Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Snohomish-Murray structure 7/6 guywire relocation

PP&A No.: 3144

Project Manager: Jay Largo- TPCV-TPP-4

Location: Lake Stevens, Snohomish County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.6 – Additions and modifications

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to relocate 2 guywires on structure 7/6 of the Snohomish-Murray 230-kV transmission line. The project is needed to accommodate new street access to a housing development proposed next to BPA's easement ROW. The only viable location for the access road requires relocation of two of the four guy wires attached to the wood H-frame pole structure. Relocation of the guys is needed to provide adequate clearance between the guy wires and the proposed access road. The two north side guywires would be shortened so they extend only 30-feet from the base of each respective pole.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

<u>/s/ Phil Smith</u> Philip W. Smith Manager-Technical & Regional Services, KEPR-4

Concur:

<u>/s/Katherine S. Pierce</u> Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer Date: March 25, 2015

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Snohomish-Murray structure 7/6 guywire relocation

Project Site Description

Snohomish-Murray 230-kV transmission line structure 7/6 is located in Lake Stevens, Snohomish County, Washington.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	Explanation: No known historical or cultural resour Non-significance completed for development of the		ne SEPA checklist and Determination of
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: Work requires excavation for placen prevent erosion and off-site transport of sediment	e ,	PA will employ necessary BMPs to
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)		
	Explanation: No federal or state special status spe would only impact area adjacent to structure. Ch construction of proposed residential developmen	aracter of site and adja	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: No federal or state special status spe	ecies or habitats are pre	esent at or near the project site.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: No impacts to streams or their buffer to control run-off and respond to spills would be in the state of the spills would be in the state of the stat	oving the guywires. Appropriate BMPs	

6.	Wetlands	\checkmark				
	Explanation: None present near structure site and no co	nveyances to wetlands would be af	fected.			
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	\checkmark				
	Explanation: No new wells or withdrawal of ground water proposed; maximum depth of disturbance would be less than 10-ft; spill prevention measures would be present on site.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	\checkmark				
	Explanation: Adjacent area is to be developed for residential use. Movement of guys will not affect use of the ROW.					
9.	Visual Quality	\checkmark				
	Explanation: Project does not add any new elements to the visual appearance of the structure.					
10.	Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: No impact, except temporary localized incr use during relocation of guys.	ease in dust and exhaust due to eq	uipment and vehicle			
11.	Noise					
	Explanation: Temporary short term increase in noise fro	m construction equipment at job si	ite.			
12.	Human Health and Safety	\checkmark				
	Explanation: Relocation of the guys would not disturb or introduce any hazardous materials or create any health or safety concerns.					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements						
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:						
•	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:					

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

<u>Explanation, if necessary</u>: Control house batteries would be removed using BMPs to prevent accidental spills; equipment will be managed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable state and federal regulations.

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Guys are being moved at request of the land developer.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ <u>Phil Smith</u> Manager, Technical and Regional Services Date: March 25, 2015