### **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



**<u>Proposed Action</u>**: Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Upgrades at Multiple Substations

and Radio Facilities

**Project Manager:** Dino Zeppetella

**Location:** Multiple locations:

Site	County	State
Allston Control House	Columbia	OR
Big Eddy Control House	Wasco	OR
Grand Coulee Radio Station	Grant	WA
John Day Control House	Sherman	OR
N. Bonneville Control House	Skamania	WA
Olympia Control House	Thurston	WA
Ostrander Control House	Clackamas	OR
Raver Control House	King	WA

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions and modifications to transmission facilities.

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) proposes to modify HVAC systems at multiple substations and radio facilities in Washington and Oregon. The work would be done in order to accommodate proposed equipment installation that would improve communications that support network operations. Work would include replacing HVAC units with larger units, duct work, and installation of new concrete pads at some of the locations.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: July 15, 2015

### /s/ Elizabeth Siping

Elizabeth Siping Contract Environmental Protection Specialist Adecco Engineering & Technical

Reviewed by:

### /s/ Gene Lynard

Gene Lynard Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce
Katherine S. Pierce
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) Upgrades at Multiple Substations and Radio Facilities

### **Project Site Description**

All work would take place within BPA substations and radio facilities on BPA fee-owned property in Washington and Oregon. All project areas have previously been disturbed.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	<b>~</b>		
	<u>Explanation</u> : BPA archaeologist reviewed proposed have the potential to cause effects to historic prop		ned that these types of activities do not	
	Mitigation:			
	$\checkmark$ In the event any archaeological material is encotaken:	ountered during project	activities, the following actions should be	
	<ul> <li>Stop work in the vicinity and immediately appropriate BPA project staff, interested federal agencies.</li> </ul>	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<ul> <li>Implement reasonable measures to prote covering.</li> <li>Take reasonable steps to ensure the conf</li> </ul>	·		
		,	,,	
2.	Geology and Soils	<b>~</b>		
	Explanation: Minimal ground disturbance at some yard.	e of the sites for concret	e pad installation inside the substation	
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<b>~</b>		
	Explanation: No federally listed or state special st	tatus plant species are p	resent.	

4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)		
	Explanation: All work in existing substation yards and rac	dio facilities. No potential habitat pr	resent.
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)		
	Explanation: No water bodies, floodplains, or fish are pre	esent.	
6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: No wetlands present.		
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: No new wells or use of groundwater propos	sed.	
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>▽</b>	
	Explanation: All work in existing substation yards and rac	dio facilities.	
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: All work would take place inside existing su	bstation yards.	
10.	Air Quality	<b>▽</b>	
	Explanation: Minimal amount of dust and vehicle emission	ons anticipated during construction	
11.	Noise	<b>▽</b>	
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise during daylig	ht hours.	
12.	Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>	
	Explanation: No impact.		

# **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and

	Health, of Similar requirements of DOE of Executive Orders.			
	Explanation, if necessary:			
V	Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.			
	Explanation, if necessary:			
<b>&gt;</b>	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.			
	Explanation, if necessary:			
V	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.			
	Explanation, if necessary:			
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination				
De	escription: Not applicable			

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Siping</u> Date: <u>July 15, 2015</u>

Elizabeth Siping, KEC-4