Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Electronic Equipment and HVAC Replacement and Upgrades at Existing Buildings or Facilities through 2020

Project Manager: Multiple

Location: Multiple locations in Idaho, Oregon, Washington, Montana, California, Nevada, Utah, and Wyoming

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.7 Electronic Equipment, B1.4 Air Conditioning Systems for Existing Equipment

Description of the Proposed Action: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to replace, upgrade, and/or modify a variety of electronic equipment as the need arises at existing buildings throughout the BPA service territory. BPA also proposes to conduct heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) activities that would support the electronic equipment replacements, upgrades, or modifications. Specifically, BPA proposes to conduct the following activities at existing buildings or facilities:

- Electronic equipment upgrades Upgrade electronic equipment required for operation and maintenance of BPA's transmission grid. Several types of actions involving communications, meters, relays, Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system upgrades would fall into this class of actions. Activities could include installing new equipment racks, new cabinets, phone systems, radios, meters, disconnects, switches, power circuit breakers, capacitive voltage transformers, bus ties, inter- and intra-net equipment, and associated wiring. Electronic equipment upgrades or modifications in support of new interconnection or integration activities are not included.
- Heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) upgrades and replacements Modify HVAC systems to accommodate electronic equipment installation or workplace comfort/safety. Activities falling under this class of action could include: replacing HVAC equipment with new equipment installed in the same location or within 5 feet of the previous location; widening existing holes or cutting holes in the exterior building wall near the existing HVAC equipment to accommodate the new size or shape of the replacement equipment; installing new concrete pads for exterior HVAC units; replacing solar panels; and installing or replacing duct work, wiring, and other electrical-related equipment inside the building or facility.

These actions would occur at existing facilities, would not require major exterior building modification (e.g. removing or replacing windows or doors, cutting new wall holes in new locations), would not require major interior building modifications (e.g. tearing out walls), and would not require ground disturbing activities which includes the installation of new concrete HVAC unit pads as they would be located within the compacted gravel fill that constitutes the working surface of the substation. Any

activities that involve hazardous materials such as lead-acid batteries containing mercury would be disposed of at a designated hazardous waste facility.

Each project would be reviewed by the BPA NEPA lead to ensure that the activities fall within the range of those described in this Categorical Exclusion prior to initiating work.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Katey Grange</u> Katey Grange Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Stacy L. Mason

Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Electronic Equipment and HVAC Replacement and Upgrades at Existing Buildings or Facilities through 2020

Project Site Description

Existing fenced and graveled facilities, including electric substations and control houses, maintenance headquarters, and radio facility support structures. These facilities are located in BPA's service territory, including Idaho, Oregon, Washington, western Montana and small parts of eastern Montana, California, Nevada, Utah and Wyoming.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	Explanation: BPA Historian and Archeologist reviewed proposed activities and determined that these types of activities do not have the potential to cause effects to historic properties.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occu	ır, so there would be no iı	npacts to soils and geology			
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	v				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occu	r and no plants would be	disturbed			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	v				
	Explanation: Work would occur in existing, fenc	ed facilities. No wildlife h	abitat present.			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V				
	Explanation: Work would occur in existing, fenc	ed facilities; no water or	fish resources present.			
6.	Wetlands					
	Explanation: Work would occur in existing, fence	ed facilities no wetlands p	present.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	Explanation: No ground or groundwater disturb	ance would occur.				

8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change in land use would occur.				
9.	Visual Quality				
	Explanation: No change in the visual character of the fac	ilities would occur.			
10.	Air Quality	v			
	Explanation: Minor, temporary generation of emissions associated with increased vehicle traffic would occur during construction.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Minor, intermittent noise associated with installation activities would occur during constr				
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: Any hazardous materials such as batteries g hazardous waste disposal facility.	enerated would be disposed of at a	a designated		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
~	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of wa facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise ca		treatment		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there				
	Explanation, if necessary: Any hazardous materials such a designated hazardous waste disposal facility.	is batteries generated would be dis	posed of at a		
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biolo invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be co operated to prevent unauthorized release into the enviro	ontained or confined in a manner d	esigned and		

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the

National Institutes of Health. Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Most facilities would be BPA –owned or leased. If activities are occurring within a non-BPA-owned facility, BPA would coordinate with the underlying facility owner.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Katey Grange</u> Date: <u>September 15, 2017</u> Katey Grange, ECT-4