Bonneville Power Administration

memorandum

DATE: October 1, 2012

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Mark Miller

Account Executive, Long-term Sales and Purchases – PTL-5

Proposed Action: Amendment Number 2 to the Port Townsend Paper Corporation Power Sales Agreement

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: A2 – Clarifying or administrative contract actions

Location: Portland, OR and Port Townsend, WA

Proposed by: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to amend the 2011 Firm Power Sales Agreement with Port Townsend Paper Corporation to exend the original duration through September 30, 2022.

Findings: Amending the Agreement with Port Townsend Paper Corporation would involve extending service under an existing power sales contract to a facility (in Port Townsend, WA) that is already in existence and currently operating. This Amendment would further extend this service provided under the intial term of the original Agreement nine years beyond its current contract end date until September 30, 2022. Under this Amendment, BPA expects to provide power from existing generation sources that would continue to operate within their normal operating limits. Accordingly, the Amendment is administrative in nature and would not be expected to result in reasonably foreseeable environmental effects.

BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix A of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

Date: *October 1, 2012*

/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow
Jeffrey J. Maslow
Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

Name of Proposed Project:	Sales Agreement	per 2 to the Port Townsend P	aper Corporation Paper
Work Order #: 00003863			
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.			
Environmental Resources		No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cult	ural Resources	X	
2. T & E Species, or their habi	tat(s)	X	
3. Floodplains or wetlands		X	
4. Areas of special designation		X	
5. Health & safety		X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands		X	
7. Special sources of water		X	
8. Other (describe)			
Signed: /s/ Jeffrey J. Maslov	<u>v</u> Dat	te: <u>October 1, 2012</u>	_