## Department of Energy

## **Bonneville Power Administration**

## memorandum

DATE: May 27, 2014

REPLY TO ATTN OF:

F: KEPR-Bell-1

SUBJECT: Environmental Clearance Memorandum

то: Scott Nosal

Electrical Engineer – TELC-TPP-3

**Proposed Action:** Install grounding at Asarco and Troy substation yards

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.6 Additions or modifications

Location: Township 31 North, Range 33 West, Section 19; Lincoln County, Montana

**Proposed by:** Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

**Description of the Proposed Action:** BPA proposes to upgrade grounding at its Asarco and Troy substations. The proposed project will consist of digging a trench one-foot-wide by two-feet-deep by 50-feet-long and installing two runs of #2/0 conductor inside schedule 80 conduit. The grounding #2/0 conductor will connect the switch platform at structure 32/1 to the low resistance ground mat located at the Asaco and Troy substation yards. This upgrade is required to provide proper operation of the breakers should a fault occur and provide electrical safety to the substation operator during switching operations. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

**Findings:** BPA has determined that the proposed action complies with Section 1021.410 and Appendix B of Subpart D of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011). The proposed action does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. The proposal is not connected [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(1)] to other actions with potentially significant impacts, has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts [40 C.F.R. 1508.25(a)(2)], and is not precluded by 40 C.F.R. 1506.1 or 10 C.F.R. 1021.211. Moreover, the proposed action would not (i) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, (ii) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, (iii) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases, (iv) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, or (v) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements.

This proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion referenced above. We therefore determine that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review and documentation.

/s/ Philip W. Smith, for:
Michael A. Rosales

Physical Scientist

Concur: /s/ Katherine S. Pierce DATE: May 27, 2014

Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachments:

Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions Effects Determination for T&E Species

## **Environmental Checklist for Categorical Exclusions**

Name of Proposed Project: Asarco and Troy Substations Grounding Project		
<b>Work Order #:</b> 351843		
This project does <u>not</u> have the potential to cause significant impacts on the following environmentally sensitive resources. See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for complete descriptions of the resources. This checklist is to be used as a summary – further discussion may be included in the Categorical Exclusion Memorandum.		
Environmental Resources	No Potential for Significance	No Potential, with Conditions (describe)
1. Historic Properties and Cultural Resources Cultural surveys were previously performed in the project are or Historic issues were identified.	X ea during the Libby-Troy Re	build project. No Cultural
2. T & E Species, or their habitat(s) Bull trout and white sturgeon are located within 0.5 miles of potential to affect or disturb listed fish species or their habita from the Kootenai River and there is no direct pathway to the	t as the project area is locate	ed approximately 0.29 miles
3. Floodplains or wetlands Surface waters are not located near the project area.	X	
4. Areas of special designation	X	
5. Health & safety	X	
6. Prime or unique farmlands	X	
7. Special sources of water	X	
8. Other (describe)		
List supporting documentation attached (if needed):		
Signed: /s/Michael A Rosales	Date: 5/21/2014	