PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Tessolar Inc.

STATE: MA

PROJECT TITLE:

Integrated Module + BOS hardware System Certification

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001400

DE-EE0007633

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007633-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Tessolar, Inc. to develop and demonstrate Tessolar's innovative and cost-effective photovoltaic modules and mounting hardware.

The proposed project activities would include the design, development, fabrication and laboratory testing of advanced solar photovoltaic modules and mounting hardware. Design, development and fabrication activities would occur at Tessolar's research and development facility in Cambridge, MA with fabrication endeavors supported by Planckstrabe in Freiberg, Germany, Reliance Engineering in Lancaster, MA, and Fraunhofer Center for Sustainability in Albuquerque, NM. In-lab testing would be completed by Tessolar in Cambridge and Fraunhofer Center for Sustainability in Albuquerque. All facilities in which lab work would occur have been purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed. No change in the use, mission or operation of existing facilities would arise out of this effort. The facilities have all applicable permits in place, and would not need additional permits for the proposed activities.

The proposed project would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including metals, polymer resins, and industrial solvents. All such handling would occur in-lab. All involved parties maintain a complete hazardous materials handling policy including safety data sheets, materials handling training and proper neutralization and disposal practices. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Non-hazardous wastes generated by the project would include scrap polymer materials, silicon solar cells, glass and general laboratory waste including paper products, nitrile gloves and small quantities of recyclable metals. Recyclable materials would be recycled through city-sponsored program. General non-hazardous waste would be disposed of through normal municipal waste streams.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. Further, the proposed activities at Planckstrabe located in Freiburg, Germany are exempt from further review under EO 12114 per Section 5.1.1 (Actions not having a significant effect on the environment outside the US) of the

DOE EO 12114 Implementing Guidelines. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Solar Energy Technologies Office

This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

Review completed by Rebecca McCord 08/17/2016.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CO	ONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	NEPA Compliance Officer 8/18/2016
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINAT	TION
☐ Field Office Manager review required	
NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MAN	NAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:
Manager's attention.	clusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office ategory and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH	THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:
Field Office Manager's Signature:	Date: