Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Watt Equipment LLC Land Acquisition

Project No.: TER ID 13-0277

Project Manager: Harley Canaday – TERR-3

Location: Bingham County, Idaho

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.24 Property Acquisitions

Description of the Proposed Action: BPA proposes to acquire 1.84 acres from Watt Equipment LLC, in Bingham County, Idaho. The acquisition of the property would secure BPA's ability to consider communication facilities at this location. The newly created parcel would be located within the southwest portion of tax lot RP0149101; Township 3 South, Range 33 East, Section 35 near an Idaho Power substation. The property would maintain a 100-foot x 200-foot easement along the westerly boundary of the parcel to provide the existing landowner access to the surrounding property.

BPA will conduct environmental analysis for any facility or construction on the property.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Gene Lynard For	
Nancy A. Wittpenn	
Environmental Protection Specialist	
/s/ Stacy L. Mason	Date: <i>January 18, 2018</i>
Stacy L. Mason	
NEPA Compliance Officer	

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Watt Equipment LLC Land Acquisition

Project Site Description

The property to be acquired is located in Bingham County, southeast Idaho. It is surrounded by agricultural land and is across the street from Idaho Power and Light's Pingree Substation. Previously disturbed by agricultural use, the property is now covered in sage brush, grasses, and a few trees along the road. Several scattered rock piles and the remnants of an old cabin or shed are also present.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources		
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance would occur a to cause effects on historic properties.	nd the acquisition of t	his property does not have the potential
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur not be affected.	with this acquisition a	nd the geology and soils in the area would
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance would occur affected.	with this acquisition a	nd no vegetation or habitats would be
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	V	
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur affected.	with this acquisition a	nd no wildlife or habitats would be
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur would be affected.	with this acquisition a	nd no water bodies, floodplains, or fish
6.	Wetlands	~	
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur	with this acquisition a	nd no wetlands would be affected.

7.	Groundwater and Aquifers					
	<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance would occur with t be affected.	his acquisition and no groundwater	, nor aquifers, would			
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<u>~</u>				
	Explanation: This property is not in a specially-designate	ed area and the acquisition would n	ot change land use			
9.	Visual Quality	V				
	Explanation: No ground disturbance would occur with t quality in the surrounding area.	his acquisition and there would be r	no impact to visual			
10.	Air Quality	<u>~</u>				
<u>Explanation</u> : No ground disturbance would occur with this acquisition and there would be no impact to air quality.						
11.	Noise	<u>~</u>				
	Explanation: Noise would not be generated by this acqu	isition.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	<u> </u>				
	<u>Explanation</u> : Before purchase, BPA would conduct an Environmental Land Audit to ensure there are no environmental risks due to past or present activities.					
		ivii oi iii etta Lana Alaate to ensare t				
	environmental risks due to past or present activities.	ntegral Elements				
	environmental risks due to past or present activities. Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that are in	ntegral Elements tegral elements of the categorical e	exclusion. The			
	environmental risks due to past or present activities. Evaluation of Other Ir proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory,	ntegral Elements tegral elements of the categorical e	exclusion. The			
	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order	tegral Elements tegral elements of the categorical elements for environments.	exclusion. The ment, safety, and			
	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of works.	tegral Elements tegral elements of the categorical elements for environments.	exclusion. The ment, safety, and			
	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or	tegral Elements tegral elements of the categorical elements for environments. Taste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	exclusion. The ment, safety, and treatment			
pro	Evaluation of Other In proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order Explanation, if necessary: Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise of Explanation, if necessary: Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants	tegral Elements tegral elements of the categorical elements for environments. Taste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	exclusion. The ment, safety, and treatment			

National Institutes of Health.

Evn	lanation	if necessary	
EXP	idiidtioii,	ii iiecessaiy	١.

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: BPA is working with the landowner.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: <u>/s/ Gene Lynard For</u> Date: <u>Januray 18, 2018</u>

Nancy A. Wittpenn, ECT-4