Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Agricultural Lease Renewal at Parkdale Substation

LURR No.: 20160141

Project Manager: Laura Loop - TERR-3

Location: Hood River County, OR

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.9 Multiple use of powerline

right-of-way

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to renew an existing agricultural lease for purposes of grazing at Parkdale Substation in Hood River, Oregon. The lease would allow the applicant to continue to use approximately 11 acres of BPA's fee-owned right-ofway (ROW) that surrounds the fenced yard of Parkdale Substation. The applicant allows approximately 20 cattle to graze intermittently at the site.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u>
Elizabeth Siping
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
Flux Resources, LLC

Reviewed by:

/s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u>
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Date: June 21, 2016

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Agricultural Lease Renewal at Parkdale Substation - LURR 20160141

Project Site Description

The site is located at BPA's Parkdale Substation on fee-owned ROW in Hood River County, Oregon. The applicant proposes to graze cattle on approximately 11 acres of fenced land that is vegetated with grasses, shrubs, and sparse trees. Parkdale Substation is located in a rural area near Mount Hood National Forest with scattered residences, farms, and orchards.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The lease renewal would have no pote consultation required.	ential to affect historic μ	properties or cultural resources. No
2.	Geology and Soils		
	<u>Explanation</u> : The lease renewal would allow the ap disturbance to surface soils.	plicant to continue to g	graze cattle, which would cause minimal
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: The lease renewal would allow the apdisturbance to plants. No federal or state special-s site. The applicant is responsible for eradication are	tatus species requiring	protection are known to occur at the
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~	
	Explanation: The lease renewal would allow the ap disturbance to wildlife. Approximately 11 acres of rotational basis. No federal or state special-status	fenced land would con	tinue to be used by the cattle on a
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: No waterbodies are present within the owned property; however, existing fencing prevent	• •	
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: A few small patches of low quality	wetland are located a	at the site. The continued, intermittent

	presence of cattle would have minimal disturbance to wetlands.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	▽			
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater is prop	oosed.			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V			
	Explanation: No change to land use is proposed.				
9.	Visual Quality	V			
	Explanation: No change to appearance is proposed.				
10.	Air Quality	V			
	Explanation: No change to the project site is proposed.				
11.	Noise	V			
	Explanation: No impact.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	V			
	Explanation: No impact.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of w facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	treatment		
	Explanation, if necessary:				
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, products that preexist in the environment such that there				
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biole invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained to the contained t				

operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable

	National Institutes of Health.			
	Explanation, if necessary:			
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination				
De	scription: No notification. The site subject to lease renewal is BPA fee-owned property.			

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u>

Elizabeth Siping

Contract Environmental Protection Specialist

Flux Resources, LLC