# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Dworshak-Taft Insulator Replacement, Mile 76 to Mile 89

Project No. (if applicable): 3355

Project Manager: Chad Hamel

Location: Shoshone County, Idaho and Mineral County, Montana

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine Maintenance

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA is proposing to replace insulators at 15 structure locations along the Dworshak-Taft No. 1, 500-kilovolt transmission line from mile 76 to mile 89. Refer to the table below for project location information.

Transmission Line	Structure Location	TRS	County, State
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	76/4	T47N R5E SEC35	Shoshone, ID
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	77/1, 77/2	T47N R5E SEC26	Shoshone, ID
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	77/3, 78/1	T47N R5E SEC25	Shoshone, ID
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	80/2	T47N R6E SEC29	Shoshone, ID
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	83/4	T19N R32W SEC15	Mineral, MT
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	84/4	T19N R32W SEC14	Mineral, MT
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	86/2, 86/3, 87/1, 88/1	T19N R31W SEC7	Mineral, MT
Dworshak-Taft No. 1	89/2, 89/5, 89/6	T19N R31W SEC5	Mineral, MT

Insulators located at the attachment points between the conductor and the transmission line structures listed above would be changed out by helicopter. Transmission line maintenance personnel would be positioned in the towers to assist with the removal and replacement of the insulators. Existing roads would be used as landing zones to transfer old and new insulators to and from the helicopter. A truck and dump trailer would provide daily storage of old and new insulators at the designated landing zones. All ground support vehicles would use existing roads to access structure locations and landing zones.

No ground disturbance or work in streams would be required for this project. All work would be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

**<u>Findings</u>**: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Michael A. Rosales</u> Michael A. Rosales Physical Scientist

Concur:

Date: July 13, 2016

<u>/s/ Sarah T. Biegel</u> Sarah T. Biegel NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

### Proposed Action: Dworshak-Taft Insulator Replacement, Mile 76 to Mile 89

## **Project Site Description**

The project is located in the St. Joe National Forest (Shoshone County, Idaho) and in the Lolo National Forest (Mineral County, Montana). Work would occur within the Dwoshak-Taft No 1 transmission line right-of-way which consists of low-growing grasses and shrubs. Structure locations are remote and topography varies from hilly to steep.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources					
	xplanation: No ground disturbance is planned or would be performed. Existing roads would be used as elicopter landing zones and by ground support vehicles to access the towers.					
2.	Geology and Soils					
	Explanation: No ground-disturbing activities would	l be performed.				
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)					
	<ul> <li>Explanation: This project requires no tree or veget project area for listed plant species.</li> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>✓ Clean and inspect all construction vehicles and introduction of invasive weeds onsite.</li> <li>✓ Clean and inspect all construction vehicles and invasive weeds offsite.</li> </ul>	d equipment prior to en	tering project site to prevent			
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V				
	Explanation: No suitable habitat is present within to lynx, a federally-listed threatened species, is know of the disturbance planned within transmission lin	n to occur in the broad	vicinity. Because of the limited nature			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)					
	Explanation: No instream work would be perform	ed. No effect to aquati	c species and/or habitat.			

			6			
6.	Wetlands					
	Explanation: No wetlands were identified in the work are	eas.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	Explanation: No groundwater or aquifers would be affer	cted by the proposed work.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas					
	<ul> <li>access to the east portal entrance to the Route of the Hi September. BPA's planned insulator replacement sched public access would be minimal as TLM maintenance vel three of the fifteen tower locations.</li> <li><u>Mitigation</u>: To prevent conflicts between the trail users and BPA TLM</li> <li>✓ Provide traffic controls, as needed, to ensure the puportal entrance to the Route of the Hiawatha at all for Rainy Creek Road would not be used as a helicopter</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Maintain a minimum 15-foot clearance on Rainy Creation</li> </ul>	eek Road at all times during project	activities.			
9.	<ul> <li>Maintain a minimum 15-foot clearance on Rainy Cre</li> <li>Visual Quality</li> </ul>	eek Road at all times during project				
9.		V		:у		
	Visual Quality <u>Explanation</u> : The project involves in-kind replacement of	V		:у		
	<b>Visual Quality</b> <u>Explanation</u> : The project involves in-kind replacement of of the transmission line.	f insulators which would not alter o	or effect visual qualit	.у		
10.	Visual Quality Explanation: The project involves in-kind replacement of of the transmission line. Air Quality Explanation: Small amount of dust from driving vehicles	f insulators which would not alter o	or effect visual qualit	ïУ		
10.	Visual Quality Explanation: The project involves in-kind replacement of of the transmission line. Air Quality Explanation: Small amount of dust from driving vehicles existing air quality would not be affected.	F insulators which would not alter of and from project locations would	or effect visual qualit	у		
10.	Visual Quality Explanation: The project involves in-kind replacement of of the transmission line. Air Quality Explanation: Small amount of dust from driving vehicles existing air quality would not be affected. Noise	F insulators which would not alter of and from project locations would	or effect visual qualit	УY		
10.	Visual Quality Explanation: The project involves in-kind replacement of of the transmission line. Air Quality Explanation: Small amount of dust from driving vehicles existing air quality would not be affected. Noise Explanation: Helicopter noise would be temporary and I	F insulators which would not alter of to and from project locations would cocalized. timely access to the transmission line	I and the second se			
10.	Visual Quality Explanation: The project involves in-kind replacement of of the transmission line. Air Quality Explanation: Small amount of dust from driving vehicles existing air quality would not be affected. Noise Explanation: Helicopter noise would be temporary and I Human Health and Safety Explanation: The proposed action would allow safe and	F insulators which would not alter of to and from project locations would cocalized. timely access to the transmission line region.	I and the second se			

project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

#### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA Realty notified the USFS of the insulator replacement activities by email on April 20, 2016. USFS responded on April 21 indicating receipt of email notification and that it would be passed internally to respective Forest Service personnel for review/input. No further comments were received from the USFS as of June 30, 2016.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed:<u>/s/ Michael A. Rosales</u> Michael A Rosales EPR-Bell-1 Date: July 13, 2016