# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: North Bonneville Substation 230-kV Line Retermination

**Project No. (if applicable)**: xxxxx

**Project Manager:** Mike Marleau

**Location:** Skamania County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.11 Electric power substations

and interconnection facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA is proposing to install new equipment and reterminate BPA's existing North Bonneville-Troutdale No.2 and North Bonneville-Midway No.1 230-kilovolt (kV) transmission lines at BPA's North Bonneville Substation in Skamania County, Washington. Existing load imbalance between the east and west bus could cause thermal overload and compromise system reliability in the event of a west bus outage, which violates National Energy Safety Code (NESC) planning criteria standards. The transmission line retermination and installation of new equipment would provide the required load balance to ensure system reliability and compliance with NESC standards.

In order to reterminate the North Bonneville-Midway No.1 230-kV transmission line (NBON-MIDW-1 line), BPA would install a new single-circuit steel lattice structure built approximately 80 feet ahead-on-line. A drill rig would be used to auger holes 16 feet deep beneath each tower foot, footings would be installed in the holes, and the new steel structure would be attached to the footings. BPA would install new steel support, bus, conduit, footings, and three 2000 Ampere (A) disconnect switches in Bay 15, and relocate an existing 230-kV 2000A 40 thousand Ampere (KA) circuit breaker from Bay 9 to Bay 15. BPA would then move the conductor for the NBON-MIDW-1 line from structure 1/1 to the new structure, and reterminate the line from Bay 13 to new Bay 15.

In order to reterminate the North-Bonneville-Troutdale No.2 230-kV transmission line (NBON-TROU-2 line), BPA would install a new double-pole wood structure and two triple-pole wood structures between structure 1/1 and the substation. A drill rig would be used to auger holes 10 feet deep for each new pole to be directly embedded into the ground. BPA would then remove the conductor for the NBON-TROU-2 line at structure 1/1, attach the conductor to the 3 new wood structures and an existing steel lattice structure, and reterminate the line from Bay 9 on the west bus to Bay 13 on the east bus. One temporary H-frame wood pole structure would be installed to prevent contact between the NBON-TROU-2 line and the Underwood Tap – Bonneville Power House transmission lines, and would be removed following the completion of construction activities.

New overhead ground wire would be installed on the reterminated segments of both transmission lines. Prior to construction, BPA would first conduct a geotechnical study of the proposed structure locations using a track-mounted hollow-stem auger to drill 8 inch diameter borings from 5 to 20 feet in depth. All disturbed areas within the substation yard would be graded and rocked.

The proposed project would require that BPA temporarily disturb up to 8.0 acres for construction activities and equipment storage, and permanently disturb approximately 0.5 acre to accommodate the installation of new equipment within the limits of previously disturbed BPA fee-owned land. Disturbance would result from the movement of construction vehicles and heavy equipment, organics/soil/debris removal, structure installation, grading, and the addition and compaction of fill materials.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

#### /s/ Zach Gustafson

Zach Gustafson Contract Environmental Protection Specialist David Evans and Associates, Inc.

Reviewed by:

<u>/s/ Gene Lynard</u>

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Katherine S. Pierce</u> Date: <u>October 14, 2014</u>

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: North Bonneville Substation 230-kV Line Retermination

#### **Project Site Description**

The project site is located on BPA fee-owned property northeast of the City of North Bonneville between Greenleaf Creek and State Route 14. The site consists of control houses, maintenance and equipment sheds, transmission lines and towers, substation equipment, and graveled and paved areas for vehicle circulation and equipment storage. The surrounding landscape includes a mixed broadleaf and conifer forest owned and managed by the United States Forest Service, and some rural residences. Much of the site has been cleared and is regularly mowed to accommodate the transmission lines and towers present on the site. Small forested areas are present in the eastern, northern, and western corners of the site. Greenleaf Creek, a tributary to the Columbia River, borders the project site from the northeast through the northwest. One jurisdiction wetland was identified in the northwestern corner of the project area.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		<u> </u>
	Explanation: BPA's North Bonneville-Midway Mines, and North Bonneville Substation have be Historic Places (NRHP) as a contributing eleme project would not alter the integrity or charact Concurrence April 15, 2014 Washing State Dept consulted: the Confederated Tribes and Bands Indian Reservation, the Confederated Tribes of the Nez Perce Tribe of Idaho, and the Confederated Mitigation:  ✓ Coordinate with the Cowlitz Indian Tribe to activities.  ✓ In the event any archaeological material is estaken:  • Stop work in the vicinity and immediate appropriate BPA project staff, interess state, and federal agencies.  • Implement reasonable measures to provering.  • Take reasonable steps to ensure the confederated Substate	een recorded and recommendent to the BPA Pacific Northwelter-defining features of the troartment of Archaeology and sof the Yakama Nation, the Coff the Warm Springs Reservationated Tribes of Grand Ronde.  ensure a tribal monitor is presented to the Warm Springs Reservation of Grand Ronde.  ensure a tribal monitor is presented to the BPA environmented Tribes, Washington States arotect the discovery site, included the BPA environmented Tribes, Washington States arotect the discovery site, included the BPA environmented Tribes, Washington States arotect the discovery site, included the BPA environmented Tribes, Washington States arotect the discovery site, included the BPA environmented the BPA environmented Tribes, Washington States arotect the discovery site, included the BPA environmented the BPA environmented Tribes, Washington States arotect the discovery site, included the BPA environmented the BPA environme	ded eligible to the National Register of est Transmission System. The proposed ansmission lines or substation. Historic Preservation (DAHP). Tribes onfederated Tribes of the Umatilla on of Oregon, the Cowlitz Indian Tribe, sent during all ground-disturbing ctivities, the following actions should be ental lead, a BPA archaeologist, DAHP, and the appropriate county, uding any appropriate stabilization or
2.	Geology and Soils	V	
	Explanation: 8 acres of minor, temporary soil	disturbance due to construct	ion activities and equipment storage.

