Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: City of Centralia—Salzer Valley Tap (Update to previous categorical exclusion issued

on July 06, 2017)

Project No.: L0394

Project Manager: Amanda Williams—TEP-TPP-1

Location: Lewis County, Washington

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B4.11 Electric power substations

and interconnection facilities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) is proposing to approve the City of Centralia's line and load interconnection request to BPA's Chehalis-Centralia No. 1 69 kV line, north of Salzer Valley Road. The City of Centralia would add two taps near wood poles 9/10 and 9/11, on which BPA would install two line sectionalizing switches. To accommodate the new taps, BPA proposes to relocate wood pole 9/10 approximately 60-ft north, and wood pole 9/11 approximately 30-ft south of the existing locations. Land would be graded for the new structures and three new landings would be installed between, and near, the relocated structures. Approximately 3,745 cubic yards of soil would be cut and filled for this project.

In addition to the work described in the previous categorical exclusion, BPA would realign the existing access road to reduce the steep grade; therefore, easing vehicle access for heavy equipment. The access road would be a maximum of 50 feet from the existing road. It would be 10-feet wide and 200-feet long. To do this, approximately 20 small trees would be removed. The existing access road would be decommissioned and revegetated.

A small landing is needed at the top of the access road for heavy equipment. Another 15-20 small trees would be removed at this location to accommodate the landing.

The existing 12-inch culvert at the bottom of the project access road is in need of replacement prior to the commencement of construction. The culvert is for a roadside drainage ditch and not connected to a fish-bearing stream. The culvert would be replaced with a new 18-inch dual-walled high-density polyethylene culvert and extended approximately 20 feet to the east. A vehicle pullout would be installed at the base of the access road by installing a 20-ft extension to the east. Quarry spalls (rock) would be installed at the base of the access road to reduce the amount of soil that would be tracked onto the adjoining highway.

Some of the excavated soil from the project location may be temporarily stored three miles southeast of the project location within BPA's utility corridor near Centralia-Alpha Road (SE ¼ of Section 26, Township 14 North, Range 2 West).

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-

36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

_/s/ Beth Belanger	
Beth Belanger	
Contract Environmental Protection Specialist	
Motus Staffing & Recruiting	
Daviawad hy	
Reviewed by:	
_/s/ Gene Lynard	
Gene Lynard	
Supervisory Environmental Protection Specialist	
Concur:	
_/s/ Sarah T. Biegel	Date: September 13, 2017
Sarah T. Biegel	
NEPA Compliance Officer	

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: City of Centralia—Salzer Valley Tap (update to July 6, 2017, categorical exclusion)

Project Site Description

The project site is near BPA's Chehalis-Centralia transmission line 1, mile 9, towers 10 & 11. The line is located in Section 15, Township 14 North, Range 2 West. The site is located just north of Salzer Valley Road.

The vegetation in the area of the road realignment consists of Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), Oregon ash (*Fraxinus latifolia*), Indian plum (*Oemleria cerasiformis*), snowberry (*Symphoricarpos albus*), sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), and blackberry (*Rubus ursinus*). The vegetation near the culvert replacement consists of reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), horsetail (*Equisetum* sp.), and blackberry.

The temporary soil storage location is approximately three miles southeast of the project location, which is between Bonneville Power Administration's (BPA) Chehalis-Covington transmission line 1, mile 6, near tower 1 and Paul-Napavine line 1, mile 8, near tower 4. The location is in Section 26, Township 14 North, Range 2 West. The site is located in a right-of-way easement, just south of Centralia-Alpha Road. The soils would be stored in an area that is approximately 0.25 acres in size.

The vegetation at the temporary soil storage site consists of salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), sword fern, bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), thistles (*Cirsium spp.*), oxeye daisy (*Leucanthemum vulgare*), plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*), scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius*), and native blackberries (*Rubus ursinus*).

