# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



<u>Proposed Action</u>: Boardman-Ione #1, Franklin-Walla Walla #1, Grandview-Red Mountain #1 and McNary-Roundup #1 Wood Pole Replacement

**PP&A No.:** 3419

**<u>Project Manager</u>**: Todd Wehner

Location: Morrow and Umatilla Counties, Oregon; and Benton and Walla Walla Counties, Washington

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B1.3 Routine maintenance activities

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace deteriorating wood pole structures and associated structural/electrical components (e.g. cross arms, insulators, guy anchors, etc.) on the Boardman-Ione #1, Franklin-Walla Walla #1, Grandview-Red Mountain #1 and McNary-Roundup #1 transmission lines.

See table below for structure names and locations on the transmission lines.

Transmission Line/ROW	Structure #	Township	Range	Section	County, State
Boardman-Ione #1	25/2	1N	25E	27	Morrow, OR
	27/2	7N	34E	18	Walla Walla, WA
Franklin-Walla Walla #1	29/7	7N	34E	16	
	31/4	7N	34E	14	
	19/5, 19/6, 19/7, 19/8, 19/9, 19/10, 19/11, 20/1, 20/3	9N	27E	6	Benton, WA
Grandview-Red Mountain	20/4, 20/5, 20/6, 20/7, 20/8, 20/9, 20/10, 20/11, 20/12	9N	27E	5	
#1	21/7, 21/8, 21/10, 21/11, 22/1, 22/2, 22/4, 22/5, 22/6	9N	27E	4	
	22/7	9N	27E	3	
	22/9, 22/13, 23/3, 23/6	9N	27E	10	
McNary-Roundup #1	9/4	4N	29E	18	Umatilla, OR

Replacement would be in-kind and would utilize the existing holes to minimize ground disturbance. If necessary, an auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement.

Access road maintenance will be limited to minor blading, grading, and rocking of access road segments that have become impassable. All road maintenance will take place in the existing road prism.

Structures being replaced are all in easements on privately-owned property. These structures are located within or adjacent to residential properties, pastures, dry land wheat farming, or similar type land uses.

The proposed action would allow safe and timely access to the transmission line which would help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ <u>Shawn Barndt</u> Shawn L. Barndt Environmental Scientist

Concur:

/s/ <u>Sarah T. Biegel</u>
Sarah T. Biegel
NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment:

**Environmental Checklist** 

ecc: (w/ enclosures)

Wilfong, Greg - TFPF-PASCO

Date: May 2, 2016

#### **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

**Proposed Action:** Boardman-Ione #1, Franklin-Walla Walla #1, Grandview-Red Mountain #1 and McNary-Roundup #1 Wood Pole Replacement

## **Project Site Description**

These structures are located within or adjacent to residential properties, pastures, dry land wheat farming, or similar type land uses. Four structures of the Grandview-Red Mountain portion are located on Washington Department of Natural Resources land.

## **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions					
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources							
	Explanation: WA DAHP concurrence on no adverse effect determination received February 22, 2016. OR DAHP concurrence on no adverse effect determination received April 26, 2016. The Washington DNR concurred on February 24, 2016. The Nez Perce, Umatilla and Yakama Tribes were consulted—no responses were received.							
	<ul> <li>In the event that archaeological or historic materials are discovered during project activities, work in the immediate vicinity must stop, the area will be secured and SHPO and the environmental project lead must be notified.</li> <li>Crews and equipment are to use existing access roads to and from each work site.</li> <li>Limit access road maintenance to the existing road prism.</li> </ul>							
2.	Geology and Soils	V						
	Explanation: Minimal soil disturbance; erosi	ion control measures v	would be used.					
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species)	<b>~</b>						
	Explanation: No special status-species prese agricultural operations.	ent. Area of disturband	ce is frequently disturbed by					

4.	<b>Wildlife</b> (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No special-status species or designate agriculture and road development.	ed habitat present. Area is previ	ously disturbed by			
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<b>▽</b>				
	Explanation: No water bodies present in project a	rea.				
6.	Wetlands	V				
	Explanation: None present					
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No wells or use of groundwater proposed. Spill prevention measures would be present on site. Maximum depth of disturbance would be about 10 feet below ground surface.					
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: Temporary agricultural impacts during crop damage, as needed.	g construction; landowners will	be compensated for			
9.	Visual Quality	<b>~</b>				
	Explanation: New wood-poles would not be noticeably different than existing poles.					
10	. Air Quality	V				
	Explanation: Small amount of dust and vehicle emissions due to construction.					
11	. Noise	<b>▽</b>				
	Explanation: Temporary construction noise. Operational noise would not change.					
12	. Human Health and Safety	<b>V</b>				
	Explanation: No known soil contamination or haza	rdous conditions.				

### **Evaluation of Other Integral Elements**

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

## **Explanation**, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

#### **Explanation**, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

#### Explanation, if necessary:

#### **Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination**

#### Description:

Coordination with property owners has occurred, including WA DNR. No Concerns.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Phil Smith for Date: May 2, 2016

Shawn L. Barndt/EPR-Tri Cities RMHQ