PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Operant Solar

STATE: CA

PROJECT TITLE Reliable and Secure Bidirectional Communications Link for Distributed Energy Resources :

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001640

DE-EE0008135

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number GFO-0008135-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

## CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and dissemination informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Smallscale laboratory operations, and pilot projects

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and research and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a development, concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

## Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Operant Solar to research a new concept in photovoltaic system communications using a data network, with the goal of maintaining active contact with customer sites by lowering the annual disconnection rate below one percent.

The proposed project would include the design, fabrication, and field testing of prototype wireless communication devices intended for residential solar installations. Design and development would occur at the Operant Solar office in Santa Rosa, California. Following lab testing Operant Solar would perform field trials on three photovoltaic networks with each network comprised of up to 45 photovoltaic systems. A wireless module would be connected to an existing photovoltaic system that would communicate with a network through a standard WiFi connection. This would occur at field sites including a residential neighborhood located in Santa Rosa, California. The other field sites have yet to be determined, but would likely be located in Northern California.

Potential hazards associated with this project would include those typically associated with electrical work such as electrocution. Existing corporate safety policies would be observed at all times and personal protective equipment would be worn. Given the small size of the proposed wireless device and the fact that the devices would connect to existing photovoltaic systems, DOE does not anticipate any potential to cause adverse impacts to resources of concern (natural, cultural, or historic) at any field site.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the proposal fits within the class of action(s) and the integral elements of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021 outlined in the DOE categorical exclusion(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. This proposal is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

If the Recipient intends to make changes to the scope or objective of this project, the Recipient is required to contact the Project Officer, identified in Block 15 of the Assistance Agreement before proceeding. The Recipient must receive notification of approval from the DOE Contracting Officer prior to commencing with work beyond that currently approved. If the Recipient moves forward with activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of a final NEPA decision, the Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist:

Solar Energy Technologies Office
This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision.
Review completed by Chris Rowe on 8/16/2017

| Review completed by Chris Rowe on 8/16/2017  |  |
|--|--|
| SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.  |  |
| NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:    Date:   8/17/2017     NEPA Compliance Officer  |  |
| FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION   |  |
| ☐ Field Office Manager review required   |  |
| NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:   |  |
| <ul> <li>□ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.</li> <li>□ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.</li> </ul> |  |
| BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:   |  |
| Field Office Manager's Signature: Date:  |  |
| Field Office Manager   |  |