# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Bonneville Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Replace buckling tower 1/9 on the Raymond-Henkle Street No 1 line

Project No. (if applicable): 3040

**Project Manager:** Gary Beck, Project Manager TEP-TPP-1

**Location**: Pacific County, near Raymond, WA - BPA's Chehalis District. Project location is listed below:

Transmission Line/ROW	Structure #	Township	Range	Section	County, State	Ownership/Land Use
	1/9	14N	9W	12, 13	Pacific, WA	Private/Forested

<u>Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021)</u>: B4.13 Upgrading and rebuilding existing powerlines

<u>Description of the Proposed Action</u>: BPA proposes to replace a buckling lattice transmission tower with a wood pole H structure. An auger will be used to remove any loose soil from the existing hole prior to new wood pole placement. New landing construction or access road development is not planned. Minor maintenance of landing and roads within their existing road and landing prisms may be required to maintain the existing system.

The proposed action will help reduce outage times and maintain reliable power in the region. All work will be in accordance with the National Electrical Safety Code and BPA standards.

<u>Findings</u>: In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, July 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), BPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, BPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

/s/ Andrew Chang

Andrew Chang Biological Scientist

Concur:

<u>/s/ Katherine S. Pierc</u>e Katherine S. Pierce NEPA Compliance Officer

Attachment(s): Environmental Checklist Effects Determination for T&E Species Date: January 6, 2015

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Replacement of Raymond-Henkle Street No. 1, Tower 1/9

### **Project Site Description**

The project activities will be conducted on BPA Transmission line right-of-way one mile North of the town of Raymond WA.

### **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
1.	Historic and Cultural Resources	~	
•	Explanation: Washington SHPO agreed with no on 01/05/2015. Cowlitz, Chehalis, Quinault and Sho		<u> </u>
2.	Geology and Soils		
	Explanation: All activity will be confined to existing	g access road and tran	smission line corridor.
3.	<b>Plants</b> (including federal/state special-status species):	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: The proposed work must use existing staging, or landing or road improvements shall occupate there is no suitable habitat within the project area.	cur during this work.   l	Jpon review, it was determined that
4.	Wildlife (including federal/state special- status species and habitats)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: Upon review, it was determined that species. There is a 1998 incidence of marbled mu project. The proposed tower replacement activiti	rrelet North of the Ray	mond substation, 2/5 of a mile from the
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including federal/state special-status species and ESUs)	<b>~</b>	
	Explanation: None present in project area.		

6.	Wetlands	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: None present in project area.				
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers	<b>~</b>			
	Explanation: The proposed work is in an upland area. N	o streams or water conveyences no	ear project site.		
8.	Land Use and Specially Designated Areas	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: No change in land use and no specially designated areas identified.				
9.	Visual Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: The project will not significantly alter or eff	fect visual quality.			
10.	Air Quality	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: Any fugitive dust or similar during project i	mplementation is expected to be to	emporary and minor.		
11.	Noise	<b>V</b>			
	Explanation: Construction noise will be temporary and lo	ocalized.			
12.	Human Health and Safety	V			
	Tuman Health and Salety	J.A.S.I.			
	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human he	_	ent to public access.		
		alth or safety. Project is not adjac	ent to public access.		
The	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human he	alth or safety. Project is not adjace			
The	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human he  Evaluation of Other In	alth or safety. Project is not adjace  stegral Elements  tegral elements of the categorical elements of the categorical elements.	exclusion. The		
The	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human he  Evaluation of Other In  proposed project would also meet conditions that are in  pject would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or	alth or safety. Project is not adjace  stegral Elements  tegral elements of the categorical elements of the categorical elements.	exclusion. The		
The	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human he  Evaluation of Other In  Expressed project would also meet conditions that are in  Expressed project would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, of  health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order	alth or safety. Project is not adjace  stegral Elements  tegral elements of the categorical elements for environers.	exclusion. The ment, safety, and		
The pro	Explanation: Project activities will not impact human he explanation of Other In the proposed project would also meet conditions that are inspect would not:  Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, whealth, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Order  Explanation, if necessary:  Require siting and construction or major expansion of we facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise or	alth or safety. Project is not adjace  stegral Elements  tegral elements of the categorical elements for environers.  aste storage, disposal, recovery, or categorically excluded.	exclusion. The ment, safety, and treatment		

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.
National Institutes of Health.

**Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination** 

Description: Land notification conducted by BPA Real Property Field Services.

Explanation, if necessary:

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts on any environmentally sensitive resources.

Signed: /s/ Andrew Chang Date: January 6, 2015