	Total of 0.5 acre of disturbance from excavation, auguring, and installation of new equipment.				
	Mitigation:				
	✓ Implement erosion and sediment control best n prior to initiating ground disturbing activities to pr				
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)				
	<u>Explanation</u> : No federally-listed or state special-st removed, and up to 7.5 acres of non-native forbs, disturbed.				
	Mitigation:				
	✓ Reseed disturbed areas with a regionally appro	priate seed mix and app	oly mulch.		
	✓ Remove trees outside of the breeding season (F between February 1 <sup>st</sup> and August 31 <sup>st</sup> , a qualified removal.				
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: Northern spotted owl (NSO) critical helphabitat suitability survey conducted September 20 Wildlife Service concurred with BPA on September NSO or its critical habitat. No other federally-listed Mitigation:	014 found no suitable n r 26, 2014 that the prop d or state special-status	nesting habitat. United States Fish and cosed project would have no effect on species are present.		
	✓ Remove trees outside of the breeding season (F between February 1 <sup>st</sup> and August 31 <sup>st</sup> , a qualified removal.				
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: The project site is bordered from the contains bull trout, chinook salmon, chum salmon designated for chum salmon and steelhead trout is chinook salmon is designated downstream in the work is proposed for the project.	n, coho salmon, and stee in Greenleaf Creek, and	elehead trout. Critical habitat is critical habitat for bull trout and		
	Mitigation:				
	✓ Implement erosion and sediment control best r prior to initiating ground disturbing activities to pr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	✓ Do not allow petroleum products, sediment, an any stream, wetland, waterbody, or drainage conv		terials (i.e. concrete wash out) to enter		
6.	Wetlands				
	Explanation: Wetland delineation conducted May Mitigation:  ✓ Flag wetland no disturbance boundaries.	2014, one wetland ider	ntified in the project area.		

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>		
	Explanation: No new wells or use of ground water prop	osed.		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>		
	Explanation: Although located within the Columbia Rive an Urban Area and is exempt from consistency review (		= -	
9.	Visual Quality	<u> </u>		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Although one new steel-lattice structure a the additional equipment would be visually consistent the substation.			
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>		
	Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle emissions during construction activities.			
11.	Noise	V		
	<u>Explanation</u> : Temporary, intermittent noise from construent would not change.	ruction activities during daylight ho	urs. Operation noise	
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>		
	Explanation: No impact to human health and safety fro	m the proposed project.		
	Evaluation of Other I	ntegral Elements		
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:				
		ntegral elements of the categorical	exclusion. The	
		or permit requirements for enviror		
	ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory,	or permit requirements for enviror		
	ject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orc	or permit requirements for enviror ders. waste storage, disposal, recovery, o	nment, safety, and	
pro	iject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orc <u>Explanation, if necessary</u> :  Require siting and construction or major expansion of v	or permit requirements for enviror ders. waste storage, disposal, recovery, o	nment, safety, and	
pro	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orc  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of a facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise	or permit requirements for enviror ders.  waste storage, disposal, recovery, o categorically excluded.  s, or CERCLA excluded petroleum a	nment, safety, and r treatment	
pro	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orce  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of value facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant	or permit requirements for enviror ders.  waste storage, disposal, recovery, o categorically excluded.  s, or CERCLA excluded petroleum a	nment, safety, and r treatment	
pro	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Ord Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of violatilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise Explanation, if necessary:  Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminant products that preexist in the environment such that the	or permit requirements for enviror ders.  waste storage, disposal, recovery, o categorically excluded.  s, or CERCLA excluded petroleum a	nment, safety, and r treatment	

operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Fxn	lanation	, if necessary	,.

### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

Description: Engaged the City of North Bonneville planner throughout the environmental review. Notification letters that construction will occur will be sent to landowners in the vicinity prior to commencement of work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Zach Gustafson</u> Date: <u>October 14, 2014</u>