## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: June 22, 2012

REPLY TO ATTN OF: KEC-4

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Mark Miller

Account Executive, Long-Term Sales and Purchases – PT-5

**Proposed Action:** Amendment Number 2 to the Alcoa Power Sales Agreement

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: A2 – Clarifying or administrative contract actions

Location: Portland, OR and Ferndale, WA

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to execute a second amendment to its existing 2009 Power Sales Agreement (Agreement) with Alcoa, Inc. to further extend the Agreement's Initial Period provisions for up to an additional 31 days beyond the current date for expiration of these provisions. More specifically, this amendment would allow the Agreement's Initial Period provisions to continue through the earlier of: 1) July 31, 2012; or 2) the date deliveries begin pursuant to a new power sales contract between Alcoa and BPA.

<u>Findings</u>: Amending the Agreement with Alcoa as described above would involve continuing to provide the same level of service under an existing power sales contract to a facility (the Intalco smelter) that is already in existence and currently operating. The amendment would further extend this service for up to an additional 31 days beyond the approximately 2.5 years that BPA has been providing this same service under the Agreement. Under the amendment, BPA would continue to provide power from existing generation sources that would continue to operate within their normal operating limits. Accordingly, the amendment is administrative in nature and would not be expected to result in reasonably foreseeable environmental effects.

BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix A of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

Date: June 22, 2012

/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow
Jeffrey J. Maslow
Environmental Project Manager

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

nber 2 to the Alcoa Power Sales Agreement	
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.	
No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
	significant impacts on the f R 1021, Subpart D, Appendi D be used as a summary – fur randum.  No Potential for Significance  X  X  X  X  X  X

Signed: <u>/s/ Jeffrey J. Maslow</u> Date: <u>June 22, 2012</u>