Categorical Exclusion Determination

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Schultz-Raver No. 1 Transmission Line Access Road Maintenance

PP&A #: 3461

Project Manager: Todd Wehner

Location: Kittitas County, Washington (Township 19N, Range 17E, Sections 16, 17, and 18)

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to improve approximately 9,450 linear feet and reconstruct approximately 1,300 linear feet of on- and off-Right of Way (ROW) access road on the Shultz-Raver No. 1 transmission line between structures 11/2 and 12/1 as well as 12/2 and 12/4. Access road improvements would involve grading and shaping existing road prisms and placing a layer of surface aggregate. Road surface drainage features, i.e. cross drains, water bars, drain dips, and ditches would be added or improved where needed. Bull dozers, motor graders, excavators, vibratory drum rollers, and dump trucks would be used for road work activities.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the propfurther NEPA review.	posed action is categorically excluded from
/s/ Oden Jahn Oden Jahn Physical Scientist	
Concur:	
/s/ Stacy L. Mason Stacy L. Mason	Date: <u>July 5, 2016</u>
NEPA Compliance Officer	
Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist	

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Schultz-Raver No. 1 Transmission Line Access Road Maintenance

Project Site Description

Grass and shrub right-of-way and existing access roads on private ranching and Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR) land.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions		
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources				
	Explanation: The Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) concurred with BPA's determination of No Historic Properties Affected on June 6, 2016. BPA also consulted with the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation and the Washington DNR; however, they declined to comment on the project. Mitigation: In the event any archaeological material is encountered during project activities, stop work in the vicinity and immediately notify the BPA environmental lead, archaeologist, and project manager, interested tribes, DAHP, and the appropriate local, state and federal agencies. Implement reasonable measures to protect the discovery site, including any appropriate stabilization or covering. Take reasonable steps to ensure the confidentiality of the discovery site, including restricting access.				
2.	Geology and Soils	~			
	Explanation: Sites would be stabilized upon comto match surrounding terrain, track-walked, seed		ies. Excess material would be contoured		
3.	Plants (including federal/state special-status species)				
	Explanation: No federal or state special-status p	lant species are recorded	d in the project area.		
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)				
	Explanation: No federal or state special-status v	vildlife species or habitat	are recorded in the project area.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)				
	Explanation: The project area is at least 500 fee anticipated.	t from the nearest water	body. No water quality impacts are		

6.	Wetlands	<u>~</u>			
Explanation: The project areas are located in upland areas.					
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers				
	Explanation: The proposed work is in an upland area. No impact to groundwater is anticipated.	Iinimal ground disturbance is expec	cted and thus, no		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas				
	Explanation: The proposed project would not change la	nd use and no specially designated	areas were identified.		
9.	Visual Quality				
	Explanation: The proposed project would not change th	e visual quality of the area.			
10.	Air Quality	~			
	<u>Explanation</u> : Any fugitive dust or vehicle emissions generated during project implementation will be temporary and negligible.				
11.	Noise				
	Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and localized. There are no residences in the project area.				
12.	Human Health and Safety	~			
Explanation: Project activities will not impact human health or safety.					
Evaluation of Other Integral Elements					
	e proposed project would also meet conditions that are in ject would not:	tegral elements of the categorical e	exclusion. The		
Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, so health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.					
	Explanation, if necessary:				
Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treafacilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.					
	Explanation, if necessary:				
V	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants products that preexist in the environment such that the		_		
	Explanation, if necessary:				

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or

invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and
operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable
requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the
National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:		

Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

<u>Description</u>: Notification letters will be sent by the BPA Realty Specialist prior to work.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: <u>/s/ Oden Jahn</u>
Oden Jahn
Physical Scientist

Date: July 5, 2016