## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Bearmouth Microwave Radio Communication Facility

Project Manager: David Tripp TEP-CSB-1

Location: Granite County, Montana

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.19 Microwave, meteorological, and radio towers

**Description of the Proposed Action:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to acquire an approximate 5 acre parcel and construct a new microwave radio communication facility. Presently, the parcel contains a 0.5 acre fenced-in abandoned radio facility that sits on a gravel pad and includes a building, radio tower, and two propane tanks. BPA would remove the existing facility and construct the new facility in the same location on the gravel pad. The new facility would include a communications building, radio tower, as well as a 2,000 gallon propane tank. The new building would be 650 square feet, compared to the existing building which is about 300 square feet. The new radio tower would be 140 feet tall with a 900 square foot base, compared to the existing tower which is about 100 feet tall on a 300 square foot base. The existing fill pad may be expanded by 10 feet on the southwest side to accommodate the new building and tower; otherwise construction will take place within the existing 0.5 acre developed footprint. No new access roads or road improvements are proposed.

**Findings:** In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Justin Moffett</u> Justin Moffett Environmental Protection Specialist Concur:

<u>/s/ Stacy L. Mason</u> Stacy L. Mason NEPA Compliance Officer Date: *February 24, 2016* 

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

### Proposed Action: Bearmouth Microwave Radio Communication Facility

### **Project Site Description**

The project site consists of a fenced in constructed gravel fill pad that currently supports an abandoned radio tower and associated communication building. The remainder of the 5-acre parcel surrounding project site is native grasses and sage brush that appears to have been utilized for livestock grazing. The nearest residence is about 0.25 mile southwest of the project site; there are no other residences within 2 miles of the project site.

#### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: BPA received concurrence on its detern SHPO on January 20, 2016. BPA also consulted with Montana, Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes, they did not respond BPA's correspondence.	n the Chippewa Cree Tr	ibe of the Rocky Boy Reservation of
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Minimal soil disturbance. Mitigation: Erosion control measures would be use would be restored by reseeding.	ed during construction	to minimize impacts. Any disturbed soil
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No special-status species present. Ve (approximately 200 square feet).	getation removal/distu	rbance would be minimal
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No special-status species present.		

5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	Explanation: None present.				
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: None present.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: None present.				
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: No change to existing land use; not a specia	lly designated area.			
9.	Visual Quality				
	Explanation: The new communication facility would be vi and building and would not be located in a visually sensit	-	communication tower		
10.	Air Quality				
	Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle emissions	during construction.			
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise during daylig	ht hours. Construction would last a	bout 12 weeks.		
12.	Human Health and Safety				
	Explanation: Proposed action is located within existing fa	cilities and would not impact huma	n health or safety.		
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:					
	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.				
	Explanation if necessary:				

~	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment
	facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

#### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: BPA has been working with the underlying landowner to acquire the property needed to construct the project.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Justin Moffett</u> Date: <u>February 24, 2016</u> Justin Moffett ECT-4