM Site Manager
- S. Beranich, Stoller NEPA Coordinator
- R. Hutton, Stoller Nevada Off Sites Project Manager
- M. Plessinger, Stoller Site Lead
- S. Osborn, Stoller Compliance Manager
- rc-grand.junction