Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Chehalis-Olympia No. 1 transmission line priority pole replacements

Project Manager: Jim Semrau, TEP-TPP-1

Location: Thurston County, Washington; Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) Olympia District

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3: Routine maintenance activities.

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to replace two transmission line structures on the Chehalis-Olympia No.1 transmission line in Thurston County, Washington; BPA's Olympia Maintenance District. The work will include removing deteriorating wood poles, hardware, insulators, and guy wires and replacing in-kind in the same location. Since the new poles will be installed in the same location minimal new excavation will be required.

In addition, the project includes minor improvements to existing road surfaces and landings (blading & rocking) to safely accommodate work vehicles.

See table below for structure names and locations on the transmission line.

Transmission Line	Structure(s)	Township	Range	Section
Chehalis-Olympia No. 1	16/8 & 16/9	15N	3W	11

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Greg Tippetts

Greg Tippetts EPR/Olympia
Olympia District Environmental Scientist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Date: *June 3, 2016*

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Chehalis-Olympia No. 1 transmission line priority pole replacements

Project Site Description

All work would be done in existing managed right-of-way that crosses private agricultural lands.

	Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources							
	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions					
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		V					
	Explanation: A cultural resources survey and Section 106 consultation was completed for the project APE. No resources were identified in the vicinity of the proposed work areas. Washington DAHP agreed with a no effects determination from the survey report on 5/26/16, Log No.: 2016-03-01670-BPA. If resources are discovered during construction activities, work will cease and the appropriate archaeological resources (BPA and WA DAHP) will be contacted.							
2.	Geology and Soils							
	Explanation: Sites would be stabilized upon comduring the project to protect the surrounding are							
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)							
	Explanation: Work would occur in areas maintain be removed and no special-status species are pre		sion line corridor; no vegetation would					
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)							
	Explanation: Work would occur in areas maintain habitat; no special-status species or critical habit	•	ssion line corridor with little wildlife					
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V						
	Explanation: No waterbodies, floodplains, or fish Appropriate Storm water BMPs will be used during erosion issues. Sites would be stabilized upon control of the stabilized upon control o	ng the project to protec	t the surrounding area from runoff and					
6.	Wetlands	~						
	Explanation: No wetlands occur at or within the	area of influence of the	work sites.					

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽						
	<u>Explanation</u> : Project activities do not have the potential private water wells or springs. All spills will be addresse regulatory notifications.		— ·					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V						
	Explanation: The project locations are confined to the existing transmission line ROW corridors. All surrounding lands are private agricultural use lands. Project locations do not include any special designated areas.							
9.	Visual Quality							
	Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not alter or effect visual quality. Structure replacements are in-kind and will not be visibly different from the existing structures.							
10.	Air Quality							
	Explanation: The project has a short duration and involves normal construction equipment activities.							
11.	Noise							
<u>Explanation</u> : The project is located away from any populated areas and places of residence. Noise distributed by the limited to general construction equipment activities, be for a short duration, and occur during hours.								
12.	Human Health and Safety							
<u>Explanation</u> : Completion of this project with increase system stability and reliability to the service area.								
	Evaluation of Other In	ntegral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:								
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.								
	Explanation, if necessary:							
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded. Explanation, if necessary:								
Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases. Explanation, if necessary:								



Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Notifications via mailed letters have been sent to all current landowners approximately 3-8 weeks prior to project initiation. The letters provide contact information for BPA to allow feedback from landowners/managers concerning the proposed project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Phil Smith for Date: *June 3, 2016*

Greg Tippetts KEPR/Olympia

Olympia District Environmental Scientist