U.S. Department of Energy Office of Legacy Management National Environmental Policy Act Environmental Checklist

Project/Activity: Geophysical and Seismic Investigations at the Shoal, NV, Site

A. Brief Project/Activity Description

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Legacy Management (LM) needs to further characterize the water table, evaluate the prevailing horizontal groundwater flow direction, and identify faults/major fracture zones that may affect groundwater flow and contaminant transport at the Shoal Site. The Shoal Site is located about 40 miles by road from Fallon, in Churchill County, NV. This environmental checklist evaluates two related geophysical activities.

The initial geophysical work would consist of conducting a controlled-source audio magnetotelluric electromagnetic survey at the site to determine if a more comprehensive survey is warranted. The 3-day feasibility test would consist of three to four transits with six to eight stations per transit and assumes an average rate of 8 stations per day. If favorable results are obtained, a more comprehensive survey would be conducted that would consist of 17 transits with 17 stations per transit and a grid spacing of approximately 250 feet (289 total stations).

The second activity is a proposed seismic survey to determine if seismic reflection data can image fractures and possibly the water table at the Shoal Site. This test would likely use a 440 pound accelerated hammer as the seismic source and would attempt to image the area below the water table (estimated 1,000 feet) to depths up to 1.6 miles.

All work would be conducted on previously disturbed surfaces or on roads.

B. Environmental Concerns

Evaluate the following elements and indicate by checking "yes" or "no" if any phase of the project/activity would result in a change or impact that is subject to regulatory permits, controls, or plans or that would require additional evaluation. If the "yes" column is checked, provide a brief explanation below and attach sheets with additional detail as necessary or appropriate.

Element	Yes	No	Element	Yes	No
Air emissions/air quality		\boxtimes	Exposure/impacts to public or workers		\boxtimes
Noise	\boxtimes		Need for public awareness/involvement		\boxtimes
Solid waste generation		\boxtimes	Transportation/traffic control required		\boxtimes
Mixed waste management		\boxtimes	Access to/use of DOE property		
Chemical storage on site		\boxtimes	Visual resources impacted		\boxtimes
Pesticide/herbicide use		\boxtimes	Cultural/archaeology resources present		\boxtimes
Toxic substances management		\boxtimes	Wetland/floodplain impacted		\boxtimes
Regulated quantities of petroleum used or stored on site		\boxtimes	Protected species present: federal, state, or tribe listed		\boxtimes
Radioactive materials/soils			Migratory birds breeding or nesting		\boxtimes
Surface (ground) disturbance		\boxtimes	Wild/scenic rivers impacted		\boxtimes
Surface water use/contamination		\boxtimes	Prime/unique farmlands present		\boxtimes
Surface water quality		\square	Groundwater use/contamination		\boxtimes
Groundwater quality affected		\boxtimes	Other considerations		\boxtimes

C. Explanation and Qualification of All "Yes" Responses

<u>Noise:</u> The seismic testing activity would result in temporary noise associated with the use of a 'thumper.' There are no nearby residents, and adjacent areas are used by the Navy for practice bombing runs. However, noise would not be associated with the electromagnetic survey, which would be conducted as a separate activity.

<u>Access to/use of DOE property:</u> Public roads would be used to access the site. DOE has withdrawn the site from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management.

D. Eligibility/Conditions

The proposed action fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix A or B to Subpart D of Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 1021 (10 CFR 1021). DOE has determined that these classes of actions do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment (see 10 CFR 1021.410). There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action, and the proposed action is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts. Finally, the action is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

E. Recommendation

The proposed action of conducting a seismic survey would be considered categorically excluded from further environmental evaluation under 10 CFR 1021, Appendix B to Subpart D, B 3.1 (a), "Onsite and offsite site characterization and environmental monitoring, including geophysical (such as gravity, magnetic, electrical, seismic, and radar), geochemical and engineering surveys and mapping, including the establishment of survey marks."

🔀 Meets Criteria	Does Not Meet Criteria	🗌 Unsure
------------------	------------------------	----------

F. NEPA Determination

The scope of actions proposed under Section A of this Environmental Checklist, and the information relevant to the potential for environmental impacts in Section B have been reviewed, and the following has been determined:

L	
Г	

The proposed actions meet the criteria for categorical exclusion.

The proposed actions do not meet the criteria for categorical exclusion; therefore, I recommend that the LM NEPA Planning Board be convened based on my recommendation (see attached rationale) to complete:

an Interim Action

an Environmental Assessment



a Supplemental Analysis

Concurrences

Project/Activity: Geophysical and Seismic Investigations at the Shoal, NV, Site

LM Site Name Shoal, NV Site	LM Site Program Off-Sites Program	
Contractor NEPA Coordinator	Signature Sandy Berounich	Date H-1-10
Sandy Beranich Contractor Site Lead	Signature	Date 4-1-10
Rick Findlay LM Site Manager	Signature	Date
Mark Kautsky	Mar Kentry	4-8-2010
LM NEPA Compliance Officer Richard Bush	Signature RullAM	Date 4 /8/10

Distribution upon signature:

R. Bush, LM NEPA Compliance officer

T. Ribeiro, LM NEPA Compliance Officer

S. Beranich, Stoller NEPA Coordinator and Compliance Lead for Shoal

R. Findlay, Stoller Site Lead

R. Hutton, Stoller Program Manager for Nevada Off-Sites

S. Osborn, Stoller Compliance Manager

rc-grand.junction