		Project Information	
Project Title:	T-2-33	Date:	12-22-2010
DOE Code:		Contractor Code:	
Project Lead:	Bernard Winfree		-
	al location? ation of the project? ipment will be used	The existing manifold building will be moved off site. A backhoe will be used to dig up the after all possible fluids have been sucked out. Wells 88-ax-28,17-stx-27 and 18-stx-27 will the tank The remaining pipes will be cut off at the lowest point. At this time, a composit si surrounding soil soil will be taken. Any contaiminated soils will be hauled to the compost samples come back under the established limits, the hole will be backfilled with native mat will be contoured to prexisting conditions. The site will be reseeded upon determination of pit on the site will also be reclaimed. The berm will be pushed into the basin, after samplin is within closure limits SW 1/4, SE 1/4, Section 33, Township 39 N, Range 78 W 1 month	be tied directly i ample of the facility. When the terials and the si f seed mix. A sn

The table below is to be completed by the Project Lead and reviewed by the Environmental Specialist and the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer. NOTE: If Change of Scope occurs, Project Lead must submit a new NEPA Compliance Survey and contact the Technical Assurance Department.

		Impac ticipat		If YES, then complete below
Water Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts on water resources or water quality?				
Does the project affect surface water quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Does the proposed project effect groundwater quantity or quality under both normal operations and accident conditions?				
Will the project area include "Waters of the State?"				
Will the project area require a Corps of Engineers permit?				

		Impact ticipat		If YES, then complete below.	
Geology & Soils	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for impacts related to geology or soils?					
Does the proposed project alter, excavate or otherwise disturb land area consistent with other land use and habitat area?					
Is the proposed project likely to impact local seismicity?					
If the project involved disturbance of surface soils, are erosion and storm water control measures addressed?		×		There shouldn't be any issue with erosion.	
Air Quality	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on ambient air quality under both normal and accident conditions?					
Are potential emissions (gases and/or airborne particulates including dust) outside of the normal scope for oil field operations?					
Does the project present risk to human health and the environment from exposure to radiation and hazardous chemicals in emissions?					
Is the project subject to New Source Performance Standards?					
Is the project subject to National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants?					
Is the project subject to emissions limitations in an Air Quality					

	Impa Antic	cts ipate	d?	If YES, then complete below.	
Wildlife and Habitat	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed action present potential for impacts on wildlife or habitat?					
Does the project impact state or federally listed threatened and endangered species?					
Human Health Effects	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project present potential for effects on human health? e.g.: Hanta virus, radiological exposure, or chemical exposure (must provide MSDS)					
Transportation	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures:	
Does the proposed project involve transportation of radiological sources or hazardous materials (including explosives)?					
Waste Management and Waste Minimization	Yes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigation measures;	
Are pollution prevention and waste minimization practices needed in the proposed project?					
Does project plan establish procedures in compliance with local, state and/or federal laws and guidelines affecting the generation, transportation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous and other wastes?					

			Impacts Anticipated?			If YES, the	n complete below.			
	Cul	tural Impa	ct	,	Yes	No	NA	unacceptable,	ated impact might be recommend mitigation leasures:	
Is there potential for impact on cultural (historic) resources?				E				This is previously distrubed soil		
Community Impact				,	ſes	No	NA	If the anticipated impact might be unacceptable, recommend mitigatio measures:		
Will the prop auditory, vis			significantly adv	verse [
Will the prop community's				[ב					
Will the prop community's	STREET, STREET	Carl Street States and a street of		C	ב					
NOTE: To	pography M	ap and Wet	lands Map are re Level 2 & 3	a literative state of the state of the				applicable SOPs f	for Risk Assessment	
Are permits	Are permits required? If YES, list below:							Yes	No 🛛	
		Section be	low to be review	ed by En	vironr	nental Sp	ecialis	t and DOE NCO.		
Adequate M	itigation Me	asures Prov	vided?				Ade	quate Mitigation M	leasures Provided?	
		Yes	No				Yes	No		
Water Quality I	mpacts			Transportati	ion Imp	acts				
Air Quality Impa	acts			Waste Management Impacts						
"Wildlife and Ha	abitat Impacts			Cultural Impacts						
Geology and S	oils Impacts			Community	Impac	1	X			
Human Health	Impacts			Categorical Exclusion						
					rovals					
Comments and Conditions:	active utilities B1.28 Minor facility. Thes waste, such materials, ec waste, or spi B1.30 Trans waste to be r operations a B5.2 Modific instrumentat B6. Categori cleanup actid duration, to r high-level rai existing facili or consolidal contaminate	s and currently activities that e activities wo as final defue juipment or we ecial nuclear r fer actions, in moved is sma t the receiving ations to oil, g ion that would cal Exclusions ons, under RC educe risk to dioactive was ties currently tion of contam d surface wate	y used roads are read are required to place uld include, but are n ling of a reactor, when aste. These activities naterials. which the predomina II and incidental to the site. Such transfers a as, and geothermal fa not change design p a Applicable to Enviro RA, Atomic Energy A human health or the e and spent nuclear handling the type of w inated soils or materi	dily accessit a facility in a tot limited to re there are would not in ant activity is e amount of are not regu acility pump process flow process flow process flow promental Re Act, or other environment fuel, includir vaste involvi ials from dra urface water	an env o, reduc adequ nclude transp f such r ularly so and pi rates o estoration r author t from t ng treat ed in that ainage o	ironmentally ing surface ate existing conditioning ortation, and naterials, eq cheduled as ping configu or affect per on and Was rities, less th he release of ment (e.g., he action. Th channels, re	a safe concontamin facilities a, treatment d in whice uppment part of o arations, mitted ain te Mana an appro or threat incinerat bese activi-	ndition where there is nation, and removing r for the treatment, stor ent, or processing of s h the amount and type , or waste that is alreat ngoing routine operation manifolds, metering sy remissions. gement Activities. B6 poximately 5 million doll of release of a hazard ion), recovery, storage ons include, but are no pasins, ponds, and spi	ions.	

8.	
Contractor ESS&H Alephin Comes	Date 12/29/10
Comments and $SEE NODA 2$ Conditions:	
DOE NEPA CX5 B1. 3, B1. 22, 4 B6.1 Compliance Officer	Date 1/3/11

NPR-3 / T-2-33 Facility



AA	NPR-3 / T-2-33 Facility						
	Author: J Buelt		Project Manag	ger: T Bowler			
Rocky Mountain	GIS-Rest-6740.010.00000-1	Date	: 12/27/2010	Rev Date:			
Connext resung	WY State Plane / East Cer	tral Zon	e / NAD27	Scale = 1:4,300			

RMOTC 907 N Poplar, Suite 150 Casper, WY 82601 307-233-4800 Feet Data represented on this map is for planning purposes only. RMOTC makes no warranties as to its accuracy, reliability or completeness. Any use of this data is strictly the responsibility of the user. This is an uncontrolled RMOTC drawing.

NEPA Review Routing Form

A copy of this form must be attached to all NEPA Compliance Surveys for review.

Originator: Technical Assurance Department – Environmental Group

Document Name: T. 2. 33 NEPA RESTORATION

Document Number: 318

Date of Routing: 12/28/18

Name	Reviewed Date	Signature	Forwarded To	Forwarded Date
SMES	R/28/10	Ames	THERIAULT	12/28/10
Thertauit	12/29/10	Amera	Alsobrook	12/29/10
ristmansen for Alasbrook TAYLOR	12/20/10	All dates	Taylor	12/29/10
TAYLOR	1/3/11	Muchul 92gh	1	
	11	APPEOLIK		
		1.120.0		
			-	
	-			
	-			