PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:Arizona State University

STATE: AZ

PROJECT

Mixed Ionic Electronic Conducting Quaternary Perovskites: Materials by Design for Solar

TITLE:

Thermochemical Hydrogen

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number

Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-FOA-0001647

DE-EE0008090

GFO-0008090-001

G08090

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information and dissemination

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and gathering, analysis, audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to Arizona State University to discover new materials through fundamental quantum mechanics investigations (computational and computer modeling methods) for improved solar thermochemical hydrogen systems. Only Budget Period 1 (BP1) is being negotiated at this time so this NEPA review is for BP1 activities only. Additional NEPA review will be required if DOE proposes to continue funding the project into subsequent budget periods.

The proposed project activities include model development, computational calculations, and analysis on the thermodynamics of complex metal oxides that have mixed ionic electronic conductivity. Work would primarily take place at Arizona State University in Tempe, Arizona. The project partner is Princeton University in Princeton, New Jersey.

The project is limited exclusively to intellectual, academic, or analytical activities. The proposed project activities include model development, computational calculations, and analysis on the thermodynamics of complex metal oxides that have mixed ionic electronic conductivity. No modifications to buildings or new permits, additional licenses and/or authorizations are expected to be required as a result of the proposed project.

Based on the review of the proposal, DOE has determined the following task(s) Budget Period 1 fit within the class of action(s) selected above. DOE has also determined that: (1) there are no extraordinary circumstances (as defined by 10 CFR 1021.410(2)) related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; (2) the proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion; and (3) the proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, related to other proposals with cumulatively significant actions, or an improper interim action. Budget Period 1 is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination for this award, and funding for certain tasks under this award is contingent upon the final NEPA determination.

Insert the following language in the award:

U.S. DOE: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy - Environmental Question... Page 2 of 2

Bud	phibited actions include:
1 111	dget Period 2 is restriction does not preclude you from:
All	tasks and subtasks associated with Budget Period 1
fina	you move forward with activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of the al NEPA decision, you are doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable at share.
No	ote to Specialist :
Thi	riel Cell Technologies Office ris NEPA determination requires a tailored NEPA provision. review completed by Chris Rowe on 7/17/2017
SIGNA	TURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.
NEPA C	Compliance Officer Signature: Casey Strickland Date: 7/17/2017
	NEPA Compliance Officer
FIELD	OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION
☐ Fie	eld Office Manager review required
NCO R	EQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:
□ Pro	oposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office
	anager's attention.
□ Pro	oposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.
BASED	ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:
Field Of	ffice Manager's Signature: Date:
	Field Office Manager