# Categorical Exclusion Determination Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



**Proposed Action:** Facility Safety, Security, and Energy Efficiency Improvement Activities through 2020

**Project Manager:** Multiple

Location: Multiple locations in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada, Utah, and

Wyoming

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B2.5 Facility safety and environmental improvements, and B5.1 (a) Actions to conserve energy or water

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to conduct activities as the need arises to improve workplace comfort or safety, security systems, and energy and water efficiency at existing buildings or facilities throughout the BPA service territory. Specifically, BPA proposes to conduct the following activities at existing buildings or facilities:

- Workplace safety modifications and replacements Install, upgrade, or replace building
  features to enhance workplace habitability and safety conditions. Activities could include
  replacement of handrails in kind; modification of existing handrails; replacement of interior
  doors; repair or in-kind replacement of steps and sidewalks; slight modifications to stair
  landings; replacement of non-original exterior doors; replacement of non-original interior
  or exterior light fixtures; in-kind roof replacements; plumbing modifications; and interior or
  exterior painting that is of the same color.
- Security system modifications Install or upgrade security systems to comply with security standards. Activities falling under this class of action could include: installing card readers on exterior pedestrian doors; running surface-mounted conduit from card readers through floors or ceilings from door locations to security racks located near existing communication racks; installing new security racks/enclosures to walls or floors; installing fire-rated doors with card readers and/or replacing the door handles within access tunnels between the control house and substation yard; and/or installing additional security hardware, such as motion detectors, glass break detectors, interior visitor key pads, security cameras, and new interior door hardware.
- Energy efficiency modifications and replacements Install, upgrade, or replace building features to improve energy efficiency. Activities could include light bulb replacements; replacement of non- original interior or exterior light fixtures; replacement of interior doors; and replacement of non- original exterior doors.

These actions would occur at existing facilities, would not require major exterior building modification (e.g. removing or replacing windows or doors, cutting wall holes in new locations), would not require major interior building modifications (e.g. tearing out walls), and would not require ground disturbing activities. Any activities that involve hazardous materials such as incandescent bulbs containing mercury would be disposed of at a designated hazardous waste facility. Actions proposed would be reviewed by the BPA NEPA lead to ensure that the activities fall within the range of those described in this Categorical Exclusion prior to initiating work.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

_/s/ Katey Grange	<u></u>
Katey Grange	
Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Stacy L. Mason	Date: _ <i>September 15, 2017</i>
Stacy L. Mason	<del>-</del>
NEPA Compliance Officer	
·	

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

#### becc:

K.Grange – ECT-4

G. Lynard – ECT-4

P.Smith – EPR-4

S.Mason – ECP-4

S. Biegle – ECP-4

H.Adams-LN-7

T. Tochihara – ECC-4

Official File – KEC (EQ-15)

## KGrange:KCG:4047:29Aug2017:

https://portal.bud.bpa.gov/orgs/efw/KEC/tsrvcs/Projects/2018\_Bundled\_Workplace\_CX\_29Aug2017.do

### **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Facility Safety, Security, and Energy Efficiency Improvement Activities through 2020

#### **Project Site Description**

Activities would be located in existing fenced and graveled facilities, including electric substations and control houses, maintenance headquarters, and radio facility support structures. These facilities are located in BPA's service territory, including Idaho, Oregon, Washington, western Montana and small parts of eastern Montana, California, Nevada, Utah and Wyoming.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
<u>Explanation</u> : BPA Historian reviewed proposed activities and determined that these types of activities do not have the potential to cause effects to historic properties.					
2.	Geology and Soils	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur	r, so there would be no in	mpacts to soils and geology.		
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur, so there would be no impacts to plants.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: Work would occur in existing, fence	ed facilities; no wildlife ha	abitat present.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	Explanation: Work would occur in existing, fenced facilities; no water or fish resources present.				
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: Work would occur in existing, fence	ed facilities; no wetlands	present.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: No ground or groundwater disturb	ance would occur.			

8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: No change in the visual character of the fa	cilities would occur.			
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Minor, temporary generation of emissions during construction.	associated with increased vehicle	traffic would occur		
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: Minor, intermittent noise associated with	installation activities would occur o	luring construction.		
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Any hazardous materials such as light bulb designated hazardous waste disposal facility.	os or batteries generated would be	disposed of at a		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.  Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.				
	<u>Explanation, if necessary</u> : Any hazardous materials such disposed of at a designated hazardous waste disposal fa		ed would be		
V	invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				

## **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

<u>Description</u>: Most facilities would be BPA –owned or leased. If activities are occurring within a non-BPA-owned facility, BPA would coordinate with the underlying facility owner.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impact to any environmentally sensitive resource.		
Signed: <u>/s/ Katey Grange</u> Katey Grange, ECT-4	Date: September 15, 2017	