Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Reston Substation Telecommunication Upgrades

Project Manager: Charley Majors, TEP-CSB-2

Location: Douglas County, Oregon

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.7 Electronic equipment

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to upgrade the telecommunication system at Reston Substation. Upgrades proposed include replacement of existing digital data multiplexor (DDM) equipment with synchronous optical network (SONET) equipment. Tasks proposed include rack installation, wiring, removal of existing batteries, installation of new batteries, installation of a spill containment system for batteries, and energization of equipment.

All work would take place within the existing control house and no interior walls would be moved or altered. The project would not involve any ground disturbing activities.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

__/s/ Elizabeth Siping
Elizabeth Siping
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist
David Evans and Associates, Inc.

Reviewed by:	
/s/ Gene Lynard Gene Lynard	
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
/s/ Stacy Mason Stacy Mason NEPA Compliance Officer	Date: <i>January 26, 2016</i>

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Reston Substation Telecommunication Upgrades

Project Site Description

All work would take place within the control house at BPA's Reston Substation.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: No potential to affect historic or culture	ural resources.	
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance proposed.		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No disturbance to plants would occu	ur.	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No disturbance to wildlife would occ	cur.	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: No water bodies present.		
6.	Wetlands	V	
	Explanation: No wetlands present.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers		
	Explanation: No impact to groundwater or aquife	ers.	

8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V				
	Explanation: All work within existing control house.					
9.	Visual Quality	~				
	Explanation: No impact to visual quality.					
10.	Air Quality					
	Explanation: No impact to air quality.					
11.	Noise	~				
	Explanation: Minimal temporary construction noise.					
12.	Human Health and Safety					
	Explanation: Batteries will be removed and replaced.					
	<u>Mitigation</u> : Batteries will be disposed of in accordance of batteries will be installed.	with state and federal laws. Spill con	ntainment system for			
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion of valuations (including incinerators) that are not otherwise		· treatment			
	Explanation, if necessary:					
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant products that preexist in the environment such that the					
	<u>Explanation, if necessary</u> : Batteries will be safely handle federal laws.	ed and disposed of in accordance wi	th state and			
	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic bic invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be operated to prevent unauthorized release into the envirequirements, such as those of the Department of Agric National Institutes of Health.	contained or confined in a manner or conment and conducted in accordar	designed and nce with applicable			

Explanation, if necessary:			
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination			
Description: Not applicable.			
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.			
Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Elizabeth Siping – ECT-4	Date: <u>January 26, 2016</u>		