Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Taft Substation retaining wall and stormwater maintenance project

Project No. (if applicable): 2,982

Project Manager: Janice Grounds, TEP-CSB-2

Location: Mineral County, Montana

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance, B1.6

Tanks and equipment to control runoff and spills

Description of the Proposed Action:

BPA proposes to stabilize and repair the north and south retaining walls at Taft Substation, concurrent with a required stormwater system upgrade that includes two new underground oil containment vaults. The site receives significant snow loads and freeze-thaw cycles that have contributed to the wall failure over time. Maintenance work relating to the proposed action includes replacing the perimeter security fence, re-paving asphalt along the top of the north wall, replacing some sections of guard rail with jersey barriers, and replacing the heated entrance approach concrete slab.

The north wall project work includes drilling and installation of 70 ground anchors. South wall work includes removing the upper portion of the concrete bin and gravel wall, followed by clearing and excavating the uphill slope to 2:1 cut over an area of approximately 1.0-acre. Soil excavated on the cut slope will be spread and stabilized on site in an area to the east of the Substation yard. Erosion and sediment controls and best management practices (BMPs) will be implemented. Following construction, all disturbed areas will be seeded and stabilized.

New oil containment vault systems will be added to the two Substation yard outfalls, on the northeast and southeast corners of yard. The objective of this project is to bring Taft Substation into compliance with the Clean Water Act by installing sufficient secondary containment capacity for the four onsite reactors. Each reactor contains 6,303 gallons of insulating oil. In the event of a catastrophic release, there is not currently a mechanism to contain all of the oil from being discharged offsite into the adjacent waterways. Installing a coalescing plate separator vault and a manual shut-off valve prior to each of the two outfalls would ensure necessary spill containment. Following construction, BPA would also perform any access road repairs to the road to Taft Substation, which may include rocking, blading, or re-grading on the existing road prism.

The project work areas are on the existing BPA Substation easement and U.S. Forest Service property within the Lolo National Forest. The proposed work is necessary to stabilize the failing retaining walls surrounding the Taft Substation yard and to upgrade the existing stormwater system to adequately manage stormwater and avoid runoff or spills. BPA is planning to complete the project in summer 2015.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Date: March 11, 2015

/s/ Makary Hutson
Makary A. Hutson

Biologist/Environmental Scientist

Concur:

/s/ Katherine S. Pierce
Katherine S. Pierce
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s):

Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: BPA Taft Substation retaining wall and stormwater maintenance project

Project Site Description

The site topography is flat to moderately-sloped, and much of the work will be in existing, cleared ROW or within the Taft Sub footprint. A younger stand of non-marketable timber is on the uphill slope and will be cleared before grading. There are existing gravel access roads to the work areas.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions	
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~		
<u>Explanation</u> : A survey and Section 106 consultation was completed for the entire APE. No resources were identified in the vicinity of the proposed work areas. Montana SHPO concurrence received on 2/2/2015.				
2.	Geology and Soils			
	<u>Explanation</u> : All work sites must be stabilized. Exce track-walked, seeded and mulched.	ess material should be	contoured to match surrounding terrain,	
	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ESA- or special status plants are k informal consultation with USFS and USFWS resou		e any identified during site reviews or	
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ESA- or special status wildlife is kn informal consultation with USFS and USFWS resou		e any identified during site reviews or	
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)			
	Explanation: No ESA- or special status aquatic spereviews or informal consultation with USFS and Usicinity of the Project area however, both of which and sediment control must be properly installed a	SFWS resource staff. The may have resident fis	nere are two perennial streams in the	
6.	Wetlands			
	Explanation: All potential wetland areas within the	e vicinity of the work ar	reas will be avoided.	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V		
	Explanation: The project work is primarily on the s	surface and not expect	ed to impact groundwater.	

health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not alter or effect visual quality. 10. Air Quality Explanation: Any fugitive dust or vehicle emissions generated during project implementation will be temporand negligible. 11. Noise Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.	Explanation: Proposed action in existing facilities and will not impact surrounding land use.			
Explanation: Any fugitive dust or vehicle emissions generated during project implementation will be temporand negligible. 11. Noise Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
Explanation: Any fugitive dust or vehicle emissions generated during project implementation will be temporard and negligible. 11. Noise Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.	Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not alter or effect visual quality.			
and negligible. 11. Noise Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized. 12. Human Health and Safety Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.	iporary			
Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: ▼ Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. ▼ Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
Explanation: Proposed action at existing facilities will not impact human health or safety. Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, an health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.				
The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders. Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment				

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

Description: Taft Sub is located in the Lolo National Forest, and BPA staff has coordinated throughout project planning with USFS staff to secure all necessary rights, permits, and approvals.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Makary Hutson</u> Date: <u>March 11, 2015</u>

Makary A. Hutson, KEPR-4

Biological Scientist