PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: White Dog Labs

STATE: DE

PROJECT TITLE Second-Generation Mixotrophy for Highest Yield and Least Expensive Biochemical Production

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001320

Procurement Instrument Number DE-EE0007564

NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0007564-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX. EA. EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering.

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information analysis, and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training dissemination and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to White Dog Labs to design, create, and test enhanced bacterial host organisms and integrate them with an optimized bioreactor system for more efficient production of biofuels.

Design, creation and in-lab testing of candidate bacterial strains would be completed at the microbiology laboratory of White Dog Labs in New Castle, Delaware. Operation and optimization of the bioreactor system and integration of the bacterial host with the bioreactor would be completed at the fermentation/scale-up facility of White Dog Labs also in New Castle, Delaware. The facilities where the proposed activities would occur were purpose-built for the type of activities being proposed; therefore, no new or modified permits would be required, and no construction of new facilities or physical modifications to existing facilities would occur as a result of the proposed project.

The proposed project would necessitate only occasional handling of hazardous chemicals such as ethicium bromide and 5-fluorodeoxyuridine. All such handling would occur in-lab and would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. All work with these microorganisms would be completed in accordance with the Toxic Substances Control Act and employees would be trained in proper handling of these chemicals and would utilize personal protective equipment at all times. Wastes generated would include fermentation broths, recombinant DNA solutions, used agar plates, plastics and common trash. Broths would be biologically destroyed with bleach and incubated before being disposed of. Recombinant DNA solutions, agar plates and plastics would be disposed of as biohazardous waste and common trash would be disposed of through normal municipal waste streams. Strain development activities would involve gene manipulation and transfer; however, the bacterium is classified as Biosafety Level 1 and is non-toxic, non-hazardous, and non-pathogenic. All employees of White Dog Labs would be trained on the proper handling and storage of recombinant DNA and recombinant bacterial strains. No siting, construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions/facilities would be required.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of 10 CFR 1021 subpart B outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions

with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Bioenergy Technologies Office

This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.

Review completed by Rebecca McCord, 07/18/2016.

NEPA Compliance Officer	Signature:	Electronically Signed By: Kristin Kerwin	Date: 8/1/2016
		NEPA Compliance Officer	angon to 1.68
FIELD OFFICE MANAG	ER DETERMINA	ATION	
☐ Field Office Manager	review required		
NCO REQUESTS THE F	TELD OFFICE M	ANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING	REASON:
> *	ithin a categorical e	exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial	issue that warrants Field Office
Manager's attention.	uithin on EA on EIC	category and therefore requires Field Office Manag	ar's raviany and determination

Field Office Manager