(1.08.09.13)		EFFICIENCY AND RENEWA EPA DETERMINATION	IDLE ENERGI
RECIPIENT	Fraunhofer USA Inc.,		STATE: MA
PROJECT T	ITLE Physics-Based Interval Dat	a Models to Automate and Scale Home	e Energy Performance Evaluations
Funding O	pportunity Announcement Numbe DE-EE0007571	r Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0007571	NEPA Control NumberCID NumberGFO-0007571-001GO7571
	review of the information concern ), I have made the following deter	ing the proposed action, as NEPA Compli nination:	iance Officer (authorized under DOE
CX, EA, EIS Description:	APPENDIX AND NUMBER:		
A9 Informa gatherii analysis	tion analysis (including, but not ig, to, conceptual design, feas s, and dissemination (including, b	Iding, but not limited to, literature surveys limited to, computer modeling), documen ibility studies, and analytical energy supp ut not limited to, document publication an s), but not including site characterization o ubpart.)	nt preparation (including, but not limited oly and demand studies), and information ad distribution, and classroom training
A11 Teo advice a assista organiz	and Technical advice and plann	ing assistance to international, national,	state, and local organizations.
B5.1 Ac to cons energy water	erve energy efficiency that would concentrations of potentiall assistance to individuals (s organizations (such as utili but are not limited to weath lowering of thermostat setti energy efficient lighting, low ventilation, and air conditio improvements in generator and transportation (such as than 10 megawatt equivale car navigation, speed came manufacturing, industrial, o	rgy or water, demonstrate potential energy d not have the potential to cause significaty harmful substances. These actions may uch as builders, owners, consultants, ma- ties), and governments (such as state, loce erization (such as insulation and replacing ngs; placement of timers on hot water hea- v-flow plumbing fixtures (such as faucets, ning systems, and appliances; installation efficiency and appliance efficiency rating efficiency and appliance efficiency rating fielet changeout); power storage (such a nt); transportation management systems eras, and automatic plate number recogn r building practices; and small-scale ene	Int changes in the indoor or outdoor (involve financial and technical nufacturers, and designers), cal, and tribal). Covered actions include ing windows and doors); programmed aters; installation or replacement of toilets, and showerheads), heating, in of drip-irrigation systems; gs; efficiency improvements for vehicles is flywheels and batteries, generally less (such as traffic signal control systems, ition); development of energy-efficient rgy efficiency and conservation research
	and development and sma structures, provided that the involve commercial, reside not include rulemakings, st B5.1(b) of this appendix. (b standards for consumer pro potential to cause a signific manufacturing plants with o conflicts concerning alterna the potential to result in a s health and the environmen	Il-scale pilot projects. Covered actions index occur in a previously disturbed or devential, agricultural, academic, institutional, andard-settings, or proposed DOE legislate) Covered actions include rulemakings the boucts and industrial equipment, provided ant change in manufacturing infrastructure considerable associated ground disturbarative uses of available resources (such as ignificant increase in the disposal of mate t (such as RCRA hazardous wastes); or (agy consumption in a state or region.	clude building renovations or new eloped area. Covered actions could or industrial sectors. Covered actions d ation, except for those actions listed in that establish energy conservation d that the actions would not: (1) have the re (such as construction of new nce); (2) involve significant unresolved s rare or limited raw materials); (3) have erials posing significant risks to human 4) have the potential to cause a

## Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Fraunhofer USA, Inc. to develop, demonstrate, and validate a remote energy performance assessment tool that automatically analyzes a programmable communicating thermostat (CT) and interval meter data to identify homes which could most benefit from at least one Energy Conservation Measurement (ECM) to reduce space heating energy consumption. If homes where data from the CTs are included in the project's data set decide to implement ECMs (e.g. insulation, air sealing, heating system upgrade, etc.), utility contractors would install those ECMs so that, during BP2, post-installation CT data could be obtained and savings could be estimated following a traditional utility practice. No funding from the DOE project is associated with the installation of the ECMs. DOE has no control or discretion over what ECMs may be selected for installation or which private residences may choose to install those ECMs. As such, DOE's NEPA

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Questionnaire

review is limited to the scope of the DOE funded project which includes the development, demonstration, and validation of the remote energy performance assessment tool.

Activities associated with the proposed project would include developing a mathematical algorithm and analyzing data collected from previously installed CT's and already completed home energy audits and blower door tests of private residences in the service areas of three utility partners in the Massachusetts area. Additional audits and/or blower door tests may be conducted if necessary and this could include the installation of additional programmable CTs. No equipment would be installed outdoors, so no ground disturbance would be necessary for completion of this project. No hazardous wastes would be generated as a result of the proposed project. If a CT replaces an older, mercury-containing thermostat, it would be the homeowner's responsibility to dispose of the old thermostat in compliance with regulations. The exact locations of the private residences where activities would occur are not known at this time but based on the activities proposed, no impacts are expected to any resources of concern as a result of the project. Analytical activities would be completed at the Fraunhofer Center for Sustainable Energy Systems in Boston, MA.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist :

Building Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Casey Strickland 07/14/16

### SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Signed By: Kristin Kerwin

7/14/2016

NEPA Compliance Officer

#### FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

☐ Field Office Manager review required

# NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

- Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.
- Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: