Date Received for Clearance Process (MM/DD/YYYY)12/11/2017 JDA	INE	ODMATION CL	EADANGE FORM				
12/05/2017	INF	ORMATION CLEARANCE FORM					
A. Information Category	B. Document Number DOE/CX-00088, Rev. 4						
Abstract Journal Article	C. Title						
Summary Internet	NEPA Review Screening Form - CHPRC						
Visual Aid Software Full Paper Report	Cleanup Actions, December 2017 to December 2018						
Other NEPA CX	D. Proposed Internet						
E. Required Information (MANDATORY)	Address	7. Does Information C	contain the Following:				
Is document potentially Classified?	√o O res	a. New or Novel (Patentable) Subject Matter?					
Robert R Nielson	Aul.	If "Yes", OUO Exemption No. 3					
Manager Required Print	and Sign)	If "Yes", Disclos	ure No.: N/A				
If Yes N/A		b. Commercial Pro	oprietary Information Received in Confidence, Such and/or Inventions?				
ADC Required (Print and Sign)	No Yes Classified	No					
		c. Corporate Privile	eged Information? No Yes				
2. Official Use Only	Yes Exemption No.	If "Yes", OUO E	Exemption No. 4				
3. Export Controlled Information No	Yes OUO Exemption No. 3		ivileged Information? No Yes				
4. UCNI No (Yes	If "Yes", Exemp e. Copyrights?	0 0				
5. Applied Technology	Yes OUO Exemption No. 5	11.51 (9)	No Yes If "Yes", Attach Permission. No Yes If "Yes", Identify in Document				
6. Other (Specify) N/A		A 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	ring submission to OSTI? No Yes				
			Public Limited				
	F. Complete for a	Journal Article	-				
1. Title of Journal N/A							
	G. Complete for	a Proportation					
	C. Complete for	a rieschiation					
Title for Conference or Meeting N/A							
2. Group Sponsoring N/A							
3. Date of Conference N/A		I. City/State N/A					
5. Will Information be Published in Proceeding	gs? No Yes 6	6. Will Material be Hand	ed Out? No Yes				
H. Information Owner/Author/Requestor		Responsible Manager					
Noah S Cruz (Print and Sign)	~	Robert R Niels (Print and Sign)	son IIII				
` ,	<i>O</i>	(Print and Sign)					
Approval by Direct Report to President (Speed	ch/Articles Only) $\frac{N/A}{(Print and s)}$	Sign)					
I. Reviewers Yes Print		Signature	Public Y/N (If N, complete v				
General Counsel R.T. S	Swenson/E.D. Trotta	2	Y / N				
Office of External Affairs			Y / N				
DOE			Y / N				
Other 🔀 J.D. A	Aardal	Y - Public,	See below. Y / N				
Other			Y / N				
Other			Y / N				
J. Comments			Information Clearance Approval				
DOE requested Information C		NEPA	APPROVED				
categorical exclusion (RL-7	ZI Form).		By Janis Aardal at 10:10 am, Dec 11, 2017				
			Approved for Public Release; Further Dissemination Unlimited				
			ruither Dissemination Unlimited				

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions Included in CXs

Document ID #:
DOE/CX-00088Rev4

i. Project Title:

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company- Cleanup Actions, December 2017 to 2018

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

CH2MHill Plateau Remediation Company (PRC) and its subcontractors perform small-scale, short-term cleanup actions, under RCRA, Atomic Energy Act, or other authorities, that cost less than approximately 10 million dollars (in 2011 dollars), to reduce risk to human health or the environment from the release or threat of release of a hazardous substance other than high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel, including treatment (such as incineration, encapsulation, physical or chemical separation, and compaction), recovery, storage, or disposal of wastes at existing facilities currently handling the type of waste involved in the action. These actions include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Excavation or consolidation of contaminated soils or materials from drainage channels, retention basins, ponds, and spill areas that are not receiving contaminated surface water or wastewater, if surface water or groundwater would not collect and if such actions would reduce the spread of, or direct contact with, the contamination;
- (b) Removal of bulk containers (such as drums and barrels) that contain or may contain hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, CERCLA-excluded petroleum or natural gas products, or hazardous wastes (designated in 40 CFR part 261 or applicable state requirements), if such actions would reduce the likelihood of spillage, leakage, fire, explosion, or exposure to humans, animals, or the food chain;
- (c) Removal of an underground storage tank including its associated piping and underlying containment systems in accordance with applicable requirements (such as RCRA, subtitle I; 40 CFR part 265, subpart J; and 40 CFR part 280, subparts F and G) if such action would reduce the likelihood of spillage, leakage, or the spread of, or direct contact with, contamination;
- (d) Repair or replacement of leaking containers;
- (e) Capping or other containment of contaminated soils or sludges if the capping or containment would not unduly limit future groundwater remediation and if needed to reduce migration of hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products into soil, groundwater, surface water, or air;
- (f) Drainage or closing of man-made surface impoundments if needed to maintain the integrity of the structures;
- (g) Confinement or perimeter protection using dikes, trenches, ditches, or diversions, or installing underground barriers, if needed to reduce the spread of, or direct contact with, the contamination;
- (h) Stabilization, but not expansion, of berms, dikes, impoundments, or caps if needed to maintain integrity of the structures;
- (i) Drainage controls (such as run-off or run-on diversion) if needed to reduce offsite migration of hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum of natural gas products or to prevent precipitation or run-off from other sources from entering the release area from other areas

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

for Actions included in CXs (Continued)

Document ID #: DOE/CX-00088Rev4

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

- (j) Segregation of wastes that may react with one another or form a mixture that could result in adverse environmental impacts;
- (k) Use of chemicals and other materials to neutralize the pH of wastes;
- (1) Use of chemicals and other materials to retard the spread of the release or to mitigate its effects if the use of such chemicals would reduce the spread of, or direct contact with, the contamination;
- (m) Installation and operation of gas ventilation systems in soil to remove methane or petroleum vapors without any toxic or radioactive co-contaminants if appropriate filtration or gas treatment is in place;
- (n) Installation of fences, warning signs, or other security or site control precautions if humans or animals have access to the release; and
- (o) Provision of an alternative water supply that would not create new water sources if necessary immediately to reduce exposure to contaminated household or industrial use water and continuing until such time as local authorities can satisfy the need for a permanent remedy.

Actions performed under this Annual CX include, but are not limited to, those actions listed in the PRC contract, DE-ACO6-08RL14788, Section J.2., "Hanford Site Services and Interface Requirements Matrix" and implementing protocols, policies, and procedures. The buildings, structures, infrastructures, and equipment subject to this annual CX include, but are not limited to, those listed in Sections J.13, "Hanford Site Structures List" and J.14, "Hanford Waste Site Assignment List" where PRC is the assigned contractor or provides other services to other Hanford contractors. The PRC contract includes the original contract and subsequent modification/amendments executed to adjust terms, conditions and other requirements contained therein.

Actions performed under this Annual CX shall not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This Annual CX shall only be applied to actions that meet the requirements (i.e., 10 CFR 1021.410) and conditions that are "integral elements" (i.e., 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B) for categorically excluding actions under the provisions of the NEPA regulations. There shall be no extraordinary circumstances where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting ecological resources, ecological resource reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify plant and animal species for protection under the Endangered Species Act, candidates for protection, or listing by federal or state agencies as threatened or endangered consistent with DOE/RL-96-32, "Hanford Site Biological Resources Management Plan" or other applicable guidance documents and agreements. Caution shall be exercised during the bird nesting season (mid-March to mid-July). If nesting birds, pair of birds of the same species, or bird defensive behaviors is observed, then work shall stop and a qualified Ecological Resources Specialist shall be contacted for guidance. Actions that potentially affect ecological resources shall require a resources review and clearance before proceeding. This includes, but may not be limited to, actions that require an excavation permit; disturb the ground; remove or modify dead or living vegetative cover; occur within a Bald Eagle exclusion zone; expand

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM for Actions Included in CXs (Continued)

Document ID #: DOE/CX-00088Rev4

II. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

roadways/parking lots; require off-road travel; involve unusual noise, light, or chemicals that may affect wildlife; located on the Hanford Reach National Monument; located in a posted ecologically sensitive area; conducted on the outside of structures; conducted in abandoned structures; and have the potential to alter or affect the living environment. If an ecological resources review determines potentially adverse impacts, then appropriate mitigation actions shall be identified and implemented to avoid, minimize, eliminate, rectify, or compensate the impacts.

To avoid extraordinary circumstances potentially affecting cultural resources, cultural resource reviews shall be performed in accordance with established protocols, policies, and procedures to identify resource protection consistent with the "Programmatic Agreement among the U.S. Department of Energy, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and Washington State Historic Preservation Office for Maintenance, Deactivation, Alteration, and Demolition of the Built Environment on the Hanford Site" (DOE/RL-96-77); the "Hanford Site Manhattan Project and Cold War Era Historic District Treatment Plan" (DOE/RL-97-56); the "Cultural Resources Management Plan" (DOE/RL-98-10), and other applicable guidance documents and agreements (e.g., "Gable Mountain and Gable Butte Management Plan" [DOE/RL-2008-17]). Cultural sensitivity shall be determined using site location topographic maps, geographic information system databases, and/or pedestrian surveys to identify proximity to cultural resources (i.e., historic buildings, traditional cultural properties, artifacts, and previously recorded archaeological sites).

Actions located within the geographic boundary of a significant cultural resource or historic property, Traditional Cultural Property (including but not limited to Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte, Mooli Mooli, and other undocumented areas), cemetery or burial or within 400 meters of the Columbia River may be located in culturally sensitive areas.

DOE/RL-96-77 exempts from cultural resources review certain actions that take place indoors or do not affect certain facilities identified in Tables A.5 through A.7 of DOE/RL-97-56. These actions are listed in Stipulation III of DOE/RL-96-77 and include, but may not be limited to, routine maintenance; replacement in kind; refinishing in kind; energy conservation measures; security and personal safety systems; actions associated with post Cold war buildings and structures; asbestos abatement actions; and facility transition actions to deactivate, de-energize, or isolate systems. Exemptions are also provided for mobile trailers, modular buildings, subsurface structures, storage tanks, wells/boreholes, and towers. If the action affects a facility that appears in Tables A.5 or A.6 of DOE/RL-97-56, and the undertaking is not exempt based on Section III.B of DOE/RL-96-77, then a cultural resources review shall be performed.

