# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Stout Mountain Antenna Upgrade

Project Manager: Jonathan Toobian – TELP-TPP-3

Location: Linn County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological and radio towers

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to allow Sprint Corporation to upgrade their antennas and coaxial cables at the Stout Mountain wireless communication site located atop BPAs Lyon UHB Test Site No. 1 tranmission line, tower 1/2. The work would consist of removing three existing antennas attached to BPAs transmission tower and replacing them with three new antennas of the same height. Coaxial cable running from the antennas would also be removed and replaced. There would be no new ground disturbance to support this project.

**Findings**: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Claire McClory</u> Claire McClory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer Date: January 16, 2018

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

### Proposed Action: Stout Mountain Antenna Upgrade

#### **Project Site Description**

The project is located on an existing transmission tower in BPAs transmission right-of-way. The site is mostly cleared, with an existing access road to the tower base. The area has been previously disturbed.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: BPA has determined the undertakin properties.	ng does not have the poter	ntial to cause effects on historic		
2.	Geology and Soils				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance proposed. the project site.	Some minor soil compact	ion may occur from vehicular access to		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V			
	Explanation: No plants would be disturbed and no special-status species present.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V			
	Explanation: No special status-species or habita	ats present.			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V			
	Explanation: None present.				
6.	Wetlands	<b>v</b>			
	Explanation: None present.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>v</b>			
	Explanation: No ground disturbance proposed.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change to existing land use. No	specially designated area	S.		

9.	Visual Quality	<b>v</b>			
	Explanation: The wireless antennas would be consistent with existing use of the utility corridor and would look similar and be of the same height as the existing antennas being removed.				
10.	Air Quality				
	Explanation: A small amount of vehicular emissions wou	ld occur during construction.			
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Construction noise would be temporary and not change.	d occur during daylight house. Ope	rational noise would		
12.	Human Health and Safety	$\checkmark$			
	Explanation: No impact.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
<b>~</b>	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there	-	-		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
<b>&gt;</b>	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biolo invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be co operated to prevent unauthorized release into the enviro requirements, such as those of the Department of Agricu National Institutes of Health.	ontained or confined in a manner conment and conducted in accordan	designed and nce with applicable		

Explanation, if necessary:

### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: The project proponent is responsible for acquiring and maintaining easements for their facilities from underlying landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Claire McClory</u> Claire McClory ECT-4 Date: January 16, 2018