A review of the National Wetland Inventory, topography, and aerial photos did not indicate that there are any wetlands or water bodies at the sites; however, the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey rates the soils as being hydric. During July 18th and August 17th, 2017, site visits, BPA staff determined that neither location exhibits wetland characteristics.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1. H	istoric and Cultural Resources		V
T	xplanation: he BPA archaeologist determined that this projections.	ect is unlikely to have any	adverse effects to historic or cultural
	n the event of an inadvertent archaeological dis rchaeologist and other appropriate parties are	•	

2.	Geology and Soils	~	
	Explanation:		
	Best management practices (BMPs) that meet the stormwater Management Manual for Western Wa Silt fencing and straw waddles would be used arou mulched.	shington would be u	tilized to avoid erosion and sedimentation.
	There would be no ground excavation at the soil st temporarily stored at this location.	orage area. The soil	s from the project location would be
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : No special-status plant species or hal the decommissioned access road to utilize the exist would be reseeded with a native plant mix.		
	The right-of-way corridor at the temporary soil sto and tree growth.	rage location is routi	nely mowed and managed to deter shrub
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : No special-status wildlife species or h special-status species.	nabitat is present. Th	ne project would have no impacts to
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	V	
	Explanation: The project area does not have any was an intermittent stream approximately 35-50 feet streambed does not contain salmon-spawning hab stream. Silt fencing and straw waddles would rem that meet the standards of the Stormwater Managavoid erosion and sedimentation.	t west of the propose pitat. A vegetative buain on site until the s	ed access road realignment area. The offer would remain between the road and slope is stabilized and revegetated. BMPs
6.	Wetlands		
	Explanation: The project area does not contain we however, soil test pits were dug at the temporary soils seemed to be fill materials. On August 17, quarry spalls location, and hydric soil features were present. Furthermore, the project area did not exhaust	y soil storage locatio 2017, soil test pits re not present. No r	n during a July 18, 2017, site visit and the were dug at the culvert replacement and edoximorphic features (soil mottling) were
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	V	
	Explanation: The road realignment and culvert repaquifers, as maximum depth of disturbance would Region 10 Sole Source Aquifer is approximately 25 storage portion of the project would not involve an	be 4 feet. The near	est Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ne project location. The temporary soil

	impact to groundwater or aquifers.		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	V	
	Explanation: There would be no permanent cha	inges to the land use at ei	ther location.
9.	Visual Quality	V	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The additional scope of this project the area.	t would not result in any p	permanent change to visual quality in
10.	Air Quality	V	
	Explanation: A small amount of dust and vehicle would be no significant changes to air quality du		_
11.	Noise	V	
	Explanation: The nearest residence to the road approximately 200 feet to the northwest. The napproximately 0.25 miles east. Construction no Operational noise would not change.	nearest residence to the te	emporary soil storage location is
12.	Human Health and Safety	~	
	<u>Explanation</u> : The access road realignment, the compared impact human health or safety. The vehicle worker safety at the entrance to the site.		
	Evaluation of C	Other Integral Element	<u>s</u>
	proposed project would also meet conditions the ject would not:	at are integral elements o	of the categorical exclusion. The
V	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulated health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive		ments for environment, safety, and
	Explanation, if necessary:		
~	Require siting and construction or major expans facilities (including incinerators) that are not other		
	Explanation, if necessary:		
~	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, conta products that preexist in the environment such	·	
	Explanation, if necessary:		
~	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synth	netic biology, government	ally designated noxious weeds, or

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable

Explanation, if necessary:		
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination		
Description: The access road realignment would be occurring on land recently acquired by the City of Centralia; the project proponent. The City has given BPA permission to do the work. The remainder of the work would occur on BPA rights-of-way. There would be no significant visual changes or other effects to adjacent landowners.		
Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.		
Signed: _/s/ Beth Belanger Date: _September 13, 2017 Beth Belanger ECT-4		

requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the

National Institutes of Health.