# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Steel Lattice Transmission Tower Inspection, Repair, and Treatment Project

**PP&A No.:** 3,520

**Project Manager:** Kevin Machtelinckx - TELM-TPP-3

Location: Clark County, WA; Cowlitz County, WA; Douglas County, WA

#### Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021):

**B1.3** Routine Maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA is proposing to conduct an inspection, repair, and treatment project at three steel lattice structure sites on three different transmission lines. The towers are structure 22/2 of the Bonneville PH1-Alcoa # 2 transmission line, structure 6/8 of the Cardwell-Cowlitz #1 line, and structure 1/5 of the Columbia - Ellensburg #1 line. The action is to address potential corrosion of the buried steel footings, which may be impacting the structural integrity of the towers and putting the transmission lines at risk. The project would involve hand excavation in the immediate vicinity of the steel tower legs to approximately four feet below ground surface, in a radius of approximately three to five feet. The legs would be inspected and corroded material would be removed as necessary. If necessary, steel supports would be bolted onto the legs. An anti-corrosive protective coating would be painted on to the steel. A cathodic protective system would potentially be installed to mitigate future corrosion of the steel. The excavated area would then be backfilled and restored with an appropriate seed mix.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: *June 6, 2017* 

**Aaron Siemers** 

Physical Scientist (Environmental)

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):

**Environmental Checklist** 

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Steel Lattice Transmission Tower Inspection, Repair, and Treatment Project

#### **Project Site Description**

The potential project sites are located on three different BPA transmission lines at three steel lattice structures. The work would be completed in the engineered, compacted backfill footprint that was emplaced during the original construction of the towers. One tower location is in rural Clark County, WA, in the relatively flat, fertile lowlands of the Columbia River valley. One tower location is near the banks of the Cowlitz River near the confluence with the Columbia River in Cowlitz County, WA, and the third tower location is near the banks of the Columbia River, east of the Cascades, in Douglas County, WA. Additional location information is detailed below:

Township		Range		Section
1	N	4	Е	40
7	N	2	W	11
21	N	22	Е	21

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		<b></b>			
	Explanation:					
	BPA archaeology staff consulted with the Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde, the Cowlitz Tribe, the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, and the Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). A "no adverse effect" determination was made for impacts to cultural resources and historic properties, and BPA received a letter of concurrence from DAHP on April 26, 2017. In an email dated November 25, 2016, the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation requested archaeological monitoring at tower 1/5 of the Columbia - Ellensburg #1 transmission line. No additional correspondence was received from the other consulted parties during the mandatory 30-day review and comment period. Therefore, according to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and 36 CFR 800, BPA's consultation requirements have been fulfilled.					
	Due to the presence of cultural resources at tower 1/5 of the Columbia - Ellensburg #1 transmission line, a BPA archaeological monitor would be present during all ground-disturbing activities.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: The project would have minimal soil disturbance completion.	e. All disturbed soil will be	backfilled and compacted upon project			

3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	V			
	Explanation:  No special-status species are known to be preplant data show no presence of threatened/etransmission line right-of-way (ROW). Soil dis with hand tools. The sites would be reseeded no potential to affect special-status plants.	endangered species. Work wo sturbance would be isolated a	ould be conducted in an existing around steel lattice legs, and conducted		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		<b>V</b>		
	Explanation:  A streaked horned lark population, and streak 6/8 of the Cardwell-Cowlitz #1 transmission li other work sites, and no designated habitat is existing transmission line ROW with hand too Soil disturbance would be isolated around ste horned lark population and cautioned to rem has no potential to affect endangered species	ne. No other special-status s s present in the actual work a bls. Structure sites would be a eel-lattice legs. Work crews w ain on existing access roads a	pecies are known to be present in the present would be conducted in an accessed with small trucks and ATVs.  Yould be briefed on the nearby streaked		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<b>~</b>			
	Standard erosion control BMPs would be implemented and no riparian habitat would be affected. No endangered fish-bearing streams would be impacted by the project.				
6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation:  Structure 6/8 of the Cardwell-Cowlitz #1 transmission line is located approximately 80 ft. from an area listed in the National Wetland Inventory. However, no wetlands would be permanently or temporarily impacted by project activities. No additional fill material would be emplaced at the work sites, so permitting or notification to regulatory agencies is not required. Standard erosion control BMPs would be implemented				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<u>~</u>			
	Explanation:  No new wells or use of ground water is propo	osed. Maximum ground distu	ırbance would be 4 feet.		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: Existing land use would not be affected. All the areas are present.	ne work is within the BPA righ	nt-of-way, and no specially designated		

9.	Visual Quality	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation:  All excavations are located around steel tower legs. There would be no impacts to visual quality from the scope of work.				
10.	Air Quality				
	Explanation:  The project would involve hand-digging, inspection, and repair near steel-lattice transmission towers. There would be no significant impacts to air quality from the scope of work.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation:				
	The project would involve hand-digging, inspection, and repair near steel-lattice transmission towers. There would be no significant impacts to ambient noise from the scope of work.				
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation:				
	Workers on the project would follow a safety plan. In addition, the proposed project would reinforce the structural integrity of the towers and preserve reliability of the transmission lines.				
	Evaluation of Other I	ntegral Elements			
	proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not:	tegral elements of the categorical e	exclusion. The		
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary: NA				
<b>~</b>	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise		treatment		
	Explanation, if necessary: NA				
<b>~</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that the		_		
	Explanation, if necessary: NA				
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic bio invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environments, such as those of the Department of Agric	contained or confined in a manner or conment and conducted in accordar	designed and nce with applicable		

National Institutes of Health.

## **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: The proposed project is located on private and public land. BPA would continue ongoing coordination with the landowners.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Aaron Siemers</u> Date: <u>June 6, 2017</u>

**Aaron Siemers** 

**Environmental Protection Specialist**