Historic structures or locations that require cultural resources review and clearance include, but may not be limited to, the Hanford Site Manhattan Project National Historic Park, including the Bruggemann Agricultural Complex Warehouse, White Bluffs Bank, Hanford High School, B Reactor, and Hanford Irrigation District Pump House. Other historic structures and locations include the White Bluffs Log Cabin, Hanford Townsite, Hanford Substation, White Bluffs Townsite, AAA Military Camps, NIKE Missile Base, and selected historic buildings (303-A, 314, 305, 1116-N, 212-N, 181-B, 105-B, 116-B, 276-B, 222-T, 221-T, 291-T, 183-KW, 234-5Z, 291-Z, 232-Z, and 2736-Z).

Workers shall be directed to watch for cultural materials (i.e., bones, stone tools, mussel shells, cans, bottles, etc.). If encountered, then work near the discovery shall stop until a qualified Cultural Resource Specialist is contacted, the significance of

NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM

for Actions included in CXs (Continued)

Document ID #:
DOE/CX-00088Rev4

ii. Describe the proposed action, including: location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), area/location/number of buildings. Attach maps and drawings, as applicable. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

the find determined, appropriate Tribes notified, and mitigation arranged and implemented, as needed.

CHPRC uses an Environmental Activity Screening Form (EASF) to review projects. It shall be incumbent upon the Environmental Compliance Officers, NEPA Subject Matter Experts, or other NEPA trained individuals to ensure that the requirements and conditions discussed herein are met prior to applying this Annual CX to actions. They shall also be responsible for ensuring that no extraordinary circumstances exist where normally excluded actions may have significant effects on the human environment.

This annual CX is approved pursuant to 10 CFR 1021.410(f) which states that "proposed recurring actions undertaken during a specified time period, such as routine maintenance for a year, may be addressed in a single categorical exclusion determination after considering the potential aggregated impacts" to ensure no extraordinary circumstances exist. This annual CX will expire one year from the date authorized by the Hanford NEPA Compliance Officer and will require reauthorization on an annual basis.

Compliance Officer and will require reauthorization on an annual basis.		- 110211							
III. Applicable Reviews (attach to NRSF):									
Biological Review Report #:									
Cultural Review Report #:									
Additional Attachments:									
BU M 1.41 By and the									
IV: Existing Documentation:									
Are the impacts of the proposed action evaluated in a previous EA, EIS, or CERCLA document?	Yes	⊠ No							
15 th (mail)									
If "YES", use Site Form A-6008-948, Actions Adequately Evaluated in NEPA or CERCLA Document									
V. Categorical Exclusion:									
Does the proposed action fall within a category of actions that is listed in Appendixes A or B to Subpart D of	IV Van	□ No							
10 CFR 1021? If extraordinary circumstances or integral elements would preclude the use of a CX, check "No".	⊠ Yes	☐ No							
Are there extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	☐ Yes	⊠ No							
is the proposal connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or result in cumulatively significant	-	ES 140							
impacts (not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211)?	Yes	⊠ No							
List CX to be applied and complete Categorical Exclusion Integral Elements (where an action might fit within multi-	tiple CXs. u	se the CX							
hat best fits the proposed action):	.,,,								
10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B6.1, "Cleanup Actions"									

		700						
for Actions Included in CXs (Continued)					Document	ocument ID #: OE/CX-00088Rev4		
					DOE/CX-0			
	Exclusion Integral							
Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, or health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders?						「 ☐ Yes	s 🛭 No	
Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities?						☐ Yes	No	
Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases?						or Yes	⊠ No	
Would the prop	Would the proposed action adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources?						⊠ No	
Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment?					☐ Yes	⊠ No		
if "NO" to all int	egral Elements question of the Categorical Exc	ons above, con dusion Integral I	plete Section VI, a Elements question	nd provide NRSF above, contact D	to DOE NCO for re OE NCO for addition	view. onal NEPA Re	view.	
/I. Responsible	le Contractor Signat	ures:						
nitiator:			4					
Noah S Cruz			M	2 QC		11/1	/2017	
Name	Print		M 2 B 2 Signature				Date	
ognizant Envi	ronmental Complian	ice Officer:						
Paul W Marti	_		Paul	won	min)	1150	1	
Name	Print		Signature				ate	
II. DOE Appro	val/Determination							
OE NEPA Com	pliance Officer:	Diori L. K	reske, NEPA Co	mpliance Offic	er (NCO)			
ased on my review s authorized unde	w of information conveye er DOE Order 451.1B), t	ed to me and in m	V noccession for otto	rhod) composition that	proposed action, as	NEPA Complia	nce Officer	
	CO Determination:	XI CX	*NCO Recomm		EA EIS			
	Dir J	Signatura			12/5	117		
	*NRS	SF A-6006-950	would be complete	d by responsible c	D Ontractor	ate		