PMC-ND 1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: University of Kentucky Research Foundation

STATE: KY

PROJECT

Precursor Processing Development for Low Cost, High Strength Carbon Fiber for Composite

TITLE:

Overwrapped Pressure Vessel Applications

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001647

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0008095

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0008095-001

GO8095

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Kentucky Research Foundation for the engineering of a high performance carbon fiber made with lower cost precursor materials to be used in onboard hydrogen storage vessels with compressed hydrogen. All project activities would take place at the University of Kentucky's Center for Applied Energy Research in Lexington, Kentucky.

Proposed project activities include the solution processing of solid and hollow fibers, their thermal conversion to carbon fibers, their characterization and comparison of properties against the industry standard, and final cost analysis. Additional work would include the design, development, fabrication, and testing of an energy efficient solvent recovery and water use system. All activities would take place in existing facilities designed for this type of research; therefore no modifications, new permits, additional licenses and/or authorizations would be necessary. No ground disturbing activities, no changes in operation of existing facilities, and no installation of equipment outdoors would occur at facilities involved in the project. Project work would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including solvents. All such handling would occur in lab where there are proper hazardous material handling and disposal procedures in place. To mitigate risk, all workers would be properly trained in the handling of these materials and utilize personal protective equipment and engineering controls to prevent exposure. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state and local environmental regulations. Non-hazardous wastes, such as polymer and carbon fibers, could be disposed of in a landfill or stored as samples. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

| Fuel Cell Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not re | quire a tailored NEPA provision. |
|--|---|
| | |
| SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM | CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION. |
| NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: | Date: 6/26/2017 |
| | NEPA Compliance Officer |
| FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMIN | ATION |
| ☐ Field Office Manager review required | |
| NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE M | IANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: |
| ☐ Proposed action fits within a categorical Manager's attention. | exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office |
| □ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination. | |
| BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WI | TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO: |
| Field Office Manager's Signature: | Date: |
| - | Field Office Manager |