PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Regents of the University of California

PROJECT TITLE:

Hamilton: Flexible, Open Source \$10 Wireless Sensor System for Energy Efficient Building Operation

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001383

DE-EE0007685

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007685-001 GO7685

STATE: CA

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development. and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) laboratory operations, frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Regents of the University of California to create, evaluate and establish technological foundations for secure and easy to deploy building energy efficiency applications utilizing widespread, low-cost wireless sensors integrated with traditional Building Management Systems (BMS), consumer-sector building components, smartphone devices, and data analytics—and to demonstrate the effectiveness of this foundation on potential applications. Project work would occur within commercial office space in Berkeley, California and dedicated laboratory/office space on the campus of the University of California, Berkeley,

Project activities consist of four main tasks: produce three generations of wireless sensor systems; secure the data communication from the sensors to control systems; integrate the sensor and secure data communications with applications, components, and services; and perform a market validation and assessment of the platform. Activities to accomplish these tasks include hardware and software design and development, server operation, and installation and testing of sensors in an office and laboratory setting. There would be no change in the use, mission or operation of the existing facilities involved in this project. No physical modification of existing facilities or construction of new facilities would be necessary. There are no health and safety hazards anticipated from project activities. Electronic waste generated by the project would be disposed of by the University's e-waste program. DOE does not anticipate any impacts to resources of concern due to the proposed activities of the project.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410 (2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist: **Building Technologies Office** This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Casey Strickland 08/16/16 SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION. NEPA Compliance Officer

8/16/2016 NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION ☐ Field Office Manager review required NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention. Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination. BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO: Field Office Manager's Signature: Date: Field Office Manager